

VOLUME I

REPORT OF THE GENERAL COUNCIL TO THE 1996 MINISTERIAL CONFERENCE

The annual reports for 1996 of the General Council, Dispute Settlement Body, Trade Policy Review Body, Sectoral Councils, Committees on Trade and Development, Regional Trade Agreements, Balance-of-Payments Restrictions, and Budget, Finance and Administration are reproduced hereunder. Each report is reproduced as a separate section with its own page numbering.

<u>Reports</u>	<u>Document Symbol</u>
Section I: General Council ¹	WT/GC/W/38
Section II: Dispute Settlement Body	WT/DSB/8
Section III: Trade Policy Review Body	WT/TPR/27
Section IV: Council for Trade in Goods ²	G/L/134
Section V: Council for Trade in Services	S/C/3
Section VI: Council for Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)	IP/C/8
Section VII: Committee on Trade and Development	WT/COMTD/9
Section VIII: Committee on Regional Trade Agreements	WT/REG/2
Section IX: Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions	WT/BOP/R/19
Section X: Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration	WT/BFA/29
Section XI: Plurilateral Trade Agreements	
- Committee on Government Procurement	WT/L/190
- International Dairy Council	WT/L/178
- International Meat Council	WT/L/179

¹The report of the General Council also covers the preparatory work for the 1996 Ministerial Conference undertaken in the Heads-of-Delegations process under the Chairmanship of Mr. Renato Ruggiero, Director-General.

²The reports of the subsidiary bodies of the Council for Trade in Goods are contained in Volume II of this document.

SECTION I

REPORT OF THE GENERAL COUNCIL

GENERAL COUNCIL

GENERAL COUNCIL

Draft Annual Report (1996)¹

The present report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105), and sets out the actions taken by the General Council since the previous overview of WTO activities held in December 1995.²

In carrying out its tasks, the General Council has held [...] meetings since December 1995. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the General Council's work, are contained in documents WT/GC/M/10-WT/GC/M/[..].

The following subjects are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
() 1996 Ministerial Conference	3
() Preparations for the Ministerial Conference	3
() Reports by the Director-General	3
() Schedule of meetings of WTO bodies	4
() Progress of preparatory work in subsidiary bodies of the General Council	5
() Election of officers of the Ministerial Conference	6
() Organizational matters	6
() Attendance of observers at the Ministerial Conference	7
() Governments	7
() International intergovernmental organizations	7
() Non-governmental organizations	8
() Finalization of negotiations on schedules on goods and services	8
- Decision on the accession of, and approval of protocol of accession for, the United Arab Emirates	8
() Composition of the Textiles Monitoring Body	9
() Approval of rules of procedure for subsidiary bodies	9
- Committee on Regional Trade Agreements	9

¹The final version of the report will also reflect the General Council meeting on 7 November 1996, and the points will be renumbered as necessary.

²The annual report of the General Council for 1995 is contained in WT/GC/5 and Corr.1.

()	Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions	9
()	Consultations	9
()	Disinvocation of the balance-of-payments provisions of the GATT 1994 by Turkey and Poland	9
()	Notifications by the Philippines and India	10
()	Notes on meetings	10
()	Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration	10
-	Reports	10
()	Committee on Regional Trade Agreements	10
()	Establishment of the Committee and adoption of its terms of reference	10
()	Presiding officers to the Committee	11
()	Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement	11
()	Harmonized System	11
-	Bangladesh, Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Morocco, Nicaragua and Sri Lanka	11
()	Renegotiations of Schedules	11
()	Malawi - Renegotiation of Schedule LVIII	11
()	Senegal - Renegotiation of Schedule XLIX	11
()	Zambia - Renegotiation of Schedule	12
()	Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996	12
-	Extension of time-limit	12
()	Extension of waivers pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Understanding in respect of waivers of obligations under GATT 1994	12
-	Canada - CARIBCAN	12
-	Cuba - Article XV:6 of GATT 1994	12
-	European Communities - Fourth ACP-EC Convention of Lomé	12
-	France - Trading Arrangements with Morocco	12
-	South Africa - Base dates under Article I:4	12
-	United States - ANDEAN Trade Preference Act	12
-	United States - Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands	12
-	Zimbabwe - Base dates under Article I:4	12
()	Status of waivers in effect on the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement	13
()	Accessions	13
()	Bulgaria	13
()	Georgia	13
()	Kazakhstan	14
()	Kyrgyz Republic	14
()	Mongolia	14
()	Oman	15
()	Panama	15
()	Papua New Guinea	15
()	Saudi Arabia	16
()	Seychelles	16
()	Tonga	16
()	Vanuatu	16

()	Statement by Cuba on the United States' Helms-Burton Act of 1996	17
()	Hungary - Invocation of Article 48 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of the Treaties	17
()	Brazil - Provisional safeguard measures concerning imports of toys	17
()	Notification requirements	17
()	Procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents	17
()	Arrangements for effective cooperation with other intergovernmental organizations	18
	- Relations between the WTO and the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank	18
()	Guidelines for arrangements on relations with non-governmental organizations pursuant to Article V:2 of the WTO Agreement	18
()	Compliance with the obligations of Articles 70.8 and 70.9 of the TRIPS Agreement	18
()	WTO Press Release on Trade and Foreign Direct Investment	19
()	Administrative matters	19
	() Offices of the Deputy Directors-General	19
	() Staff-related matters	19
	() Pensions and salaries of WTO staff	19
()	Observer status	19
	() Governments	19
	- Georgia	19
	() International intergovernmental organizations	20
	() Guidelines on observer status for international intergovernmental organizations	20
()	Election of Chairperson	21
()	<u>1996 Ministerial Conference</u>	
	() <u>Preparations for the Ministerial Conference</u>	
	() <u>Reports by the Director-General</u> (WT/GC/M/11, 12, 13, 14, 15)	

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman recalled that at an informal meeting of the General Council on 5 March, it had been agreed that preparations for the Singapore Ministerial Conference would be undertaken in the following manner:

1. The General Council, meeting informally on that day, would invite the Director-General, Mr. Renato Ruggiero, in his personal capacity, to chair informal meetings open to participation of all Members, in principle at the Head of Delegation level. The purpose

of these meetings would be to enable Members to exchange views on the preparation of the Singapore Ministerial Conference, with regard to both substantive and organizational matters.

2. In so doing, the Director-General would act in close cooperation and coordination with the Chairman of the General Council.
3. In all matters relating to the organization of the Ministerial Conference, the Director-General would work closely with the Head of the Delegation of the host country of the Ministerial Conference.
4. The Director-General would submit progress reports on this work at the meetings of the General Council.
5. The General Council would act upon these reports of the Director-General as necessary. In particular, it would consider any proposals addressed to it and take any decisions it deemed appropriate for the further preparation of the Singapore Ministerial Conference.

It was understood that the different WTO bodies would carry out the tasks assigned to them under the texts agreed in the Uruguay Round for the purposes of the first Ministerial Conference.

In accordance with paragraph 4 of the above procedures, the Director-General then reported on the process that had been undertaken thus far.

The Chairman proposed that the General Council take note of the Director-General's statement and agree that the Singapore Ministerial Conference take place from 9-13 December 1996, that the agenda for the Conference be divided between general discussion and specific business items, and that a decision on the specific agenda items and the exact time to be allocated to the general discussion and the specific items be taken at a later date.

The General Council so agreed.

At the General Council meetings on 26 June, 18 July, 2 October, and 14 October 1996, the Director-General reported on the process that had been undertaken until then.

() Schedule of meetings of WTO bodies (WT/GC/M/11)

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman drew attention to the schedule of end-of-year meeting for various WTO bodies for the purpose of adoption of their respective reports to the Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/W/32/Rev.1), and to the revised Programme of Meetings of WTO bodies for 1996 (WT/GC/4/Rev.1).

With regard to reporting procedures for the Ministerial Conference, he said that his informal consultations had resulted in agreement on the following text of a statement to be read by him:

- "1. Subsidiary bodies of the General Council are invited to prepare their reports on the basis of the Procedures for an annual overview of the WTO activities and for reporting under the WTO laid down by the General Council on 15 November 1995 (WT/L/105). These reports should be submitted in accordance with the schedule of meetings circulated in document WT/GC/W/32/Rev.1 in order to enable the General Council to adopt its own report to the Ministerial Conference on 7 November 1996.

2. Given the different mandates of Standing Bodies of the WTO it is difficult to establish a common format for reports of these bodies. In some instances, for example, efforts to include in reports an assessment or an identification of problems and issues could lead to undue difficulties. Each Body must therefore ultimately decide on the format of report which it deems most appropriate for consideration of relevant issues by the superior Body.
3. While taking the above into account, I suggest that these reports include at least the following elements:
 - (a) a section on implementation of the relevant Agreements;
 - (b) progress concerning work under the Built-in Agenda;
 - (c) an indication as appropriate of issues and problems which have been identified and recommendations if any."

The General Council took note of the Chairman's statement on reporting procedures for the Ministerial Conference (WT/L/145), also took note that the Chairman would consult with Pakistan on its concerns regarding the second sentence of paragraph 2 of the statement, and further took note that Pakistan would have the possibility to revert to this matter at the next meeting.

The Chairman then invited the chairpersons of subsidiary bodies to undertake the necessary arrangements for the preparation of their respective reports along the lines of his statement.

- () Progress of preparatory work in subsidiary bodies of the General Council (WT/GC/M/13, 14, 15)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council heard reports on the progress of preparatory work in their respective bodies, made under their own responsibility, by the Chairpersons of the Dispute Settlement Body, the Trade Policy Review Body, the Council for Trade in Services, the Council for Trade in Goods, the Committee on Trade and Development, the Committee on Trade and Environment and the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements, and by the Chairman on behalf of the Chairman of the Council for TRIPS. The General Council also heard that the Chairmen of the Budget and Balance-of-Payments Committees had nothing to report at this stage with regard to preparatory work for the Ministerial Conference in their respective Committees.

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the General Council heard brief reports on the preparatory work in their respective bodies, made under their own responsibility, by the Chairpersons of the Council for Trade in Goods, the Council for Trade in Services and the Council for TRIPS. The General Council also heard that the Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Development had nothing to report at this stage.

At its meeting on 14 October 1996, the General Council heard reports on the preparatory work in their respective bodies, made under their own responsibility, by the Chairpersons of the Trade Policy Review Body, the Council for Trade in Goods, the Council for Trade in Services, the Council for TRIPS, the Dispute Settlement Body, the Committee on Trade and Development, the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements and the Committee on Trade and Environment. The General Council also heard that the Chairmen of the Budget and Balance-of-Payments Committees had nothing to report at this stage with regard to preparatory work for the Ministerial Conference in their respective Committees.

() Election of officers of the Ministerial Conference (WT/GC/M/14)

At the General Council meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman recalled that the Rules of Procedure for Sessions of the Ministerial Conference (WT/L/161) provided for the election of a Chairperson and three Vice-Chairpersons who would hold office from the end of one session until the end of the next regular session. Since the Singapore Ministerial Conference was the first Ministerial Conference, and there was no elected Chairperson, he proposed that the General Council act on behalf of the Ministerial Conference and elect a Chairperson and three Vice-Chairpersons at its meeting on 7 November 1996. If this proposal were acceptable, he would consult with Members so that the election of the Chairperson and the three Vice-Chairpersons could take place at the General Council meeting on 7 November.

The General Council so agreed.

() Organizational matters (WT/GC/M/14)

At the General Council meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman addressed the following organizational matters pertaining to the Ministerial Conference:

1. Opening Session of the Conference

- (a) In accordance with the usual practice at Ministerial meetings, a brief inaugural ceremony would be held at the opening session to welcome the Prime Minister of Singapore.
- (b) The elected Chairperson of the Ministerial Conference would invite the Prime Minister of Singapore to address the Conference.
- (c) At the end of his address to the Conference, the Prime Minister of Singapore would declare the first Ministerial Conference of the WTO formally opened.
- (d) After the departure of the Prime Minister of Singapore, the Chairperson would take up the following business on the morning of Monday, 9 December as follows:
 - (i) Adoption of the Agenda.
 - (ii) Agreement on the Order of Business.
 - (iii) Introduction of the Report of the General Council by the Chairman of the General Council.
 - (iv) Introduction of the Report on an overview of developments in international trade and the trading system by the Director-General.
 - (v) Statements by Ministers.

2. List of Speakers

Members wishing to speak at the Ministerial Conference should contact the Secretariat and make reservations not later than 1 November 1996. Statements should be limited to a maximum of five minutes. If a delegation so wished, a longer text would be circulated in document form to the Conference.

Requests for reservations made after 1 November would be accommodated in accordance with the availability of time. The purpose of this procedure was to enable the Secretariat to organize in advance the sequence of plenary sessions of the Ministerial Conference.

The General Council took note of these suggestions.

- () Attendance of observers at the Ministerial Conference
- () Governments (WT/GC/M/14)

At the General Council meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman recalled the procedures for the attendance of governments as observers at meetings of the Ministerial Conference as set out in Annex 2 of the Rules of Procedure for Sessions of the Ministerial Conference (WT/L/161). Under these procedures, governments that were presently accorded observer status in the General Council and its subsidiary bodies would be invited to attend sessions of the Ministerial Conference as observers. Other governments that wished to have observer status at the Ministerial Conference, and did not at present have such status in the General Council and its subsidiary bodies, would have to make a formal request in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 1 of the above procedures. Any such requests would be examined in accordance with the procedures set out. Furthermore, observer governments that wished to speak at the Ministerial Conference would be invited to do so after Members had spoken, as provided for in the procedures.

- () International intergovernmental organizations (WT/GC/M/13, 14, 15)

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman said that, as he had indicated to delegations at an informal meeting on 12 July, he intended to start consultations on the international intergovernmental organizations to be invited as observers to the Ministerial Conference on the basis of the following guidelines:

- (a) organizations that were observers to the General Council would be automatically invited;
- (b) organizations that were observers to subsidiary bodies of the WTO would be invited if they requested to attend the Conference;
- (c) consultations would be carried out to determine which other international intergovernmental organizations that were not observers to the WTO and that requested attendance at the Conference should also be invited.

He hoped that at its meeting scheduled for October, the General Council would be in a position to take a decision on the international intergovernmental organizations to be invited as observers to the Ministerial Conference.

The General Council agreed with the Chairman's proposed approach.

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that the following organizations which were not already observers to the WTO had requested attendance at the 1996 Ministerial Conference: the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA), the Central American Bank for Economic Integration, the Common Fund for Commodities and the Islamic Development Bank. He proposed that the General Council accept these requests and invite these organizations as observers to the Ministerial Conference.

The General Council so agreed.

At its meeting on 14 October 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Western Asia (ESCWA), which was not an observer to the WTO had requested attendance at the 1996 Ministerial Conference. He proposed that the General Council accept this request and invite this organization as an observer to the Ministerial Conference.

The General Council so agreed.

() Non-governmental organizations (WT/GC/M/13, 14, 15)

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman said he believed it was necessary to determine urgently how to proceed with requests from non-governmental organizations to attend the Ministerial Conference in an observer capacity and, on the basis of consultations he had held, proposed the following method for proceeding:

- (i) NGOs would be allowed to attend the Plenary Sessions of the Conference;
- (ii) applications from NGOs to be registered would be accepted on the basis of Article V of the WTO Agreement, i.e. those NGOs "concerned with matters related to those of the WTO"; and
- (iii) a deadline would be established for the registration of NGOs that wished to attend the Conference. A list of the NGOs that had applied for attendance would be circulated subsequently for the information of the General Council. Attendance at plenary sessions by NGOs would also depend upon the availability of space.

The General Council agreed to the Chairman's proposed procedure.

At the General Council meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman drew attention to an informal document recently circulated by the Secretariat which listed those NGOs which had complied with the requirements for attendance at the Singapore Ministerial Conference that had been agreed in July.

The General Council agreed that the Secretariat would prepare a paper outlining the agreed modalities for the attendance of NGOs at the Ministerial Conference and that the deadline for registration of NGOs be extended to 15 October.

At the General Council meeting on 14 October 1996, the Chairman said that since the General Council would not meet again before 7 November, and in order not to delay unnecessarily the process of registration for NGOs, agreement had been reached in informal consultations on a way to move the process forward, as follows: (a) the Secretariat would establish for 16 October, as an addendum to the list distributed on 2 October, a list of those additional NGOs which had presented requests for registration and which had received registration forms since 2 October, and would circulate on 22 October a final list of those NGOs to whom confirmation would be sent; and (b) the facilities provided for

NGOs at the Singapore Ministerial Conference might be reviewed by the General Council in the light of the experience gained from this first Ministerial Conference.

The General Council agreed to the Chairman's proposed procedure.

() Finalization of negotiations on schedules on goods and services
- Decision on the accession of, and approval of protocol of accession for, the United Arab Emirates (WT/GC/M/10)

In December 1995, the General Council had approved the goods and services schedules of the United Arab Emirates.

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession for the United Arab Emirates (WT/L/129) and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of the UAE (WT/L/128).

() Composition of the Textiles Monitoring Body (WT/GC/M/10)

In January 1995, the General Council had adopted a Decision on the composition of the Textiles Monitoring Body until the end of 1997 (WT/L/26). With regard to the China/Pakistan constituency, a footnote to the Decision stipulated that in the event that China did not become a WTO Member by 31 December 1995, "a WTO Member, to be proposed by the WTO Members that are members of the International Textiles and Clothing Bureau, shall be included in this constituency until such date as China becomes a WTO Member".

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered a communication from the ITCB (WT/GC/W/28) proposing that Macau be included in the China/Pakistan constituency until such time as China became a Member of the WTO, or until 31 December 1997, whichever came first.

The General Council took note of the proposed nomination to the TMB (WT/L/26/Add.1).

() Approval of rules of procedure for subsidiary bodies
- Committee on Regional Trade Agreements (WT/GC/M/14)

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the General Council approved the rules of procedure for meetings of the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements in WT/REG/1, which had been adopted by that Committee on 2 July.

() Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions

() Consultations (WT/GC/M/10, 13)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered and adopted the Committee's report on its consultation with India (WT/BOP/R/11 - BOP/R/234).

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered and adopted the Committee's reports on its consultations with Nigeria (WT/BOP/R/13), Tunisia (WT/BOP/R/14) and Slovakia (WT/BOP/R/15).

() Disinvocation of the balance-of-payments provisions of the GATT 1994 by Turkey and Poland (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman of the Committee informed the General Council that Turkey and Poland had recently communicated to the Committee their intention to disinvoke the balance-of-payments provisions of GATT 1994 as of 1 January 1997 (WT/BOP/N/7 and WT/BOP/N/8).

() Notifications by the Philippines and India (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council took note that the Committee had received a notification from the Philippines concerning the lifting of restrictions on agricultural products (WT/BOP/N/9), and a recent submission from India containing a list of all quantitative restrictions including those maintained for BOP purposes (WT/BOP/N/11).

() Notes on meetings (WT/GC/M/10, 13)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council took note of the Committee's discussion of its ongoing business reported in WT/BOP/R/12 - BOP/R/235.

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council took note of the Committee's discussion of its ongoing business reported in WT/BOP/R/16.

() Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration
- Reports (WT/GC/M/10, 11, 12, 13)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered the Committee's reports in WT/BFA/16 - L/7660 and WT/BFA/18 - L/7662, and adopted the two reports.

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the General Council considered the Committee's reports in WT/BFA/20, WT/BFA/21 and WT/BFA/22. The General Council approved the Committee's specific recommendations in paragraphs 12 and 14 of its report in WT/BFA/20, and adopted the report. The General Council then approved the Committee's specific recommendations in paragraph 8 of its report in WT/BFA/21, and adopted the report. The General Council then approved the Committee's specific recommendations in paragraphs 5 to 11 of its report in WT/BFA/22, and adopted the report.

At its meeting on 26 June 1996, the General Council considered the Committee's report in WT/BFA/24. The General Council approved the Committee's specific recommendation in paragraph 11 of its report in WT/BFA/24, and adopted the report.

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered the Committee's report in WT/BFA/26. The General Council approved the Committee's specific recommendations in paragraphs 6 and 8 of its report in WT/BFA/26, and adopted the report.

() Committee on Regional Trade Agreements

() Establishment of the Committee and adoption of its terms of reference (WT/GC/M/10)

In December 1995, the General Council had agreed in principle to establish a committee on regional trade agreements and that the Chairman would hold consultations on the terms of reference and other related matters concerning the committee.

At the General Council meeting on 6 February 1996, Mr. Weekes (Canada) reported on the consultations he had held on behalf and at the request of the Chairman, and proposed that a draft decision that had been circulated to delegations on this matter be adopted with an amendment.

The General Council adopted the Decision establishing the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements with the terms of reference set out therein (WT/L/127).

() Presiding officers to the Committee (WT/GC/M/11)

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Weekes (Canada) had agreed to serve as Chairman of the Committee, and Mr. Berthet (Uruguay) Mr. Harbinson (Hong Kong), Mr. Ravaloson (Madagascar) and Mr. Willems (Belgium) had agreed to serve as Vice-Chairmen.

() Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement

() Harmonized System

- Bangladesh, Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Morocco, Nicaragua and Sri Lanka (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered requests by Bangladesh (G/L/77), Bolivia (G/L/78), Guatemala (G/L/86), Jamaica (G/L/79), Morocco (G/L/80), Nicaragua (G/L/81) and Sri Lanka (G/L/83) for extensions of waivers previously granted in connection with their implementation of the Harmonized System, and the related draft decisions.

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of these requests.

The General Council adopted the Decisions on the extensions of the waivers (WT/L/164 - Bangladesh; WT/L/165 - Bolivia; WT/L/172 - Guatemala; WT/L/166 - Jamaica; WT/L/167 - Morocco; WT/L/168 - Nicaragua; and WT/L/170 - Sri Lanka) in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Renegotiations of Schedules

() Malawi - Renegotiation of Schedule LVIII (WT/GC/M/10)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered a request by Malawi (G/L/51) for an extension of a waiver previously granted in connection with its renegotiation of its schedule, and a draft decision to this effect (G/C/W/31).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of this request.

The General Council adopted the Decision on the extension of the waiver (WT/L/131) in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Senegal - Renegotiation of Schedule XLIX (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered a request by Senegal (G/L/82) for an extension of a waiver previously granted in connection with its renegotiation of its schedule, and the related draft decision (G/C/W/45).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of this request.

The General Council adopted the Decision on the extension of the waiver (WT/L/169) in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Zambia - Renegotiation of Schedule LXXVIII (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered a request by Zambia (G/L/84) for an extension of a waiver previously granted in connection with its renegotiation of its schedule, and the related draft decision (G/C/W/47).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of this request.

The General Council adopted the Decision on the extension of the waiver (WT/L/171) in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996
- Extension of time-limit (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered a draft decision extending the time-limit of the Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996 (G/MA/W/6).

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of this extension of the time-limit.

The General Council adopted the Decision extending the time-limit (WT/L/173) in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Extension of waivers pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Understanding in respect of waivers of obligations under GATT 1994 (WT/GC/M/14, 15)
- Canada - CARIBCAN
- Cuba - Article XV:6 of GATT 1994
- European Communities - Fourth ACP-EC Convention of Lomé
- France - Trading Arrangements with Morocco
- South Africa - Base dates under Article I:4
- United States - ANDEAN Trade Preference Act
- United States - Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
- Zimbabwe - Base dates under Article I:4

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the General Council considered requests by Canada (G/L/100), Cuba (G/L/89), the European Communities (G/L/108, G/L/109), South Africa (G/L/104), the United States (G/L/101, G/L/102) and Zimbabwe (G/L/106) for extensions of waivers pursuant to paragraph 2 of the Understanding in respect of waivers of obligations under GATT 1994, and the related draft decisions.

The Chairman of the Council for Trade in Goods reported on the Council's consideration of these requests.

The General Council agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 14 October 1996, the General Council again considered these requests and adopted the Decisions on the extensions of the waivers (WT/L/185 - Canada; WT/L/182 - Cuba; WT/L/186 and WT/L/187 - European Communities; WT/L/188 - South Africa; WT/L/183 and WT/L/184 - United States; and WT/L/189 - Zimbabwe), in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93).

() Status of waivers in effect on the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council took note that in consultations held recently by the Chairman on the status of waivers in effect on the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement, there appeared to be broad agreement that each Member that sought an extension of such a waiver, a list of which was provided in WT/L/153/Rev.1, should submit a request for its extension which should be dealt with under the provisions of Article IX:3 of the WTO Agreement and that, pursuant to those provisions, such requests should first be considered by the Council for Trade in Goods.

() Accessions

() Bulgaria (WT/GC/M/14)

In November 1986 and February 1990, the GATT 1947 Council had established a working party to examine Bulgaria's request for accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Subsequently, in pursuance of the Decision regarding requests for WTO accession adopted by the General Council on 31 January 1995³, the GATT 1947 Accession Working Party had been transformed into a WTO Accession Working Party.

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the General Council considered the Working Party's report (WT/ACC/BGR/5 and Corr.1, Add.1 and Add.2).

The General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession (WT/ACC/BGR/7) and the text of the draft decision on the Accession of Bulgaria and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of Bulgaria (WT/ACC/BGR/6). The General Council then adopted the report of the Working Party (WT/ACC/BGR/5 and Corr.1, Add.1 and Add.2).

() Georgia (WT/GC/M/13)

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered a communication from Georgia (WT/ACC/GEO/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII thereof.

The General Council agreed to establish a working party to examine Georgia's request, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Georgia.

³See WT/GC/M/1, Item 4(g).

() Kazakstan (WT/GC/M/10, 11)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered a communication from Kazakstan (WT/ACC/KAZ/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII thereof.

The General Council agreed to establish a working party to examine Kazakstan's request, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Kazakstan.

The Chairman invited Kazakstan, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period when the Working Party was carrying out its work.

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Ekblom (Finland) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Kyrgyz Republic (WT/GC/M/11, 13)

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the General Council considered a communication from the Kyrgyz Republic (WT/ACC/KGZ/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII thereof.

The General Council agreed to establish a working party to examine the request of the Kyrgyz Republic, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of the Kyrgyz Republic.

The Chairman invited the Kyrgyz Republic, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period when the Working Party was carrying out its work.

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Metzger (France) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Mongolia (WT/GC/M/13)

In October 1991, the GATT 1947 Council had established a working party to examine Mongolia's request for accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Subsequently, in pursuance of the Decision regarding requests for WTO accession adopted by the General Council on 31 January 1995⁴, the GATT 1947 Accession Working Party had been transformed into a WTO Accession Working Party.

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the General Council considered the Working Party's report (WT/ACC/MNG/9 and Corr.1, Add.1, Add.1/Corr.1, and Add.2).

The General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession (WT/ACC/MNG/11) and the text of the draft decision on the Accession of Mongolia and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of Mongolia (WT/ACC/MNG/10). The General Council then

⁴See WT/GC/M/1, Item 4(g).

adopted the report of the Working Party (WT/ACC/MNG/9 and Corr.1, Add.1 and Add.1/Corr.1, and Add.2).

() Oman (WT/GC/M/12, 14)

At its meeting on 26 June 1996, the General Council considered a communication from Oman (WT/ACC/OMN/1) concerning its interest in acceding to the WTO Agreement pursuant to Article XII thereof.

The General Council agreed to establish a working party to examine Oman's request, and authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Oman.

The Chairman invited Oman, on behalf of the General Council, to attend meetings of the General Council and, as appropriate, meetings of other WTO bodies as an observer during the period when the Working Party was carrying out its work.

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Akram (Pakistan) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Panama (WT/GC/M/14)

In October 1991, the GATT 1947 Council had established a working party to examine Panama's request for accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade. Subsequently, in pursuance of the Decision regarding requests for WTO accession adopted by the General Council on 31 January 1995⁵, the GATT 1947 Accession Working Party had been transformed into a WTO Accession Working Party.

At its meeting on 2 October 1996, the General Council considered the Working Party's report (WT/ACC/PAN/19 and Corr.1, Add.1 and Add.2).

The General Council approved the text of the Protocol of Accession (WT/ACC/PAN/21) and the text of the draft decision on the Accession of Panama and, in accordance with the Decision-Making Procedures Under Articles IX and XII of the WTO Agreement agreed in November 1995 (WT/L/93), adopted the Decision on the Accession of Panama (WT/ACC/PAN/20). The General Council then adopted the report of the Working Party (WT/ACC/PAN/19 and Corr.1, Add.1 and Add.2).

() Papua New Guinea (WT/GC/M/10, 11)

In November 1995, the General Council had adopted a Decision (WT/L/98) authorizing Papua New Guinea to accede to the WTO Agreement under terms set out in its Protocol of Accession (WT/L/99).

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the General Council considered a communication from Papua New Guinea requesting that the time-limit for acceptance in paragraph 6 of its Protocol of Accession be changed to 13 May 1996 (WT/GC/W/30), and a draft decision to this effect in the Annex to that document.

The representative of Japan reiterated his Government's concern with regard to Papua New Guinea's applied tariff on canned mackerel that was in excess of the bound tariff.

⁵See WT/GC/M/1, Item 4(g).

The General Council adopted the Decision extending the time-limit (WT/L/130).

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the General Council considered a communication from Papua New Guinea requesting that the time-limit in paragraph 6 of its Protocol of Accession be further extended to 13 August 1996 (WT/GC/W/33), and a draft decision to this effect in the Annex to that document.

The General Council adopted the Decision further extending the time-limit (WT/L/148).

() Saudi Arabia (WT/GC/M/10)

In July 1993, the GATT 1947 Council had established a working party to examine Saudi Arabia's request for accession to the GATT. Following the request by Saudi Arabia for accession to the WTO Agreement (WT/ACC/SAU/1), that working party had been transformed into a WTO Accession Working Party.

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Weekes (Canada) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Seychelles (WT/GC/M/13)

In July 1995, the General Council had established a working party to examine Seychelles' request for accession to the WTO Agreement, and had authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Seychelles.

At its meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Ravaloson (Madagascar) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Tonga (WT/GC/M/10)

In November 1995, the General Council had established a working party to examine Tonga's request for accession to the WTO Agreement, and had authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Tonga.

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mr. Harbinson (Hong Kong) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Vanuatu (WT/GC/M/11)

In July 1995, the General Council had established a working party to examine Vanuatu's request for accession to the WTO Agreement, and had authorized its Chairman to designate the Chairperson of the Working Party in consultation with representatives of Members and with the representative of Vanuatu.

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that Mrs. Syahrudin (Indonesia) had agreed to chair the Working Party.

() Statement by Cuba on the United States' Helms-Burton Act of 1996 (WT/GC/M/11)

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the representative of Cuba expressed concern that the US Helms-Burton Act of 1996 was a violation of international trade rules and international law, as well as of undertakings entered into by the United States when signing the Final Act Embodying the Results of the Uruguay Round, and noted that his Government had recently circulated a communication on this matter (WT/L/142).

() Hungary - Invocation of Article 48 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of the Treaties (WT/GC/M/11)

At its meeting on 16 April 1996, the representative of Hungary informed the General Council of Hungary's invocation, on 9 April 1996, of Article 48 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties with respect to Section II of Part IV of Schedule LXXI, and expressed his Government's readiness to hold consultations with any Members on this matter.

() Brazil - Provisional safeguard measures concerning imports of toys (WT/GC/M/13)

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the representative of the European Communities expressed concern at Brazil's recent initiation of a safeguard investigation and the imposition of a provisional safeguard measure on imports of toys originating in all third countries.

() Notification requirements (WT/GC/M/11)

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the Director-General noted that Members had recently been reminded of their unfulfilled notification requirements for 1995 and their notification requirements for 1996, and underlined the importance of fulfilling these obligations.

() Procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents (WT/GC/M/10, 12, 13)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that no further progress on this matter had been achieved since the meeting of the General Council in December 1995 and that he intended to refer to this matter in a statement he would make under a separate Agenda item.⁶

At its meeting on 26 June 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that he was still not in a position to submit a draft decision on this matter for consideration by Members.

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman said that following extensive consultations held since the beginning of the year, agreement had now been reached on a compromise text that appeared to be agreeable to a majority of delegations. He proposed that the text of the draft decision on this matter, with paragraph (h) of the Appendix redrafted to reflect the compromise regarding panel reports, be adopted at the present meeting.

The General Council adopted the procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents (WT/L/160/Rev.1).

⁶See under point [...] - "Election of Chairperson".

The Chairman then made the following statement: "In adopting these procedures on the circulation and derestriction of documents, the General Council takes note that Members attach particular importance to the restricted nature of documents so designated, and that individual governments should proceed accordingly in their handling of such documents."

- () Arrangements for effective cooperation with other intergovernmental organizations
- Relations between the WTO and the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank
(WT/GC/M/13)

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman said that informal consultations on draft arrangements for relations between the WTO and the IMF and the World Bank were currently under way, and proposed that the General Council revert to this item at its next meeting.

The General Council so agreed.

- () Guidelines for arrangements on relations with non-governmental organizations pursuant to Article V:2 of the WTO Agreement (WT/GC/M/10, 12, 13)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the Chairman informed the General Council that no further progress on this matter had been achieved since the meeting of the General Council in December 1995 and that he intended to refer to this matter in a statement he would make under a separate Agenda item.⁷

At the General Council meeting on 26 June 1996, the Chairman recalled that approval of the proposed guidelines on this matter had been linked to agreement on derestriction procedures, on which he was still not in a position to submit a draft decision for consideration.

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman drew attention to the draft guidelines for arrangements on relations with non-governmental organizations which had been agreed in informal consultations held in 1995 and held in abeyance pending a decision on procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents. Since the latter had been adopted earlier at that meeting⁸, he proposed that the guidelines on relations with non-governmental organizations also be adopted.

The General Council so agreed (WT/L/162).

- () Compliance with the obligations of Articles 70.8 and 70.9 of the TRIPS Agreement
(WT/GC/W/10)

At the General Council meeting on 6 February 1996, the representative of the United States expressed concern that a number of countries had not complied with the obligations of Articles 70.8 and 70.9 of the TRIPS Agreement and reserved his delegation's rights for future action in this regard.

⁷See under point [...] - "Election of Chairperson".

⁸See under point [...] - "Procedures for the circulation and derestriction of WTO documents".

() WTO Press Release on Trade and Foreign Direct Investment (WT/GC/M/15)

At the General Council meeting on 14 October 1996, the representative of India expressed concern at the recent issuance by the Secretariat of a Press Release entitled "Trade and Foreign Direct Investment - New Report by the WTO", which raised the fundamental and important issue of the role of the Secretariat and its relationship with Members.

() Administrative matters

() Offices of the Deputy Directors-General (WT/GC/M/11)

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the Director-General proposed that since preparations for the Ministerial Conference in December 1996 would require all the attention of Members, the contracts of the three Deputy Directors-General, Messrs. Hoda, Lavorel and Seade, which were due to expire on 31 July 1996, be extended for a period of one year, and that he initiate consultations on further renewals or new appointments early in 1997, well in advance of the date of expiration of their terms of office.

The General Council agreed to proceed as suggested by the Director-General if this matter were not raised again at its next meeting.

() Staff-related matters (WT/GC/M/10)

At its meeting on 6 February 1996, the Director-General recalled the Decision of the General Council at its meeting on 30 October 1995 with regard to the review of the question of salaries, pensions and other conditions of service of WTO staff (WT/L/91), and outlined reasons for which it was urgent for the General Council to resume consideration of these questions in order to reach decisions as soon as possible. He proposed that informal consultations by the Chairman would be appropriate for this purpose.

The General Council agreed that its Chairman hold informal consultations on these matters.

() Pensions and salaries of WTO staff (WT/GC/M/15)

At the General Council meeting on 14 October 1996, the Chairman said that in informal consultations held recently, no consensus had been possible on the text of a draft proposal on conditions of service for WTO staff that he had circulated, and that he would continue his consultations on this matter.

() Observer status

() Governments
- Georgia (WT/GC/M/12)

At its meeting on 26 June 1996, the General Council granted observer status to Georgia.

() International intergovernmental organizations (WT/GC/M/10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15)

At the General Council meeting on 6 February 1996, the Chairman said it was his understanding that pending agreement on guidelines for observer status for international organizations, the United Nations, UNCTAD, IMF, World Bank, FAO, WIPO and OECD would be invited to the next meeting of the General Council, in accordance with the *ad hoc* arrangements approved on 16 March 1995.⁹

At the General Council meeting on 16 April 1996, the Chairman recalled his earlier understanding on this matter.

At the General Council meeting on 26 June 1996, the Chairman recalled his earlier understanding on this matter.

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman said it was his understanding that, pending agreement on the organizations to be accorded observer status in the General Council in accordance with the guidelines for such status agreed on 18 July¹⁰, the United Nations, UNCTAD, IMF, World Bank, FAO, WIPO and OECD would be invited to the next meeting of the General Council.

At the General Council meeting on 2 October 1996, the Chairman recalled his previous understanding on this matter.

At the General Council meeting on 14 October 1996, the Chairman recalled his previous understanding on this matter.

() Guidelines on observer status for international intergovernmental organizations (WT/GC/M/12, 13)

At the General Council meeting on 26 June 1996, the Chairman recalled that agreement on guidelines on observer status for international intergovernmental organizations had been awaiting agreement on the participation of organizations as observers in the Dispute Settlement Body and that an essential aspect of this would be the arrangements for cooperation between the WTO and the IMF and the World Bank that were presently under consideration. The guidelines therefore could not yet be finalized.

At the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, the Chairman recalled that Members had thus far considered that guidelines on observer status for international organizations could not be adopted until agreement had been reached on arrangements for cooperation between the WTO and the IMF and World Bank. Pending the approval of those arrangements, he proposed that Members agree on the text as contained in PC/IPL/W/14, with an amendment to paragraph 3 thereof, which would not prejudice the outcome of the consultations on the above arrangements, but which would at the same time enable the General Council to adopt guidelines at the present time. This would allow the WTO to settle the question of the attendance of international intergovernmental organizations in WTO bodies in time for decisions to be taken regarding the participation of observer organizations at the Ministerial Conference in Singapore.

The General Council adopted the guidelines on observer status for international intergovernmental organizations proposed by the Chairman (WT/L/161, Annex 3).

⁹See WT/GC/M/3, Item 3.

¹⁰See under sub-point [...] below - "Guidelines on observer status for international intergovernmental organizations".

() Election of Chairperson (WT/GC/M/10)

At the General Council meeting on 6 February 1996, as the outgoing presiding officer of the General Council, the Chairman made a statement on the work of the General Council in 1995 (WT/GC(96)/ST/1).

The General Council then unanimously elected Mr. Rossier (Switzerland) to the Chair.

SECTION II

REPORT OF THE DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY

DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY

DISPUTE SETTLEMENT BODY

Annual Report (1996)

The present report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under the WTO (WT/L/105), and sets out the actions taken by the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) since the previous overview of WTO activities held in December 1995.¹

In carrying out its task, the DSB has held 15 meetings since December 1995. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the DSB's work, are contained in documents WT/DSB/M/10-WT/DSB/M/24.

The following subjects are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
1. DSB schedule of meetings	2
2. Election of Chairperson	3
3. Working procedures of the Appellate Body	3
4. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists	3
5. Curricula vitae of representatives to the WTO	4
6. Mutually agreed solutions	4
7. Requests to be joined in consultations under the DSU	5
8. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures	5
(a) Brazil	5
(i) Export financing programme for aircraft	5
(ii) Measures affecting desiccated coconut	5
(b) Canada	6
- Certain measures concerning periodicals	6
(c) European Communities	6
(i) Implementation of the Uruguay Round commitments concerning rice	6
(ii) Measures affecting livestock and meat (hormones)	6
(iii) Measures concerning meat and meat products (hormones)	7

¹The 1995 Annual Report of the DSB is contained in WT/DSB/3.

(iv)	Regime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas	7
(v)	Trade description of scallops	8
(d)	Japan	8
-	Measures affecting consumer photographic film and paper	8
(e)	Korea	9
-	Measures concerning bottled water	9
(f)	Pakistan	9
-	Patent protection for pharmaceutical and agricultural chemical products	9
(g)	Poland	9
-	Import regime for automobiles	9
(h)	Portugal	10
-	Patent protection under the Industrial Property Act	10
(i)	Turkey	10
-	Action on imports of textiles and clothing	10
(j)	United States	11
(i)	Anti-dumping investigation regarding imports of fresh or chilled tomatoes from Mexico	11
(ii)	Import prohibition of certain shrimp and shrimp products	11
(iii)	Increase in the rates of duty on certain products of the European Communities (Presidential Proclamation No 5759 of 24 December 1987)	11
(iv)	Measures affecting imports of women's and girls' wool coats	11
(v)	Measures affecting imports of woven wool shirts and blouses	12
(vi)	Restrictions on imports of cotton and man-made fibre underwear	12
(vii)	Standards for reformulated and conventional gasoline	13
(viii)	Tariff increases on products from the European Communities	14
(ix)	The Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act	14
9.	US draft bill concerning the definition of "domestic industry" in the area of safeguards	15
10.	Report on progress of preparatory work for the Singapore Ministerial Conference	15
11.	DSB report to the General Council for the Singapore Ministerial Conference	15
12.	Summary conclusions	16

1. DSB schedule of meetings (WT/DSB/M/10)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the Chairman announced that the schedule of DSB meetings for 1996 had been circulated in WTO/AIR/237 on 12 December 1995.

The DSB took note of this information.

2. Election of Chairperson (WT/DSB/M/10)

At its meeting on 31 January 1996, the DSB elected Mr. Celso Lafer (Brazil) as Chairman by acclamation.

3. Working procedures of the Appellate Body (WT/DSB/M/10, 11)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the Chairman said that the work on the procedures for the appellate review had been carried out by the Appellate Body members in January 1996. The views expressed by Members on this matter had been conveyed to the Appellate Body in accordance with paragraph 14 in WT/DSB/1. Any other views by Members on key issues were required to be conveyed to the Chairman the following day, as the Appellate Body was finalizing its procedures.

The DSB took note of this information.

At the DSB meeting on 21 February 1996, the Chairman drew attention to the working procedures of the Appellate Body contained in WT/AB/WP/1, which had been circulated on 15 February 1996 as an unrestricted document. He also drew attention to a covering letter from the Chairman of the Appellate Body which had addressed the issues of interest to Members, and had explained the reasons of the Appellate Body for its conclusions on certain key elements of the working procedures.

The representatives of Mexico, Egypt, India, the United States, Chile, Canada and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

4. Indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists (WT/DSB/M/10,14,20,21,22,24)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the Chairman drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/17 containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The DSB so agreed.

At the DSB meeting on 17 April 1996, the Chairman drew attention to documents WT/DSB/W/21 and WT/DSB/W/24, containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The representative of the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statement and approved the names in WT/DSB/W/21 and WT/DSB/W/24.

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the Chairman drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/30 containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The DSB so agreed.

At the DSB meeting on 15 and 16 July 1996, the Chairman drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/33 containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The DSB so agreed.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 1996, the Chairman drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/36 containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The DSB so agreed.

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the Chairman drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/40 containing names of candidates for inclusion on the indicative list, and proposed that the DSB approve the names contained therein.

The DSB so agreed.

5. Curricula vitae of representatives to the WTO (WT/DSB/M/20)

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the Chairman proposed that in order to facilitate the work of the Secretariat with regard to the composition of panels, Members were invited to submit the curricula vitae of their Geneva-based representatives which might be considered to serve on panels.

The DSB took note of the statement.

6. Mutually agreed solutions (WT/DSB/M/15, 24)

At the DSB meeting on 24 April 1996, the Chairman drew attention to the obligation under Article 3.6 of the DSU to notify mutually agreed solutions to matters formally raised under the dispute settlement provisions.

The representative of India spoke.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the representative of India drew attention to document WT/DSB/W/35 prepared by the Secretariat in response to India's request made at the DSB meeting on 24 April 1996, with regard to the obligation under Article 3.6 of the DSU to notify mutually agreed solutions. He said that he would make a detailed statement explaining his views on this document at a later date.

The DSB took note of the statement.

7. Requests to be joined in consultations under the DSU (WT/DSB/M/13)

At the DSB meeting on 27 March 1996, the Chairman presented a proposal concerning communications containing requests to be joined in consultations pursuant to Article 4.11 of the DSU. The text of this proposal was subsequently circulated in WT/DSB/W/23.

The DSB agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

8. Recourse to dispute settlement procedures

(a) Brazil

(i) Export financing programme for aircraft (WT/DSB/M/22)

At its meeting on 27 September 1996, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding Brazil's export financing programme for aircraft (WT/DS46/2).

The representatives of Canada, Brazil and Jamaica spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed with Canada's decision that its request for a panel in WT/DS46/2 be withdrawn and that a new request for a panel on this matter be circulated and considered by the DSB at its next regular meeting.

(ii) Measures affecting desiccated coconut (WT/DSB/M/10, 11,12)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the representative of the Philippines informed Members that consideration of the request for the establishment of a panel to examine the Philippines' complaint regarding Brazil's countervailing duties on imports of desiccated coconut would be postponed (WT/DS22/5).

The representative of Brazil spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 21 February 1996, the DSB considered a request by the Philippines for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding Brazil's countervailing duties on imports of desiccated coconut (WT/DS22/5).

The representatives of the Philippines, Brazil, Indonesia on behalf of ASEAN countries, and Sri Lanka spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed, at the request of the Philippines, to convene its next meeting on 5 March in order to reconsider this matter.

At its meeting on 5 March 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the Philippines and Brazil spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, agreed to establish a panel to examine the Philippines' request, and authorized the Chairman of the DSB to draw up the terms of reference of the panel in consultation with the parties to the dispute in accordance with Article 7.3 of the DSU.

The representatives of Canada, the European Communities, Indonesia, Malaysia and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.²

- (b) Canada
- Certain measures concerning periodicals (WT/DSB/M/18 and Corr.1, 19)

At its meeting on 6 June 1996, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding Canada's measures concerning periodicals (WT/DS31/2).

The representatives of the United States and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 19 June 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

- (c) European Communities
(i) Implementation of the Uruguay Round commitments concerning rice (WT/DSB/M/10)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the representative of Uruguay informed Members that his country had requested consultations under Article XXII:1 of GATT 1994 with the European Communities with respect to the implementation of the commitments on rice undertaken by the latter in the Uruguay Round (WT/DS25/1 and Corr.1).

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (ii) Measures affecting livestock and meat (hormones) (WT/DSB/M/22, 24)

At its meeting on 27 September 1996, the DSB considered a request by Canada for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding European Communities' measures affecting livestock and meat (WT/DS48/5).

The representatives of Canada and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 16 October 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

²After the meeting Sri Lanka also reserved its third-party rights.

The representatives of Canada, the European Communities and Argentina spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

The representatives of Australia, New Zealand, Norway and the United States reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.

(iii) Measures concerning meat and meat products (hormones) (WT/DSB/M/16,17)

At its meeting on 8 May 1996, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding the European Communities' measures concerning meat and meat products (WT/DS26/6).

The representatives of the United States, the European Communities and Argentina spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 20 May 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

The representatives of Australia, Canada, New Zealand and Norway reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.

(iv) Regime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas (WT/DSB/M/15, 16, 19)

At its meeting on 24 April 1996, the DSB considered a request by Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico and the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine their complaint regarding the European Communities' regime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas (WT/DS27/6).

The representatives of Guatemala, on behalf of Ecuador, Honduras, Mexico and the United States, the European Communities and Mexico, on behalf of Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At its meeting on 8 May 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representative of Guatemala, on behalf of Ecuador, Honduras, Mexico and the United States, the representative of St. Lucia, on behalf of Belize, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Grenada and St. Vincent and the Grenadines, and the representatives of India, Côte d'Ivoire, Cameroon, Ghana, Jamaica, Dominican Republic, Costa Rica, Colombia, Nicaragua, Venezuela, Canada, the European Communities and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

The representatives of Belize, Cameroon, Colombia, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ghana, Grenada, India, Jamaica, Japan, Nicaragua, the Philippines, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Senegal, Thailand and Venezuela reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.³

At the DSB meeting on 19 June 1996, the representative of Jamaica expressed her country's concerns with regard to the decision taken by the panel on the Communities régime for the importation, sale and distribution of bananas, to grant ACP countries observer status during the first substantive meeting of the panel. She requested the Chairman to initiate consultations on this matter.

The representatives of Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, the European Communities, the United States and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(v) Trade description of scallops (WT/DSB/M/20)

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the representative of Canada, speaking on behalf of the European Communities, Chile and Peru, announced that mutually agreed solutions had been reached in both disputes regarding the French labelling regulation on scallops (WT/DS7, WT/DS12 and WT/DS14).

The Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

(d) Japan
- Measures affecting consumer photographic film and paper (WT/DSB/M/23,24)

At its meeting on 3 October 1996, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding Japan's measures affecting consumer photographic film and paper (WT/DS44/2).

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

At its meeting on 16 October 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the United States, Japan and the European Communities spoke.

³After the meeting Thailand informed the Secretariat that it would not participate as a third party in this dispute; Canada and Suriname reserved their third-party rights.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with the terms of reference to be drawn up by the parties to the dispute within 20 days in accordance with Article 7.1 of the DSU.

The representatives of the European Communities and Mexico reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.

- (e) Korea
- Measures concerning bottled water (WT/DSB/M/15)

At the DSB meeting on 24 April 1996, the representative of Canada announced that Korea and Canada had reached a mutually agreed solution with regard to Korea's measures concerning bottled water (WT/DS20/6).

The representative of Korea spoke.

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (f) Pakistan
- Patent protection for pharmaceutical and agricultural chemical products
(WT/DSB/M/21)

At its meeting on 15 and 16 July 1996, the DSB considered a request by the United States for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding Pakistan's patent protection for pharmaceutical and agricultural chemical products (WT/DS36/3).

The representatives of the United States and Pakistan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at a future meeting.

- (g) Poland
- Import regime for automobiles (WT/DSB/M/21,22)

At the DSB meeting on 15 and 16 July 1996, the representative of India announced that the authorities of India and Poland had reached a mutually agreed solution with regard to Poland's import régime for automobiles (WT/DS19/1).

The representative of Poland spoke.

The DSB took note of this information.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 1996, the representative of the United States sought further clarification with regard to the settlement reached between Poland and India on passenger cars (WT/DS19/2).

The representatives of the United States and Poland spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (h) Portugal
- Patent protection under the Industrial Property Act (WT/DSB/M/24)

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the representative of the United States drew attention of Members that Portugal and the United States had reached a mutually satisfactory solution to the matter raised by the United States concerning the term of patent protection required by the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (WT/DS37/2 and Corr.1).

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (i) Turkey
- Action on imports of textiles and clothing (WT/DSB/M/11, 13, 14, 15)

At the DSB meeting on 21 February 1996, the representative of Hong Kong informed Members that his Government had requested consultations with Turkey with regard to the implementation of the Customs Union between Turkey and the European Community (WT/DS29/1).

The representatives of the Philippines, on behalf of Malaysia and Thailand, India, Korea, Peru, Argentina, Colombia, Brazil, Pakistan, Turkey and the European Communities spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 27 March 1996, the representative of India informed Members that his Government had requested consultations with Turkey on quantitative restrictions imposed unilaterally on imports of a broad range of textile and clothing products from India (WT/DS34/1).

The representatives of Turkey, Hong Kong, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 27 March 1996, the representative of Hong Kong said that since the thirty-day period to enter into consultations pursuant to Article 4.3 of the DSU had expired, he requested Turkey to confirm its readiness to enter into consultations with Hong Kong (WT/DS29/1).

The representatives of Thailand, on behalf of Malaysia and the Philippines, and Turkey spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 17 April 1996, the representative of Hong Kong expressed his delegation's position regarding the participation of the European Communities in consultations which Hong Kong had requested with Turkey (WT/DS29/1).

The representatives of Thailand, on behalf of Malaysia and the Philippines, India, Peru, Turkey, Brazil, the European Communities and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 24 April 1996, the representative of India expressed his delegation's position regarding the participation of the European Communities in consultations which India had requested with Turkey (WT/DS34/1).

The representatives of Turkey, the European Communities and Hong Kong spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (j) United States
(i) Anti-dumping investigation regarding imports of fresh or chilled tomatoes from Mexico (WT/DSB/M/20)

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the representative of Mexico informed Members that his country had requested consultations with the United States with regard to the anti-dumping investigation on imports of fresh or chilled tomatoes from Mexico (WT/DS49/1)

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (ii) Import prohibition of certain shrimp and shrimp products (WT/DSB/M/24)

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the representative of Thailand, on behalf of India, Malaysia and Pakistan, informed Members that they had requested consultations with the United States concerning its ban imposed on importation of shrimp and shrimp products from the above-mentioned countries (WT/DS58/1).

The DSB took note of the statement.

- (iii) Increase in the rates of duty on certain products of the European Communities (Presidential Proclamation No 5759 of 24 December 1987) (WT/DSB/M/13)

At the DSB meeting on 27 March 1996, the representative of the European Communities drew attention to the unilateral measures taken by the United States under Presidential Proclamation No 5759 of 24 December 1987, in retaliation for the Communities' directive prohibiting the use of hormones and its ban on imports of beef from cattle raised with the use of hormones.

The representatives of Japan and Canada spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (iv) Measures affecting imports of women's and girls' wool coats (WT/DSB/M/13,14)

At its meeting on 27 March 1996, the DSB considered a request by India for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding the United States' measures affecting imports of women's and girls' wool coats from India (WT/DS32/1).

The representatives of India and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to convene a meeting on 17 April in order to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 17 April 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of India and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

The representatives of Canada, Costa Rica, the European Communities, Norway, Pakistan and Turkey reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.⁴

(v) Measures affecting imports of woven wool shirts and blouses
(WT/DSB/M/13,14)

At its meeting on 27 March 1996, the DSB considered a request by India for the establishment of a panel to examine its complaint regarding the United States' measures affecting imports of woven wool shirts and blouses from India (WT/DS33/1).

The representatives of India and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to convene a meeting on 17 April in order to revert to this matter.

At its meeting on 17 April 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of India, the United States, Norway, the European Communities, Canada and Pakistan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.

The representatives of Canada, the European Communities, Norway, Pakistan and Turkey reserved their third-party rights to participate in the panel proceedings.

(vi) Restrictions on imports of cotton and man-made fibre underwear
(WT/DSB/M/10, 11, 12)

At the DSB meeting on 31 January 1996, the representative of Costa Rica informed Members that his authorities had requested consultations with the United States under Article 4 of the DSU, Article XXIII of the GATT 1994, and the corresponding provisions of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing with regard to the introduction and implementation of quantitative restrictions on imports of cotton and man-made fibre underwear (WT/DS24/1 and Corr.1).

The representatives of India and Hong Kong spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 21 February 1996, the representative of Costa Rica requested that a meeting of the DSB be convened within the next 15 days in order to consider Costa Rica's request for the establishment of a panel on this matter.

The DSB took note of the statement.

⁴In its communication dated 25 April 1996 and circulated in WT/DS32/2, India informed the DSB that its Government had decided to terminate the panel proceedings with regard to this dispute.

At its meeting on 5 March 1996, the DSB considered a request by Costa Rica for the establishment of a panel on this matter (WT/DS24/2).

The representatives of Costa Rica and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to establish a panel with standard terms of reference in accordance with Article 6 of the DSU.⁵

(vii) Standards for reformulated and conventional gasoline (WT/DSB/M/11,17,19,20)

On 10 April 1995, the DSB had established a panel to examine this matter at the request of Venezuela. On 31 May 1995, the DSB had established a panel to examine this matter at the request of Brazil. At that meeting, pursuant to Article 9 of the DSU in respect of multiple complainants the DSB had decided, with the agreement of all parties, that this matter be examined by the panel already established at the request of Venezuela.

At its meeting on 21 February 1996, the DSB considered the panel report on the complaints by Venezuela and Brazil contained in WT/DS2/R. Mr. Harbinson (Hong Kong), on behalf of Mr. Wong, Chairman of the Panel, introduced the panel report.

The representatives of Venezuela, the United States and Brazil spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the United States' decision to appeal the panel report in DS2/R.

At its meeting on 20 May 1996, the DSB considered the Appellate Body report in WT/DS2/AB/R and the Panel report in WT/DS2/R pertaining to the complaints by Venezuela and Brazil.

The representatives of Venezuela, Brazil, the United States, the European Communities and Japan spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements, adopted the Appellate Body report in WT/DS2/AB/R and the Panel report in WT/DS2/R as modified by the Appellate Body report, and agreed that in accordance with the procedures adopted by the GATT 1947 Council in May 1988 (BISD 35S/331), both reports be derestricted.

At the DSB meeting on 19 June 1996, the United States informed the DSB of its intentions in respect of implementation of the recommendations of the DSB at its meeting of 20 May (WT/DS2/9).

The representatives of Venezuela, Brazil and Norway spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and of the information provided by the United States regarding its intentions to implement the recommendations of the DSB.

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the representative of Venezuela informed Members that parties to the dispute had decided to extend the 45-day period stipulated in Article 21.3 of the DSU with a view of reaching a mutually agreed time-period for the implementation of the DSB recommendations.

⁵After the meeting India reserved its third-party rights.

The representative of Brazil spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (viii) Tariff increases on products from the European Communities (WT/DSB/M/19, 20, 21)

At the DSB meeting on 19 June 1996, the representative of the European Communities requested a special meeting of the DSB in order to consider the Communities' request for the establishment of a panel regarding tariff increases by the United States on certain export products from the European Communities (WT/DS39/2).

The DSB took note of the request.

At its meeting on 5 July 1996, the DSB considered a request by the European Communities for the establishment of a panel to examine their complaint regarding tariff increases by the United States on certain export products from the European Communities.

The representatives of the European Communities and the United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

At the DSB meeting on 15 and 16 July 1996, the DSB again considered this matter.

The representatives of the European Communities and United States spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

- (ix) The Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act (WT/DSB/M/24)

At its meeting on 16 October 1996, the DSB considered a request by the European Communities and their Member States for the establishment of a panel to examine their complaint regarding the United States' legislation: i.e., the Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act (WT/DS38/2 and Corr.1).

The representatives of Australia, Bolivia, on behalf of members of the "Rio Group"⁶, Canada, Cuba, Mexico, India and Switzerland spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and agreed to revert to this matter at its next regular meeting.

⁶Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay and Venezuela.

9. US draft bill concerning the definition of "domestic industry" in the area of safeguards (WT/DSB/M/16)

At the DSB meeting on 8 May 1996, the representative of Mexico expressed his delegation's concerns regarding the draft bill to be submitted for approval by the US Senate under which the definition of "domestic industry" contained in the US legislation on safeguards would be redefined.

The representatives of Canada, Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Chile, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Norway, Peru, the Philippines on behalf of ASEAN countries, and Uruguay spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

10. Report on progress of preparatory work for the Singapore Ministerial Conference (WT/DSB/M/21)

At the DSB meeting on 15 and 16 July 1996, the Chairman announced that at the meeting of the General Council scheduled for 18 July he would present an oral report on the activities of the DSB in implementing the provisions of the DSU.

The DSB took note of the information.

11. DSB report to the General Council for the Singapore Ministerial Conference (WT/DSB/M/20,22,24)

At the DSB meeting on 5 July 1996, the Chairman proposed that the DSB submit its annual report for consideration by the General Council at its meeting on 7 November 1996.

The DSB took note of the statement.

At the DSB meeting on 27 September 1996, the Chairman drew to the attention of Members that certain aspects concerning the DSB's activities referred to in his statement made at the General Council meeting on 18 July 1996, would be included in the annual report of the DSB to be submitted for approval by the DSB at its meeting on 16 October 1996.

The representatives of Jamaica, Mexico and Norway spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements.

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the Chairman submitted for adoption a draft text of the 1996 Annual Report of the DSB contained in WT/DSB/W/37. He proposed that following its adoption, the Secretariat be authorized to update the Annual Report under its own responsibility. He also proposed that an annex be attached to the Annual Report containing an overview of the state of play of WTO disputes prepared by the Secretariat.

The representatives of Canada, the United States, the European Communities, India, Korea, Norway, Hong Kong and the Chairman spoke.

The DSB took note of the statements and adopted the Annual Report contained in WT/DSB/W/37 on the understanding that drafting amendments proposed by Members with regard to the "Summary conclusions" be included in the text and circulated together with an annex as proposed by the Chairman. If necessary, informal consultations would be held on this matter. The DSB authorized the Secretariat to update the Annual Report under its own responsibility as proposed by the Chairman.

12. Summary conclusions

The Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) has been in operation for just under two years. The purpose of this section is to highlight certain aspects of the dispute settlement process during this period.

Since January 1995, 42 distinct matters have been raised in the DSB under the provisions of the DSU.⁷ Dispute settlement panels have been established in respect of 12 different matters, three of which were ultimately settled without panel decisions being issued. Of the nine remaining matters, three panel reports have been circulated,⁸ two of which were appealed to the Appellate Body (as at 18 October 1996). The Appellate Body has issued reports in two cases. Although in each case the Appellate Body upheld the panel's recommendations, it modified the panel's legal reasoning. The remaining six panels are expected to issue their reports in due course.

A number of observations could be made after the DSB's experience in 1995 and 1996. First, the number of matters referred to the DSB is considerably greater than was the number under GATT during similar periods. The major trading nations have been the main participants in the dispute settlement system, both as complaining and responding Members. There has been an evident tendency to use the DSU in settling trade disputes in accordance with the aim of Article 23 of the DSU on "Strengthening of the Multilateral System". A further noteworthy development is the increased use of the system by developing country Members.

Second, there have been a significant number of settlements reached under the DSU. In seven of the 42 matters referred to it, the DSB has been formally notified of settlements. In addition, in seven other matters the DSB has not received a request for the establishment of a panel, even though more than six months has elapsed since the initial request for consultations. This record suggests that the DSU is fulfilling its function of permitting, wherever possible, Members to resolve disputes quickly, without resort to the formal panel procedures.

Third, the experience of the DSB to date shows that there is increased transparency in the WTO dispute settlement system. As a result of the implementation of the General Council's Decision of 18 July 1996 on derestriction of documents, all documents circulated under the auspices of the DSB are now available as unrestricted documents or are subject to expeditious consideration for derestriction. Furthermore, the DSU provides that "mutually agreed solutions to matters formally raised under the consultation and dispute settlement provisions of the covered agreements shall be notified to the DSB and the relevant Councils and Committees." The Chairman of the DSB has drawn the attention of Members to these provisions.

⁷There have actually been 58 formal requests for consultations under the DSU and two matters brought before the DSB pursuant to the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC), which does not require consultations in the DSU, but rather allows parties to request the establishment of a panel following completion of consultation and other procedures under the ATC.

⁸Two additional short panel reports were circulated informing Members that mutually agreed solutions have been reached between the parties to the disputes.

As the body dealing with disputes in the WTO, the DSB, in accordance with the provisions laid down in the DSU, has held meetings as often as necessary in order to carry out its functions. Since January 1995, the DSB has held 22 meetings. There were two non-scheduled meetings held in 1995 and since January 1996, five such meetings have been held.

Among its functions the DSB administers the indicative list of governmental and non-governmental panelists having expertise in different fields. This list which, as of 18 October 1996 contained 189 names, has assisted Members to obtain high-level expertise in different panels.

Following the tradition of GATT, the DSB has worked in a spirit of pragmatism and facilitated the obtention of mutually acceptable solutions to trade disputes. The dispute settlement system of the WTO has however moved beyond the GATT dispute settlement procedures through the progressive development of past practices in GATT which are now enshrined in the DSU. The DSU therefore provides Members with both the possibility of reaching mutually satisfactory solutions to their disputes consistently with the WTO Agreement and with the certainty of a legal solution of such disputes, when necessary.

It may therefore be concluded that the role of the DSB in managing the settlement of disputes within the new multilateral trading system under the WTO has been positive. The DSU, under the management of the DSB, is contributing to greater security and predictability in relations amongst partners in the open multilateral trading system. Certain problems in the overall operation of the dispute settlement system have been identified and in most cases, working practices have been developed to solve these problems in a pragmatic manner. Further experience in the operation of the system is nevertheless required before it can be fully evaluated. In this respect, the Decision by Ministers to review the operation of the system within four years after its entry into force will provide an opportunity for such an evaluation and for the introduction of improvements in the system if necessary.

It can finally be stated that the effective operation of the dispute settlement system during the first two years of its existence has fostered greater cooperation amongst Members, reflecting their growing trust in the multilateral system, and thus contributing towards the strengthening and the consolidation of the WTO and the open multilateral trading system.

ANNEX

OVERVIEW OF THE STATE OF PLAY OF WTO DISPUTES

At the DSB meeting on 16 October 1996, the Secretariat was requested to provide an overview of the state of play of disputes under the dispute settlement system in the WTO. The attached overview, which reflects the state of play of WTO disputes from 1 January 1995 to 18 October 1996, has been prepared by the Secretariat under its own responsibility. Section I of the overview indicates the state of play of disputes until the stage of the establishment of panels. Section II indicates the state of play of disputes from the establishment of panels until the stage of adoption of Appellate Body reports.

SECTION I

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
1. Malaysia - Prohibition of Imports of Polyethylene and Polypropylene	13.01.95 Singapore WT/DS1/1	10.01.95	11.03.95			17.03.95 Singapore WT/DS1/2 withdrawal of request on 19.07.95 WT/DSB/M/6	
2. United States - Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline	02.02.95 Venezuela WT/DS2/1	24.01.95	25.03.95			27.03.95 Venezuela WT/DS2/2	10.04.95 WT/DSB/M/3
3. Korea - Measures Concerning the Testing and Inspection of Agricultural Products	06.04.95 United States WT/DS3/1	04.04.95	03.06.95	09.06.95 Japan WT/DS3/2			
4. United States - Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline	12.04.95 Brazil WT/DS4/1	10.04.95	09.06.95			22.05.95 Brazil WT/DS4/2	31.05.95 WT/DSB/M/5

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
5. Korea - Measures Concerning the Shelf-Life of Products	05.05.95 United States WT/DS5/1	03.05.95	02.07.95	24.05.95 Canada WT/DS5/2 09.06.95 Japan WT/DS5/4	31.07.95 WT/DS5/5 & Corr.1 24.11.95 Add.1 22.04.96 Add.1/Rev.1 22.04.96 Add.2 22.04.96 Add.3 19.07.96 Add.4 20.09.96 Add.5		
6. United States - Imposition of Import Duties on Automobiles from Japan under Sections 301 and 304 of the Trade Act of 1974	22.05.95 Japan WT/DS6/1	17.05.95	16.07.95	02.06.95 EC WT/DS6/2 12.06.95 Australia WT/DS6/3	19.07.95 WT/DSB/M/6		
7. EC - Trade Description of Scallops	24.05.95 Canada WT/DS7/1	19.05.95	18.07.95	09.06.95 Chile WT/DS7/2 09.06.95 Iceland WT/DS7/3 09.06.96 Japan WT/DS7/4 12.06.95 Peru WT/DS7/5	19.07.96 WT/DS7/12	10.07.95 Canada WT/DS7/7 & Corr.1	19.07.95 WT/DSB/M/6

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
8. Japan - Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages	29.06.95 EC WT/DS8/1	21.06.95	20.08.95	17.07.95 United States WT/DS8/2 17.07.95 Canada WT/DS8/3		15.09.95 EC WT/DS8/5	27.09.95 WT/DSB/M/7
9. EC - Duties on Imports of Cereals	10.07.95 Canada WT/DS9/1	30.06.95	29.08.95			15.09.95 Canada WT/DS/9/2	11.10.95 WT/DSB/M/8
10. Japan - Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages	17.07.95 Canada WT/DS10/1	07.07.95	05.09.95	21.07.95 United States WT/DS10/2 27.07.95 EC WT/DS10/3		15.09.95 Canada WT/DS10/5	27.09.95 WT/DSB/M/7
11. Japan - Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages	17.07.95 United States WT/DS11/1	07.07.95	05.09.95			15.09.95 USA WT/DS11/2 & Corr.1	27.09.95 WT/DSB/M/7
12. EC - Trade Description of Scallops	25.07.95 Peru WT/DS12/1	18.07.95	15.09.95	09.08.95 Canada WT/DS12/3 11.08.95 Chile WT/DS12/2 & Rev.1 17.08.95 Japan WT/DS12/5	19.07.96 WT/DS12/12	15.09.95 Peru WT/DS12/6 22.09.95 Peru WT/DS12/7	11.10.95 WT/DSB/M/8
13. EC - Duties on Imports of Grains	26.07.95 United States WT/DS13/1	19.07.95	17.09.95			29.09.95 United States WT/DS13/2	

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
14. EC - Trade Description of Scallops	31.07.95 Chile WT/DS14/1	24.07.95	22.09.95	07.08.95 Canada WT/DS14/2 11.08.95 Peru WT/DS14/3 17.08.95 Japan WT/DS14/4	19.07.96 WT/DS14/11	15.09.95 Chile WT/DS14/5 27.09.95 Chile WT/DS14/6	11.10.95 WT/DSB/M/8
15. Japan - Measures Affecting the Purchase of Telecommunications Equipment	24.08.95 EC WT/DS15/1	18.08.95	17.10.95	31.08.95 United States WT/DS15/2			
16. EC - Régime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas	04.10.95 Guatemala Honduras Mexico United States WT/DS16/1	28.09.95	26.11.95	13.10.95 St. Lucia WT/DS16/2 20.10.95 Colombia WT/DS16/3 24.10.95 Dominican Rep WT/DS16/4 25.10.95 Venezuela WT/DS16/5 20.10.95 Nicaragua WT/DS16/6 30.10.95 Costa Rica WT/DS16/7			
17. EC - Duties on Imports of Rice	11.10.95 Thailand WT/DS17/1	05.10.95	04.12.95				

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
18. Australia - Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon	11.10.95 Canada WT/DS18/1	05.10.95	04.12.95				
19. Poland - Import Régime for Automobiles	18.10.95 India WT/DS19/1	28.09.95	27.11.95		11.09.96 WT/DS19/2		
20. Korea - Measures Concerning Bottled Water	22.11.95 Canada WT/DS20/1	08.11.95	08.01.96	30.11.95 United States WT/DS20/2 14.12.95 EC WT/DS20/4	6.05.96 WT/DS20/6		
21. Australia - Measures Affecting the Importation of Salmonids	23.11.95 United States WT/DS21/1	20.11.95	19.01.96	13.12.95 Canada WT/DS21/2			
22. Brazil - Measures Affecting Desiccated Coconut	20.12.95 Philippines WT/DS22/1 10.01.96 Rev.1	30.11.96	29.01.96			08.02.96 Philippines WT/DS22/5	05.03.96 WT/DSB/M/12
23. Venezuela - Anti-Dumping Investigation in Respect of Imports of Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods (OCTG)	4.01.96 Mexico WT/DS23/1	5.12.95	3.02.96				
24. United States - Restriction on Imports of Cotton and Man-Made Fibre Underwear	15.01.96 Costa Rica WT/DS24/1 23.01.96 Corr.1	22.12.95	20.02.96			27.02.96 Costa Rica WT/DS24/2	05.03.96 WT/DSB/M/12

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
25. EC - Implementation of the Uruguay Round Commitments Concerning Rice	19.01.96 Uruguay WT/DS25/1 19.10.96 Corr.1	14.12.95	12.2.96				
26. EC - Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)	31.01.96 United States WT/DS26/1	26.1.96	26.3.96	8.02.96 New Zealand WT/DS26/2 9.02.96 Australia WT/DS26/3 13.02.96 Canada WT/DS26/4		25.04.96 United States WT/DS26/6	20.05.96 WT/DSB/M/17
27. EC - Régime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas	13.02.96 Ecuador Guatemala Honduras Mexico United States WT/DS27/1	05.02.96	05.04.96	28.02.96 Dominican Republic WT/DS27/2 28.02.96 St. Lucia WT/DS27/3 28.02.96 Nicaragua WT/DS27/4 01.03.96 Jamaica WT/DS/27/5		12.04.06 Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, United States WT/DS27/6	08.05.96 WT/DSB/M/16
28. Japan - Measures Concerning Sound Recordings	14.02.96 United States WT/DS28/1	09.02.96	09.04.96	28.02.96 EC WT/DS28/2			

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
29. Turkey - Restrictions on Imports of Textile and Clothing Products	15.02.96 Hong Kong WT/DS29/1	12.02.96	12.04.96	01.03.96 EC WT/DS29/2 28.02.06 Malaysia Philippines Thailand WT/DS29/3 28.02.96 Peru WT/DS29/4 29.02.96 India WT/DS29/5 01.03.96 Brazil WT/DS29/7 01.03.96 Canada WT/DS29/8			
30. Brazil - Countervailing Duties on Imports of Desiccated Coconut and Coconut Milk Powder from Sri Lanka	05.03.96 Sri Lanka WT/DS30/1	23.02.96	23.04.96				
31. Canada - Certain Measures Concerning Periodicals	14.03.96 United States WT/DS31/1	11.03.96	10.05.96			24.05.96 United States WT/DS31/2	19.06.96 WT/DSB/M/19
32. United States - Measures Affecting Imports of Women's and Girls' Wool Coats					30.04.96 WT/DS32/2	15.03.96 India WT/DS32/1	17.04.96 WT/DSB/M/14

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
33. United States - Measures Affecting Imports of Woven Wool Shirts and Blouses						15.03.96 India WT/DS33/1	17.04.96 WT/DSB/M/14
34. Turkey - Restrictions on Imports of Textile and Clothing Products	25.3.96 India WT/DS34/1	21.03.96	20.05.96				
35. Hungary - Export Subsidies in Respect of Agricultural Products	02.04.96 Argentina Australia Canada New Zealand Thailand United States WT/DS35/1	27.3.96	26.5.96	12.04.96 Japan WT/DS35/2			
36. Pakistan - Patent Protection for Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Chemical Products	06.05.96 United States WT/DS36/1	30.04.96	29.06.96	28.05.96 EC WT/DS36/2		4.07.96 US WT/DS36/3	
37. Portugal - Patent Protection under the Industrial Property Act	06.05.96 United States WT/DS37/1	30.04.96	29.06.96		8.10.96 WT/DS37/2 15.10.96 Corr.1		
38. United States - The Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act	13.05.96 EC WT/DS38/1	3.05.96	2.07.96			8.10.96 WT/DS38/2 14.10.96 and Corr.1	
39. United States - Tariff Increases on Products from the European Communities	29.05.96 EC WT/DS39/1	18.04.96	17.06.96			24.6.96 EC WT/DS39/2	

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
40. Korea - Laws, Regulations and Practices in the Telecommunications Procurement Sector	20.05.96 EC WT/DS40/1	9.05.96	8.07.96				
41. Korea - Measures Concerning Inspection of Agricultural Products	31.05.96 US WT/DS41/1	24.05.96	23.07.96				
42. Japan - Measures Concerning Sound Recordings	04.06.96 EC WT/DS42/1	28.05.96	27.07.96	11.06.96 US WT/DS42/2			
43. Turkey - Taxation of Foreign Film Revenues	17.06.96 US WT/DS43/1	12.06.96	11.08.96				
44. Japan - Measures Affecting Consumer Photographic Film and Paper	21.06.96 US WT/DS44/1	13.06.96	12.08.96			20.09.96 US WT/DS44/2	16.10.96 WT/DSB/M/24
45. Japan - Measures Affecting Distribution Services	20.06.96 US WT/DS45/1 24.09.94 US WT/DS45/1/Add.1	13.06.96 20.09.94	12.08.96				
46. Brazil - Export Financing Programme for Aircraft	21.06.96 Canada WT/DS46/1	19.06.96	18.08.96			17.09.96 Canada WT/DS46/2 4.10.96 WT/DS46/4	

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
47. Turkey - Restrictions on Imports of Textile and Clothing Products	26.06.96 Thailand WT/DS47/1	20.06.96	19.08.96				
48. European Communities - Measures Affecting Livestock and Meat (Hormones)	08.07.96 Canada WT/DS48/1	28.06.96	27.08.96	22.07.96 Australia WT/DS48/2 23.07.96 US WT/DS48/3 23.07.96 New Zealand WT/DS48/4		17.09.96 Canada WT/DS48/5	16.10.06 WT/DSB/M/24
49. United States - Anti-Dumping Investigation Regarding Imports of Fresh or Chilled Tomatoes from Mexico	08.07.96 Mexico WT/DS49/1	01.07.96	30.08.96				
50. India - Patent Protection for Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Chemical Products	09.07.96 US WT/DS50/1	02.07.96	31.08.96	22.07.96 EC WT/DS50/2			
51. Brazil - Certain Automotive Investment Measures	06.08.96 Japan WT/DS51/1	30.07.96	28.09.96	13.08.96 Korea WT/DS51/2 15.08.96 EC WT/DS51/3 15.08.96 United States WT/DS51/4 19.08.96 Canada WT/DS51/6			

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
52. Brazil - Certain Measures Affecting Trade and Investment in the Automotive Sector	14.08.96 US WT/DS52/1	09.08.96	08.10.96	19.08.96 Canada WT/DS52/2 19.08.96 Japan WT/DS52/3 28.08.96 Korea WT/DS52/4 02.09.96 EC WT/DS52/5			
53. Mexico - Customs Valuation of Imports	9.09.96 EC WT/DS53/1	27.08.96	26.10.96	18.09.96 Norway WT/DS53/2 30.09.96 Switzerland WT/DS53/3			
54. Indonesia- Certain Measures Affecting the Automobile Industry	14.10.96 EC WT/DS54/1	3.10.96	2.12.96	18.10.96 United States WT/DS54/2 .. Japan WT/DS54/3 .. Korea WT/DS54/4			
55. Indonesia - Certain Measures Affecting the Automobile Industry	10.10.96 Japan WT/DS55/1	4.10.96	3.12.96	18.10.96 United States WT/DS55/2 .. EC WT/DS55/3			

Dispute	Request for Consultations (date of circulation)	Date of Receipt of the Request for Consultation	Expiration of Consultation Period	Request to Join Consultations	Mutually Agreed Solution	Request for Establishment of a Panel	Panel Established
56. Argentina - Measures Affecting Imports of Footwear, Textiles, Apparel and Other Items	15.10.96 United States WT/DS56/1	4.10.96	3.12.96	.. Hungary WT/DS56/2			
57. Australia - Textile, Clothing and Footwear Import Credit Scheme	09.10.96 United States WT/DS57/1	7.10.96	6.11.96				
58. United States - Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products	14.10.96 India, Malaysia, Pakistan and Thailand WT/DS58/1	8.10.96	7.12.96				
59. Indonesia - Certain Measures Affecting the Automobile Industry	15.10.96 United States WT/DS59/1	8.10.96	7.12.96	.. Japan WT/DS59/2			
60. Guatemala - Anti-Dumping Investigation Regarding Imports of Portland Cement from Mexico	24.10.96 Mexico WT/DS60/1	15.10.96	14.12.96				

SECTION II

Title	Panel Established	Panel Report Circulated	Expiration of 20-Day Period	Expiration of 60-Day Period	Notice of Appeal	Panel Report Adopted	Appellate Report Circulated	Expiration of 30-Day Period	Appellate Report Adopted
1. United States - Standards for Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline	10.04.95 Venezuela WT/DS2 31.05.95 Brazil WT/DS4	29.01.96 WT/DS2/R	18.02.96	29.03.96	21.02.96 United States WT/DS2/6	20.05.96 WT/DS2/9	29.04.96 WT/DS2/AB/R	29.05.96	20.05.96 WT/DS2/9
2. Japan - Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages	27.09.95 EC WT/DS8 Canada WT/DS10 US WT/DS11	11.07.96 WT/DS8/R WT/DS10/R WT/DS11/R	31.07.96	09.09.96	08.08.96 Japan WT/DS8/9 WT/DS10/9 WT/DS11/6		4.10.96 WT/DS8/AB/R WT/DS10/AB/R WT/DS11/AB/R	3.11.96	
3. EC - Trade Description of Scallops	19.07.95 Canada WT/DS7	05.08.96 WT/DS7/R							
4. EC - Trade Description of Scallops	11.10.95 Peru WT/DS12 Chile WT/DS14	05.08.95 WT/DS12/R WT/DS14/R							
5. Brazil - Measures Affecting Desiccated Coconut	05.03.96 Philippines WT/DS22	17.10.96 WT/DS22/R	06.11.96	16.12.96					

SECTION III

REPORT OF THE TRADE POLICY REVIEW BODY

Trade Policy Review Body

TRADE POLICY REVIEW MECHANISM

REPORT TO THE SINGAPORE MINISTERIAL CONFERENCE

1. The Trade Policy Review Mechanism was established in 1989, on a provisional basis, as an early harvest of the Uruguay Round. It has thus been in existence for seven years. The Marrakesh Agreement, which confirmed the status of the Mechanism, envisages an appraisal of its operation at the latest in 1999 ("not more than five years after the entry into force of the Agreement Establishing the WTO"). Members, however, have kept the Mechanism under constant review since its inception and a number of procedural improvements have been introduced in the past few years.

2. This report by the Trade Policy Review Body provides an interim assessment of the extent to which the TPRM is fulfilling its stated objectives, its value to Members, its cost-effectiveness and the scope for further procedural improvements. A table of Trade Policy Reviews to date is annexed.

Fulfilling its Objectives

3. In framing their expectations of the TPRM, Members are guided by the objectives stated in Annex 3 to the Marrakesh Agreement:

"to contribute to improved adherence by all Members to rules, disciplines and commitments made under the Multilateral Trade Agreements and, where applicable, the Plurilateral Trade Agreements, and hence to the smoother functioning of the multilateral trading system, by achieving greater transparency in, and understanding of, the trade policies and practices of Members. Accordingly, the review mechanism enables the regular collective appreciation and evaluation of the full range of individual Members' trade policies and practices and their impact on the functioning of the multilateral trading system. It is not, however, intended to serve as a basis for the enforcement of specific obligations under the Agreements or for dispute settlement procedures, or to impose new policy commitments on Members."

4. Measurement of the TPRM's coverage to date depends on the yardstick used. The 57 members reviewed so far (a number on several occasions) account for 98 per cent of all Members' trade in goods and services. The TPRM spotlight has therefore effectively focused on all the major players in the WTO trading system and has illuminated the most significant trends. By another yardstick, however, the outcome is less reassuring: with WTO membership of 108 (counting the European Communities as one entity) only just over half of Members have been reviewed during the seven-year existence of the Mechanism. The question of completing the coverage of developing countries is taken up in paragraphs 12-14 below.

5. The content and style of the reviews is obviously critical to their effectiveness. There is general acceptance that reviews should be comprehensive, rigorous and analytical, and conducted at an appropriately senior level. By and large, experience to date suggests that these criteria are being met.

While the scope for procedural improvements is constantly being assessed, the essential character of the reviews has not been challenged. One indicator of the general health of the process is the level and composition of delegations from Members under review: this has improved markedly since the establishment of the WTO, with a majority of Members reviewed during the past two years being led at Ministerial or deputy Ministerial level, and with delegations including substantial expertise from capitals.

6. Attempting to ensure that the reviews are comprehensive is not without risk. A criticism has been made that the process can focus too much on detail, at the cost of giving insufficient attention to policy direction. However, the TPRM is envisaged as a review of policies and practices: of the implementation of policy as well as its overall direction. It will continue to be a matter for the Secretariat in its preparation of documentation, and for Members in the manner of their participation in the meetings, to seek to achieve an appropriate balance between discussion of policy direction and of details of implementation.

Value to Members

7. There is a clear value for WTO Members in having a forum where they can openly discuss each other's trade and trade-related policies, elicit information and register concerns. The benefits for the country under review are also significant: the TPRM can provide a valuable input into national policy making, serving as an independent, objective assessment of trade and economic policies; Members have also commented on the extent to which the experience of review has helped to strengthen inter-agency discussion and co-operation in their own countries. The trading system as a whole benefits in that the process can sometimes assist governments in pursuing desirable trade policy reforms; it also frequently illuminates areas of WTO obligations which may have received insufficient attention to date and thus helps to ensure that these are addressed.

8. The dual aspects of self-analysis and external audit underpin the effectiveness of the TPRM exercise for all Members. In practice, specific features of a review can assume a particular importance depending on the trade and economic situation of the Member under review. The larger trading entities are generally not short of opportunities for presentation of their trade policies; in their case, the most significant aspect of the review is the opportunity for trading partners to comment on the basis of an independent WTO Secretariat analysis. In many cases, however, review meetings can provide a useful forum for governments to explain the development of policies; additionally, in the case of developing countries, the process can help to identify particular technical assistance needs.

Cost effectiveness

9. The TPR Division in the Secretariat has an annual staff budget of some Sfr. 4 million (just under 6 per cent of the total). With a staff complement of 27 (17 professionals) it accounts for around 10 per cent of the professional staff of the organization, excluding translators, interpreters and administration. Members also devote considerable time to the preparation and servicing of meetings. The relationship between tasks and capacity obviously requires careful balancing: issues of TPRM coverage, depth of the preparatory process, and desirable follow-up work, have to be approached in the light of the resources available. This will need even more careful attention as WTO membership expands.

10. The continuing challenge is to ensure maximum effectiveness of the resources used. In this connection, a number of areas offer scope for further examination:

- In reviewing a cross-section of countries each year, the TPRM inevitably shows up wider patterns which are influencing policy approaches in individual Members. Over the past few years, for example, the overriding common theme that has emerged is the rapid pace of change, with a sustained shift to more market-oriented and outward-looking trade and investment régimes, deriving in part from autonomous liberalization policies and in part from the stimulus provided by the Uruguay Round. In terms of enhancing the process, the Annual Overview of Developments in the International Trading System, provided for in Section G of the Agreement on the TPRM, may be used to develop a more structured approach than has existed in the past of looking at the composite picture emerging from individual reviews and to identify wider themes for consideration by WTO members and committees.
- The TPRM occupies a unique place within the WTO in promoting non-confrontational discussion of key trade policy issues. Its specific delinkage from dispute settlement procedures is an essential feature which must be safeguarded; however, further opportunities might be sought for encouraging greater cross-fertilization between TPRB discussions and those undertaken in other WTO bodies.
- One area which is receiving particular attention is enhancing awareness of the TPRM outside the Geneva circle. While WTO member Governments of course have the primary stake in the TPRM, the material produced is also of interest in the business and academic communities. The long-term health of the process can only benefit from wide dissemination of information about reviews. A considerable amount of media briefing is already done by the Secretariat and the Chair, and both the Summary Observations by the Secretariat and the Closing Remarks by the Chair are made available on the WTO Internet home page. Further improvements such as speedier publication of reports (within one month to six weeks of TPRB meetings) and improved use of the Internet for dissemination and sale of full reports are currently under active consideration by the Secretariat for early introduction.

Coverage of Developing Countries

11. The coverage of developing countries in the TPRM to date includes most of the major developing country Members of the WTO, as well as a number of smaller countries that have volunteered to come under review. There are still, however, over 50 developing country Members that remain to be reviewed, including a significant number of African and least developed countries.

12. The involvement of developing countries in the TPRM is examined in some detail in a Note of 12 July 1996, circulated to all WTO Members, from the Chair of the TPRM to the Chair of the Committee on Trade in Development. The summary conclusion in that Note was:

"Involvement in the TPRM is an important way for developing countries, particularly least developed countries, to develop confidence and experience with the WTO. This needs to be borne in mind in (a) planning the TPRM timetable and (b) ensuring that technical assistance is available to those LDCs who would otherwise have difficulty in preparing for and undergoing review. With increased participation of developing countries in the TPRM, it will be particularly important to ensure that lessons learned from these reviews are channelled into the WTO machinery".

13. In an effort to complete the coverage of developing countries, including least developed countries, while taking account of resource constraints, the Secretariat is currently exploring ways of grouping

reviews - for example in the case of some Southern African or Caribbean countries - while respecting the individual nature of the TPR process. The question of completing the coverage of TPRs, particularly to the smaller developing and least-developed countries, is one which will require particular attention in the preparation of the TPRB timetable.

Procedural Improvements

14. As noted, there has been a fairly continuous process of self-examination within the TPRB over the years, leading to a number of improvements in procedures. A first set of modifications was introduced in 1994 (L/7458). More recent discussions have been reflected in two Notes from the Chair, the first in December 1995 (WT/TPR/13) and the second in July 1996 (WT/TPR/20). The procedural issues addressed include preparatory documentation, choice of discussants, level of representation at meetings, Chairperson's summing up, follow-up to meetings. In so far as there has been agreement on procedural adaptations, these have been or are being put into effect.

15. One concern of Members is to ensure that, as an exercise in transparency, the TPRB clearly reflects the progress made by Members in implementing the WTO Agreements. The Secretariat is therefore encouraged to continue providing, in its reports, systematic information on measures taken by Members under review in the context of the Agreements, the mechanisms by which these are implemented, and notifications made.

16. As indicated in paragraph 5, since the entry into force of the WTO, the majority of the Members coming for review have been represented at Ministerial or Deputy Ministerial level; in most other cases, they have been represented by senior officials from the capital. However, this level of representation has not always been matched by the participation - either in numbers or level - by other Members of the TPRB. If the rôle of the TPRM is to be preserved and strengthened, it is important both that there be a substantial number of delegations present in Trade Policy Reviews and that these delegations be represented at appropriate level.

17. It has also been stressed that Members owe it to the health of the system to observe strictly the deadlines laid down for answering questionnaires and delivering documentation; delays in the completion of reviews both affect the review process for the Member concerned and slow down the programme in general.

18. One of the more substantive issues addressed has been the cycle of reviews. While one delegation has proposed a modification to provide for a three year cycle for members currently on a two-year review pattern, there has been no support from other members for such a change. However, it has been agreed that, in the case of two-year reviews, every second review might have an "interim" character, although this should not detract from the comprehensive nature of reviews of such members.

Conclusion

19. The TPRM is a unique element in the range of WTO activities. It is the only focus for peer review of the full range of trade policies and can often be of considerable assistance to Members in their domestic review and revision of policy. In addition, it is of significant value in providing authoritative, well founded analyses of developments in trade policies and practices. Given the benefits of the process, Members who have not yet presented themselves for review are strongly encouraged to do so; those who would feel the need of technical assistance in undergoing the process are reminded of the possibilities in this regard.

20. While Members are satisfied with the considerable progress made in improving the functioning of the TPRM, they are also conscious of the high level of Secretariat and national resources involved in the process, and anxious to ensure that these resources continue to be used effectively. Members will therefore continue to assess the content, coverage and resources used in reviews to try to ensure the needs of all WTO members are met. They will also seek to enhance the impact of the Mechanism in the ways outlined in this report, while ensuring that its distinctive character is preserved.

Trade policy reviews conducted under GATT 1947 and WTO provisions, 1989-1996

Europe/Middle East	Asia/Pacific	Africa	America
Austria ^{1a}	Australia (2) ^a	Cameroon ^a	Argentina ^a
Czech Republic ^b	Bangladesh ^a	Côte d'Ivoire ^b	Brazil (2) ^c
European Communities (4) ^c	Hong Kong (2) ^a	Egypt ^a	Bolivia ^a
Finland ^{1a}	India ^a	Ghana ^a	Canada (4) ^c
Hungary ^a	Indonesia (2) ^a	Kenya ^a	Chile ^a
Iceland ^a	Japan (3) ^a	Mauritius ^b	Colombia (2) ^c
Israel ^a	Korea (2) ^c	Morocco (2) ^c	Costa Rica ^b
Norway (2) ^c	Macau ^a	Nigeria ^a	Dominican Republic ^b
Poland ^a	Malaysia ^a	Senegal ^a	El Salvador ^b
Romania ^a	New Zealand (2) ^c	South Africa ^a	Mexico ^a
Slovakia ^b	Pakistan ^a	Tunisia ^a	Peru ^a
Sweden ^{1 (2)^a}	Philippines ^a	Uganda ^b	United States (4) ^c
Switzerland (2) ^c	Singapore (2) ^c	Zambia ^b	Uruguay ^a
Turkey ^a	Sri Lanka ^b	Zimbabwe ^a	Venezuela ^b
	Thailand (2) ^c		
14	15	14	14

- a Reviewed under the GATT.
b Reviewed under the WTO.
c Reviewed under the GATT and the WTO.

- 1 Included in EU from 1995.

SECTION IV

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL FOR TRADE IN GOODS

Council for Trade in Goods
1 November 1996

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL FOR TRADE IN GOODS
TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL

Introduction

1. This report has been established in accordance with the statement by the Chairman of the General Council, at the meeting on 16 April 1996, with regard to "Reporting Procedures for the Singapore Ministerial Conference". It covers the period from 1 January to 4 November 1996;¹ it comprises a Section I: factual part, and a Section II: conclusions and/or recommendations. The report also covers the activities of the subsidiary bodies of the Council for Trade in Goods (hereinafter referred to as "the Council"), as outlined under item 19(a).

2. In carrying out its task, the Council has held eight regular meetings. The minutes of these meetings, which remain the record of the Council's work, are contained in documents G/C/M/8 to

3. The following subject matters which were raised and/or acted upon in the Council are included in the report:

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I: FACTUAL PART	
1. Observer status for International Intergovernmental Organizations	5
2. Election of Chairperson of the Council for Trade in Goods	5
3. Appointment of Officers for the Committee on Agriculture, Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, Working Party on State-Trading Enterprises, Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures	5
4. Approval of Rules of Procedure of the Committees on Agriculture, Anti-dumping Practices, Safeguards, Subsidies and Countervailing Measures	6
5. Letter from the Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Development	6
6. Circulation and Derestriction of Council Documents	6
7. Availability of documents in Spanish	6

¹The report of the Council for Trade in Goods for 1995 is contained in Section IV of document WT/GC/W/25.

8.	Committee on Market Access	6
-	Semi-annual report of the Committee	
9.	Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures	7
-	State of play concerning subsidy notifications - lack of compliance with subsidy notification requirements under Article 25.2 of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures	
10.	Working Party on State Trading Enterprises	7
11.	Customs Unions and Free-Trade Areas: regional agreements	7
(a)	Customs Union between Turkey and the European Community	7
(b)	Agreement between the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the one part, and the Government of Iceland, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Iceland	7
(c)	Agreement between the Swiss Government, of the one part, and the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Switzerland	8
(d)	Agreement between the Government of Norway, of the one part, and the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Norway	8
(e)	Agreements between the Czech Republic and Bulgaria, and the Slovak Republic and Bulgaria	8
(f)	Preferential tariff treatment for the countries of former Yugoslavia	8
(g)	EFTA-Estonia, EFTA-Latvia, EFTA-Lithuania Free Trade Agreements	8
(h)	Enlargement of the Central European Free Trade Agreement (CEFTA)	8
(i)	Europe Agreements between the European Communities and the Czech Republic, and the European Communities and the Slovak Republic	9
(j)	Free-Trade Agreement between the Czech Republic and Romania	9
(k)	Free-Trade Agreement between the Slovak Republic and Romania	9
(l)	Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Estonia	9
(m)	Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Latvia	9
(n)	Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Lithuania	10
12.	Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement	10
(a)	Harmonized System - Requests for extensions of waivers Bangladesh, Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Morocco, Nicaragua, Sri Lanka	10
(b)	Malawi - Renegotiation of Schedule LVIII	10
(c)	Senegal - Renegotiation of Schedule XLIX	10
(d)	Zambia - Renegotiation of Schedule LXXVIII	10
(e)	Decision on the introduction of Harmonized System changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996	11
(f)	Waivers falling under paragraph 2 of the Understanding in Respect of Waivers of Obligations under GATT 1994	11
(i)	Cuba - Paragraph 6 of Article XV of GATT 1994	11
(ii)	United States - Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands	11
(iii)	United States - Imports of Automotive Products	11
(iv)	United States - Andean Trade Preference Act	11

	(v)	Canada - CARIBCAN	12
	(vi)	European Communities	12
		- European Communities - Fourth ACP-EEC Convention of Lomé	
		- France - Trading Arrangements with Morocco	
	(vii)	South Africa - Base Dates under Article I:4	12
	(viii)	Zimbabwe - Base Dates under Article I:4	12
13.		Issues raised concerning Members' trade practices	12
	(a)	Brazilian Measure concerning the automotive sector	12
	(b)	US draft bill concerning the definition of "domestic industry" in the area of safeguards	13
	(c)	US - "Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act of 1996"	13
	(d)	Ban on exports of wild-harvested shrimps to the US	13
	(e)	US Narcotics Control Trade Act	13
	(f)	Impairment by the European Community of Tariff Treatment of High Technology Products	13
	(g)	Argentine footwear	13
	(h)	US request for Consultations concerning Restrictive Business Practices in the Japanese Photographic Film and Paper Market	14
	(i)	EC proposal on "Trade Facilitation"	14
14.		Agreement on Preshipment Inspection	14
	(a)	Commencement of Operations of the Independent Review Entity	14
	(b)	Review under Article 6 of the Preshipment Inspection Agreement	14
	(c)	Notifications	14
15.		Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures	15
	(a)	Status of work in the Working Group	15
	(b)	Report of the Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures	15
16.		Implementation of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) and related matters	16
	-	Issues and problems	16
	(i)	Integration programmes	16
	(ii)	Use of transitional safeguards	17
	(iii)	Bilaterally agreed arrangements	18
	(iv)	Functioning of the Textiles Monitoring Body	18
	(v)	Treatment of small suppliers and least developed countries	19
	(vi)	Particular interests of cotton-producing countries	20
	(vii)	Rules of origin	20
	(viii)	Other ATC issues (outward processing trade, special regimes, etc.)	20
	(ix)	Relationship between restrictions and regionalism	21
	(x)	Use of trade measures for non-trade purposes	21
	(xi)	Market access	21
	(xii)	Rules and disciplines	22
	(xiii)	Circumvention	22
17.		Textiles Monitoring Body (TMB)	23
	-	Report of the TMB	23
	A.	Summary of comments made by WTO Members	23
	B.	Action taken by the Council	26

18.	Proposals and initiatives for further trade liberalization	26
19.	Singapore Ministerial Conference	27
	(a) Report of subsidiary bodies of the Council for Trade in Goods	27
	(b) Report by the Council for Trade in Goods to the Ministerial Conference	28

SECTION II: CONCLUSIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.	Agreement on Preshipment Inspection	29
2.	Notification Obligations and Procedures	29

SECTION I: FACTUAL PART

1. **Observer status for International Intergovernmental Organizations** (G/C/M/8 to 14)

1.1 At its meeting of 29 January 1996, the Council agreed that pending the adoption of criteria and conditions for observer status for international intergovernmental organizations in the WTO and unless a delegation raised an objection, those organizations invited to the current meeting of the Council be invited to its next meeting on an ad-hoc basis. The organizations concerned were: FAO, IMF, ITCB, OECD, UN, UNCTAD, World Bank and the WCO.

1.2 At its meetings of 14 February 1996, 19 March 1996, 22 May 1996 and 5 July 1996 the Council agreed to invite the same organizations on an ad-hoc basis to its next respective meeting.

1.3 At the meeting of 25 July 1996, the Chairman pointed out that at its meeting of 18 July 1996, the General Council had approved the "Guidelines on Observer Status for International Intergovernmental Organizations". In light of this decision he proposed to hold informal consultations on which International Intergovernmental Organizations would be granted observer status in the Goods Council.

1.4 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council agreed that pending the outcome of further consultations, the organizations which had been following the Council's meeting up to now on an ad-hoc basis, could attend future meetings of the Council on an ad-hoc basis.

2. **Election of Chairperson of the Council** (G/C/M/8)

2.1 At its meeting of 14 February 1996, the Council unanimously elected Ambassador Narayanan, as Chairman of the Council for 1996.

3. **Appointment of Officers for the Committee on Agriculture, Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, Working Party on State-Trading Enterprises, Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures** (G/C/M/8)

3.1 At its meeting of 14 February 1996, the Council approved the appointments of the following Chairpersons: Committee on Agriculture: Mr. D. Tulalamba (Thailand); Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures: Mr K. Bergholm (Finland); Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures: Mr. A Shoyer (United States); Working Party on State Trading Enterprises: Mr. P. May (Australia).

3.2 At its meeting of 14 February 1996, the Council took note of the results of the consultations held by the Chairman on Chairpersons for 1996 for the other subsidiary bodies as follows: Committee on Technical Barriers to Trade: Ms. C. Guarda (Chile); Committee on Market Access: Mr. J. Saint-Jacques (Canada); Committee on Customs Valuation: Mr. P. Palecka (Czech Republic); Committee on Import Licensing: Mr. C. Mbegabolawe (Zimbabwe); Committee on Rules of Origin: Mr. Osakwe (Nigeria); Committee on Anti-Dumping Practices: Mr. O. Lundby (Norway); Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Mr. V. Do Prado (Brazil); Committee on Safeguards: Mr. A. Buencamino (Philippines); Committee on Trade-Related Investment Measures: Mr. V. Notis (Greece).

3.3 The Council also agreed that the question of Vice-Chairpersons should be handled at the level of the Committees themselves through a process of consultations.

3.4 A number of delegations stated that future consultations on Chairpersons should be initiated as early as possible, they should be more transparent, and the principle of rotation should be the rule wherever possible. The point was also made that in the future the question of the Vice-Chairmanship should be settled in the context of such consultations.

4. Approval of Rules of Procedure of the Committees on Agriculture, Anti-dumping Practices, Safeguards, Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (G/C/M/10)

4.1 At its meeting of 22 May 1996, the Council approved the rules of procedure of the Committees on Agriculture (G/AG/W/22), Anti-Dumping Practices (G/ADP/W/135/Rev.1), Safeguards (G/SG/W/59/Rev.1) and Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (G/SCM/W/143/Rev.1).

5. Letter from the Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Development (G/C/M/10 and 13 and Corr.1)

5.1 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that the Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Development (CTD) had sent a letter to him requesting information on the implementation of development-related provisions in those Uruguay Round Agreements dealt with by the Council. This information was necessary for the review to be conducted by the CTD. He had sent a letter to the chairpersons of the various subsidiary bodies of this Council requesting them to give him information on the work done in this area. After receipt of this information, he would take further action on the basis of the information provided.

5.2 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that the responses to the letter he had sent to the Chairpersons of the subsidiary bodies of the Council had been received and forwarded to the Chairman of the CTD. The Secretariat had made a compilation of those responses in document WT/COMTD/W/16 and Addendum. The matter was now under consideration in the CTD.

6. Circulation and Derestriction of Council Documents (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

6.1 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the Chairman drew the Council's attention to the decision taken by the General Council at its meeting of 18 July 1996 on "Procedures for the Circulation and Derestriction of WTO documents" (WT/L/160/Rev.1). The Council took note of the decision.

7. Availability of documents in Spanish (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

7.1 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the representative of El Salvador, also on behalf of GRULAC, expressed concern at the fact that documents were not made available in the Spanish language in time for meetings. Another representative stated that the same problem had arisen regarding documents in French.

8. Committee on Market Access

Semi-annual report of the Committee (G/C/M/11)

8.1 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council took note of the report made by the Chairman of the Market Access Committee (G/MA/4) concerning (1) implementation of HS96 changes; (2) other waivers; (3) establishment of consolidated loose-leaf schedules; (4) non-tariff matters; (5) Integrated Data Base; and (6) Report of the Committee to the Council in connection with the Singapore Ministerial Meeting.

9. Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures

State of play concerning subsidy notifications - lack of compliance with subsidy notification requirements under Article 25.2 of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (G/C/M/10)

9.1 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the representative of the EC conveyed concern about the lack of compliance by Members with their notification obligation under Article 25.2 of the Subsidies Agreement.

10. Working Party on State Trading Enterprises (G/C/M/14)

10.1 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council took note of the communication from the European Communities circulated in G/STR/W/33. The EC requested the Council to refer its submission to the Working Party on State Trading Enterprises for its consideration. The Council agreed to revert to this matter at an appropriate time.

11. Customs Unions and Free-Trade Areas: regional agreements
(G/C/M/8, 9, 10,11 and 13 and Corr.1)

11.1 At its meeting of 29 January 1996, the Council took note of the information provided by the Chairman that at the last meeting of the General Council, a decision was taken in principle, to establish a Committee dealing with regional trading matters. Consultations were being held by the Chairman of the General Council concerning the nature and terms of reference of the new body. The Council agreed that the question of establishment of separate Working Parties would have to be reviewed in light of the final decision on this issue.

11.2 At its meeting of 19 March 1996, the Council took note of a decision taken by the General Council to establish a Committee on Regional Trade Agreements (WT/L/127) which would carry out the examination of such agreements in accordance with the procedures and terms of reference adopted by the Council and which would thereafter present its report to the Council for appropriate action.

(a) Customs Union between Turkey and the European Community (EC) (G/C/M/8)

11.3 At its meeting of 29 January 1996, the Council took note of the communication (WT/REG22/N/1) from the parties, indicating the entry into force on 1 January 1996 of the Customs Union between Turkey and the EC. The Council established a Working Party to examine the Agreement.

(b) Agreement between the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the one part, and the Government of Iceland, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Iceland (G/C/M/8)

11.4 At its meeting of 19 March 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG23/N/1), indicating the entry into force on 1 July 1993 of the Agreement (WT/REG23/1). The Council established a Working Party to examine the Agreement.

- (c) Agreement between the Swiss Government, of the one part, and the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Switzerland (G/C/M/9)

11.5 At its meeting of 19 March 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG24/N/1), indicating the entry into force on 1 March 1995 of the Agreement (WT/REG24/1). The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

- (d) Agreement between the Government of Norway, of the one part, and the Government of Denmark and the Home Government of the Faroe Islands, of the other part, on free trade between the Faroe Islands and Norway (G/C/M/9)

11.6 At its meeting of 19 March 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG25/N/1), indicating the entry into force on 1 July 1993 of the Agreement (WT/REG25/1). The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

- (e) Agreements between the Czech Republic and Bulgaria, and the Slovak Republic and Bulgaria (G/C/M/9)

11.7 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of the Czech Republic, speaking also on behalf of the Slovak Republic and the Republic of Bulgaria, informed the Council of the signing in December 1995 of the free trade agreements between the Czech Republic and Bulgaria and between the Slovak Republic and Bulgaria. These agreements had been applied on a provisional basis since 1 January 1996 and would be notified to the WTO when the ratification processes in each of the signatory states had been completed.

- (f) Preferential tariff treatment for the countries of former Yugoslavia (G/C/M/9)

11.8 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of the EC informed the Council that his delegation intended to ask for a waiver from obligations under Article I of the GATT in order to grant the countries of former Yugoslavia preferential access to the Community market for a limited period of time.

- (g) EFTA-Estonia, EFTA-Latvia, EFTA-Lithuania Free Trade Agreements (G/C/M/10)

11.9 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the representative of Iceland on behalf of the EFTA countries and Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania informed the Council that the EFTA states and Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania respectively had concluded Free Trade Agreements in December 1995. The Agreements were expected to enter into force or to be applied on a provisional basis as of 1 June 1996. The content and structure of the Agreements were similar to the free trade agreements which had been concluded between the EFTA states and the Central and Eastern European countries with certain adjustments reflecting recent developments. The Agreements would be notified under Article XXIV: 7(a) of GATT 1994.

- (h) Enlargement of the Central European Free Trade Agreement (CEFTA) (G/C/M/10)

11.10 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the Slovak Republic on behalf of the parties to the Central European Free Trade Agreement (CEFTA) and Slovenia informed the Council that the text of the CEFTA had been complemented by provisions of Article 39 (a) which allowed for accession to the CEFTA by other countries. On this basis, the Republic of Slovenia had signed the Agreement on Accession

with the four parties on 25 November 1995. The Agreement was being applied on a provisional basis and would enter into force once ratification procedures in the countries, parties to this Agreement, had been completed.

(i) Europe Agreements between the European Communities and the Czech Republic, and the European Communities and the Slovak Republic (G/C/M/10)

11.11 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that the Europe Agreement between the EC and the Czech and Slovak Federal Republic, had been replaced by separate Agreements with each of the successor states. The examination of these Agreements (WT/REG18/6 and 7) would take place in the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements.

(j) Free-Trade Agreement between the Czech Republic and Romania (G/C/M/11)

11.12 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG26/N/1), which also indicated that since the entry into force of the Agreement (WT/REG26/1), the parties had agreed to accelerate the elimination of customs duties on most industrial products (WT/REG26/2). In 1995, the Council had been informed that this Free Trade Agreement, signed on 24 October 1994, was being applied provisionally since 1 January 1995, and was to be established over a transitional period ending not later than 1 January 1998. The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

(k) Free-Trade Agreement between the Slovak Republic and Romania (G/C/M/11)

11.13 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG27/N/1), which also indicated that since the entry into force of the Agreement (WT/REG27/1), the parties had agreed to accelerate the elimination of customs duties on most industrial products (WT/REG27/2). The Council had been informed in 1995, that this Free Trade Agreement, signed on 24 October 1994, was being applied provisionally since 1 January 1995, and was to be established over a transitional period ending not later than 1 January 1998. The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

(l) Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Estonia (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

11.14 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG28/N/1), indicating that the Free Trade Agreement (WT/REG28/1) was signed on 7 December 1995 and had been applied on a provisional basis as of 1 June 1996, pending ratification by the parties to the Agreement. The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

(m) Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Latvia (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

11.15 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG29/N/1), indicating that the Free Trade Agreement (WT/REG29/1) was signed on 7 December 1995 and had been applied on a provisional basis as of 1 June 1996, pending ratification by the parties to the Agreement. The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

- (n) Free Trade Agreement between the EFTA States and Lithuania (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

11.16 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council took note of the notification from the parties to the Agreement (WT/REG30/N/1), indicating that the Free Trade Agreement (WT/REG30/1) had been applied on a provisional basis as of 1 August 1996, pending ratification by the parties to the Agreement. The Council adopted the terms of reference under which the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements should examine this Agreement.

12. Waivers under Article IX of the WTO Agreement

- (a) Harmonized System - Requests for extensions of waivers

Bangladesh, Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Morocco, Nicaragua, Sri Lanka (G/C/M/11)

12.1 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council considered requests by Bangladesh (G/L/77), Bolivia (G/L/78), Guatemala (G/L/86), Jamaica (G/L/79), Morocco (G/L/80), Nicaragua (G/L/81) and Sri Lanka (G/L/83) for an extension until 30 April 1997 of waivers already granted in connection with their implementation of the Harmonized System.

12.2 The Council approved the texts of the draft decisions on the waiver extensions in G/C/W/40 (Bangladesh), G/C/W/41² (Bolivia), G/C/W/48³ (Guatemala), G/C/W/42 (Jamaica), G/C/W/43 (Morocco), G/C/W/44 (Nicaragua) and G/C/W/46 (Sri Lanka), and recommended their adoption by the General Council.

- (b) Malawi - Renegotiation of Schedule LVIII (G/C/M/8)

12.3 At its meeting of 29 January 1996, the Council considered a request by Malawi (G/L/51) for an extension, until 30 June 1996, of the waiver granted to it in connection with the renegotiation of its Schedule. The Council approved the text of the draft decision (G/C/W/31) on the waiver extension, and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (c) Senegal - Renegotiation of Schedule XLIX (G/C/M/11)

12.4 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council considered a request by Senegal (G/L/82) for an extension, until 30 April 1997, of the waiver granted to it in connection with the renegotiation of its Schedule. The Council approved the text of the draft decision (G/C/W/45) on the waiver extension, and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (d) Zambia - Renegotiation of Schedule LXXVIII (G/C/M/11)

12.5 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council considered a request by Zambia (G/L/84) for an extension, until 30 April 1997, of the waiver granted to it in connection with the renegotiation of its Schedule. The Council approved the text of the draft decision (G/C/W/47) on the waiver extension, and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

²G/C/W/41/Corr.1 in Spanish.

³G/C/W/48/Corr.1 in Spanish.

- (e) Decision on the introduction of Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System (HS) changes into WTO schedules of tariff concessions on 1 January 1996 (G/C/M/11)

12.6 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council considered the draft of a decision (G/MA/W/6) concerning a waiver, which related to the HS96 changes to be introduced in Member's tariff schedules on 1 January 1996. A waiver had appeared necessary for those Members finding it necessary to consult or negotiate under Article XXVIII of GATT 1994 as a result of the HS96 changes. The Council approved the extension of the time-limits set out in the draft decision and agreed that it be transmitted to the General Council for adoption.

- (f) Waivers falling under paragraph 2 of the Understanding in Respect of Waivers of Obligations under GATT 1994 (G/C/M/11, 13 and Corr.1 and 14)

12.7 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council took note of the concerns voiced by one delegation regarding the important number of requests for extensions of waivers from the obligations under paragraph 1 of Article I of GATT 1994. In the view of this delegation, stricter conditions applied under the WTO than under GATT 1947 for the granting of waivers or their possible extensions.

- (i) Cuba - Paragraph 6 of Article XV of GATT 1994 (G/C/M/11 and 13 and Corr.1)

12.8 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Council considered the request for an extension of a waiver by Cuba (G/L/89) with respect to paragraph 6 of Article XV of the GATT 1994. The Council agreed to revert to this issue, as appropriate, in the light of the outcome of further consultations that were in progress.

12.9 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council took note of the statement by the Chairman that the consultations had led to an understanding that waivers falling under paragraph 2 of the Understanding in Respect of Waivers of Obligations under GATT 1994 should follow the procedure set out in paragraph 3 of Article IX of the WTO Agreement. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/51/Rev.1) on the waiver extension, and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (ii) United States - Former Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

12.10 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by the United States (G/L/101) for an extension of the waiver from its obligations under paragraph 1 of Article I of GATT 1994. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/53) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (iii) United States - Imports of Automotive Products (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1 and 14)

12.11 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by the United States (G/L/103 and Corr.1) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with imports of automotive products. In light of one delegation's request for further information on this waiver request, the Council agreed to revert to this matter at its next meeting.

12.12 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/55) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (iv) United States - Andean Trade Preference Act (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

12.13 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by the United States (G/L/102) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with the Andean Trade Preference Act.

The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/54) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (v) Canada - CARIBCAN (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

12.14 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by Canada (G/L/100) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with CARIBCAN. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/52) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (vi) European Communities (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

- European Communities - Fourth ACP-EEC Convention of Lomé

12.15 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by the EC and the Governments of the ACP States which were also WTO Members (G/L/107 and 108) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with the Fourth ACP-EEC Convention of Lomé. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/58/Rev.1) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- France - Trading Arrangements with Morocco

12.16 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by the EC (G/L/107 and 109) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with France - Trading Arrangements with Morocco. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/59/Rev.1) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (vii) South Africa - Base Dates under Article I:4 (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

12.17 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by South Africa (G/L/104) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with base dates under Article I:4 of the GATT. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/56/Rev.1) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

- (viii) Zimbabwe - Base Dates under Article I:4 (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

12.18 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council considered a request by Zimbabwe (G/L/106) for an extension of the waiver granted in connection with base dates under Article I:4 of the GATT. The Council approved the draft decision (G/C/W/57/Rev.1) on the waiver extension and recommended its adoption by the General Council.

13. Issues raised concerning Members' trade practices

- (a) Brazilian Measure concerning the automotive sector (G/C/M/8, 9 and 10)

13.1 At its meeting of 29 January 1996, the Council took note of the information provided by Brazil, that after negotiations with Mercosur, the Brazilian Government had sent to Congress a Provisional Measure 1235 that dealt with the automotive sector.

13.2 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of Brazil informed the Council that it had submitted to the Secretariat on 15 March 1996, a request for a waiver (G/L/68) from certain WTO

obligations as a consequence of the adoption of a special regime concerning investment measures in the automotive sector.

13.3 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, Brazil informed the Council that, following informal consultations with interested WTO Members, it had withdrawn its request for a waiver (G/L/75), presented on 15 March 1996, regarding the Brazilian automotive regime.

(b) US draft bill concerning the definition of "domestic industry" in the area of safeguards (G/C/M/8)

13.4 At the meeting of 29 January 1996, the representative of Mexico expressed concern regarding a draft bill that was passed in the US Senate concerning the definition of "domestic industry" in the United States legislation in the area of safeguards when dealing with perishable agricultural products.

(c) US - "Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act of 1996" (G/C/M/9)

13.5 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of Cuba voiced concern at the signing into law by the US President of the "Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity Act of 1996", which in the view of Cuba harmed the interests of third-country WTO Members due to its extraterritorial effects".

(d) Ban on exports of wild-harvested shrimps to the US (G/C/M/9 and 10)

13.6 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of the Philippines, also on behalf of the ASEAN countries, informed the Council that following the decision of the US Court of International Trade on 29 December 1995, exports of wild-harvested shrimps to the US after 1 May 1996 would be embargoed if the exporting country did not adopt sea turtle conservation programs comparable to the US program.

13.7 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the representative of Hong Kong expressed concern on this matter, and requested further information from the United States.

(e) US Narcotics Control Trade Act (G/C/M/9)

13.8 At the meeting of 19 March 1996, the representative of Mexico informed the Council of a US draft Bill which if it became law could cause problems to US trading partners. The Bill would oblige the US government to impose trade sanctions on those countries which it felt were not doing enough to act against the production or traffic in illegal narcotics.

(f) Impairment by the European Community of Tariff Treatment of High Technology Products (G/C/M/10)

13.9 At the meeting on 22 May 1996, the United States informed the Council that on 2 May 1996, it had requested consultations with the EC concerning tariff treatment being applied to high technology products, i.e. Local Area Network (LAN) equipment and personal computers with television capability (G/L/73).

(g) Argentine footwear (G/C/M/11)

13.10 At the meeting of 5 July 1996, the United States representative stated that in September 1995, Argentina had enacted decrees which established specific duties for imports of footwear, textiles and apparel. In the view of the US, these specific duties violated Argentina's tariff bindings, and its obligations under the Customs Valuation Agreement.

- (h) US request for Consultations concerning Restrictive Business Practices in the Japanese Photographic Film and Paper Market (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1)

13.11 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the US representative stated that his government had requested consultations on this matter with Japan pursuant to the CONTRACTING PARTIES' Decision of 1960 on "Restrictive Business Practices: Arrangements for Consultations" under the GATT (BISD 9S/170).

- (i) EC proposal on "Trade Facilitation" (G/C/M/15)

13.12 At the meeting of 1 November 1996, under "Other Business", the representative of the European Communities drew attention to a proposal submitted by his delegation on trade facilitation (G/C/W/67). This proposal covered the question of simplification and harmonization of trade procedures in order to arrive at lower trade barriers and improved market access.

14. Agreement on Preshipment Inspection

- (a) Commencement of Operations of the Independent Review Entity (G/C/M/10 and 15)

14.1 At the meeting of 22 May 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that the Independent Review Entity under the Agreement on Preshipment Inspection, established by Decision of the General Council (WT/L/125/Rev.1) at its meeting of 13 December 1995, had become operational as of 1 May 1996 (G/PSI/IE/2).

14.2 At the meeting of 1 November 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that since the Independent Review Entity (IE) had become operational, the IE had received no applications requesting independent review.

- (b) Review under Article 6 of the Preshipment Inspection (PSI) Agreement (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1 and 14)

14.3 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the Chairman informed the Council that Article 6 of the PSI Agreement provided for a review of the provisions, implementation and operation of this Agreement by the Ministerial Conference at the end of the second year from the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement. However there was no specific body designated to conduct such a review. The Council agreed to the Chairman's proposal to consult informally on the question of the body to be designated to conduct the review as well as the timing of the exercise.

14.4 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council recommended that the General Council acting on behalf of the Ministerial Conference in accordance with Article IV:2 of the Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization, set up a Working Party under the Council with the following terms of reference: "to conduct the review provided for under Article 6 of the Agreement on Preshipment Inspection and to report to the General Council through the Council, in December 1997."⁴

- (c) Notifications (G/C/M/15)

14.5 At its meeting of 1 November 1996, the Council had before it documents concerning information on the notifications submitted by Members under the Agreement. Pursuant to Article 5 of the Agreement, 35 Members had notified their laws and regulations by which they had put the Agreement into force

⁴See 1 of "Section II: Conclusions and/or Recommendations".

as well as other laws and regulations relating to preshipment inspection (G/PSI/N/1, Add.1, Add.2, Add.3, and Add.4). Of these, 3 Members had notified laws and regulations putting the Agreement on Preshipment Inspection into force; 13 Members had notified other laws and regulations relating to preshipment inspection; and 19 Members had notified that they had no laws or regulations relating to preshipment inspection.

15. Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures

(a) Status of work in the Working Group (G/C/M/9)

15.1 At its meeting of 19 March 1996, the Council took note of the report provided by the Chairman of that Group on the status of work in the Group. The Group had identified four general subjects for examination namely: (1) duplication of notification obligations; (ii) simplification of data requirements and the standardization of formats; (iii) improvement in the timing of the reporting process; and (iv) possible assistance to some developing countries in meeting their notification obligation. The Council took note of the report.

(b) Report of the Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures (G/C/M/14)

15.2 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council considered the report of the Working Group (G/L/112) and took the following action on the recommendations contained in the report:

(1) it agreed to request the Committee on Agriculture to consider the modified notification formats contained in the draft revision to document G/AG/2, as set out in document G/NOP/W/15 and to request the Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures to consider the modified notification formats contained in draft revision to document G/SCM/6, as set out in document G/NOP/W/15. Both Committees should consider the modified notification formats with a view to achieving greater coherence and efficiency in the notification system;

(2) it agreed to request the General Council to take the necessary steps to eliminate the notification obligations in the Decisions of the GATT 1947 CONTRACTING PARTIES relating to import licensing procedures (L/3756 and SR/28/6)⁵. The Council also agreed to refer the Decisions of the GATT 1947 CONTRACTING PARTIES relating to quantitative restrictions and non-tariff measures (BISD 32S/92-93 and BISD 31S/227-228), and to Marks of Origin (BISD 7S/30-33) to the Market Access Committee, and to retain the Decision on Liquidation of Strategic Stocks (BISD 3S/51) in the Council, for further consideration;

(3) it agreed that a comprehensive listing of notification obligations and the compliance therewith by all WTO Members be maintained on an ongoing basis and be circulated semi-annually to all Members. The Council also agreed to an updating of the listing of notifications received, as set out in Annex III to the report of the Working Party, prior to the Singapore Ministerial Meeting;

(4) it agreed to consider the preparation of general guidelines for the bodies under its purview, providing for the regular review of questionnaires and formats and of the situation as regards compliance with notification obligations;

(5) it agreed to forward the to the Committee on Trade and Development the recommendation that "active consideration be given ...to the development of a special programme of assistance to developing country Members and particularly to the least-developed country Members providing more

⁵See 2 (a) of "Section II: Conclusions and/or Recommendations".

intensive technical assistance, possibly with the participation of other organizations, focusing on the development of systems and structures required to respond to notification obligations";

(6) it agreed to request the Ministerial Conference or the General Council to consider the establishment, at an appropriate time, of a body with a mandate to review the notification obligations and procedures throughout the WTO Agreement. Alternatively, consideration might be given to the establishment of a body, or the extension/modification of the mandate of the current Working Group, to conduct, at an appropriate time, a further comprehensive review of the notification obligations and procedures in the agreements in Annex 1A of the WTO Agreement. It was suggested that future work also encompass matters relating to the Central Registry of Notifications, electronic transmission of notifications and further work on the notifications handbook⁶.

15.3 In connection with the last recommendation, one delegate stated that his delegation preferred the establishment of a body to conduct at the end of 1998 a comprehensive review of notification obligations and procedures in all the agreements covered by the WTO and not just those in Annex 1A.

16. Implementation of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing (ATC) and related matters
(G/C/M/11, 12, 13 and Corr.1, 14 and 15)

16.1 The Council, at the request of some Members, discussed the implementation of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing and related matters pursuant to Article IV:5 of the WTO Agreement and in preparation for the Singapore Ministerial Conference. The discussion took place on 5 July, 25 July, 19 September and 15 October 1996.

16.2 The Council's discussion was based on written contributions by Pakistan on behalf of the ASEAN Members of the WTO, i.e. Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand; and Hong Kong, India, Korea and Pakistan (G/L/92); the United States (G/L/95 and Add.1); and the European Communities (G/L/97). The Council also had before it the report of the Textiles Monitoring Body (G/L/113).

Issues and Problems

16.3 The full account of the discussions in the Council was provided in the minutes of its meetings (G/C/M/11-14). The key issues and problems identified were the following:

(i) Integration Programmes

16.4 Recalling that a central feature of the ATC was the progressive character of the integration process, concerns were expressed that the first stage of integration programmes implemented by four importing Members on 1 January 1995 had not been commercially meaningful as none of the products integrated (except one product (work gloves) by one Member) had been subject to quantitative restrictions. Moreover, these products had been concentrated in relatively less value-added areas. The first stage integration had therefore not meaningfully improved access to these markets. There were no indications that the second stage integration on 1 January 1998 would be more commercially meaningful. The integration programmes should contain a mixture of restrained and unrestrained products, and a balanced proportion of sensitive and non-sensitive products with greater emphasis on clothing. Only integration programmes on these lines would ensure a smooth transition to the GATT/WTO disciplines, in the interest of both restraining and exporting Members.

16.5 In response, it was stated that the choice of products to be integrated at each intermediate stage was a matter for each individual Member to decide. This could, with perfect legitimacy, result in

⁶See 2 (b) of "Section II: Conclusions and/or Recommendations".

products being integrated which were not subject to restrictions. Specific proposals had been made in the negotiations, providing for mandatory integration of restrained products, but these proposals had not been retained. The requirements stipulated in the ATC had been fully met. A number of products to be integrated or being proposed for integration at Stage Two were subject to quantitative restrictions. The growth factors which were also required in the ATC were having a very significant impact on quota levels, both in terms of liberalization and their contribution to continuous adjustments and increased competition in the markets of restraining Members. Furthermore, the ATC contained a provision for early elimination of restrictions, which one Member had made use of. In addition, it was stated that pursuant to Article 7, Members should take such actions as may be necessary to abide by GATT 1994 rules and disciplines so as, *inter alia*, to achieve improved access to exporting Members' markets for textiles and clothing products as part of the integration process.

16.6 It was also argued that integration had a separate purpose and had not been designed as the primary means of liberalization. In response, it was argued that the ATC contemplated integration to proceed in parallel with increased growth rates; in addition, both these processes were also designed to achieve progressive liberalization of trade.

(ii) Use of Transitional Safeguards

16.7 Concerns were expressed that the introduction of transitional safeguard measures would have a restrictive as well as a disruptive effect on trade, even if they were subsequently rescinded. They could also frustrate the integration process. The ATC's transitional safeguard was a deviation from GATT 1994, because it was selective and discriminatory in nature. The ATC recognized the exceptional nature of the transitional safeguard and provided for it to be applied "as sparingly as possible, consistently with the provisions of this Article and the effective implementation of the integration process" (Article 6.1). However, in the first year of the ATC, one WTO Member had notified the invocation of this clause in respect of 24 cases within the space of a few months against no less than 14 WTO Members, which were all developing countries. In the case of seven of these actions which came in the form of dispute cases before the TMB, three had been rescinded after the TMB found no justification for them. Three actions had been brought before the Dispute Settlement Body, of which two were currently being examined by panels. The fragile grounds on which Article 6 had been invoked in these cases was also borne out by the fact that in another seven cases, the requests for consultations or the measures adopted had been withdrawn even before they could be reviewed by the TMB; this included an action where imports of a product had already been restrained from the Member concerned. An unduly large number of restraints were still in place. The transitional safeguard had thus been used in violation of this important provision, and also contrary to the "objective of further liberalization of trade" referred to in the preamble to the ATC.

16.8 In response, it was stated that any Member had the right to invoke safeguard measures. All the invocations referred to had been consistent with ATC procedures and were justified. A number of measures had been rescinded and at present 11 restraints were in place. Requests for consultations were made to give time for trade to adjust and to prevent damage in the market and once this was achieved the measures were rescinded. The TMB recommendations had been heeded. The concept of "sparingly" was a relative one and should also be seen in the light of the fact that the Member in question was a huge importer. The existence of this provision helped to proceed with greater confidence in going ahead with the integration process. The overall effect of what had happened seems not to be discouraging for the future of the integration process. Over the last nine months the particular Member had made only one request for consultations under this Article. As a result, the total number of requests for consultations had been considerably lower than in 1995. It was also argued that the use of Article 6 was not exceptional in nature. In the negotiations specific proposals had been made that would have avoided the use of discriminatory measures, but these proposals had not been retained.

(iii) Bilaterally Agreed Arrangements

16.9 It was stated that a fundamental concept of the ATC was to strengthen the multilateral disciplines in the field of textile and clothing trade with a view to ensuring final integration of the sector into the GATT/WTO rules. It was recalled that, in the context of safeguard measures, a number of bilateral arrangements had been notified. Concern was expressed that though under the ATC the TMB was required to determine whether these bilateral arrangements had been justified in accordance with the provisions of Article 6, some of these had not been subsequently confirmed by the TMB as being in conformity with the provisions of the ATC. The absence of endorsement by TMB of a safeguard action did not make the safeguard action legal. Thus, the integrity of the multilateral rules and disciplines was weakened.

16.10 In response, it was stated that Article 6 made explicit provisions for bilaterally agreed restraint measures, and some other Articles also provided that Members consult with a view to reaching mutually agreed solutions. In the absence of confirmation by the TMB, a safeguard action did not become illegal. During the negotiations a proposal had been made for mandatory endorsement of safeguard actions by the TMB. This proposal had not been retained.

16.11 In response, it was pointed out that Article 6.9 of the ATC provided for a TMB determination as to whether bilaterally agreed restraint measures were in accordance with the provisions of Article 6.

(iv) Functioning of the Textiles Monitoring Body

16.12 It was recalled that the TMB was required to supervise the implementation of the ATC, to examine all measures taken under it and their conformity therewith, and to take the actions specifically required of it by the ATC (Article 8.1). Before raising a textile matter in the Dispute Settlement Body, it had first to be raised at the TMB. Concerns were expressed that, in order to retain the confidence of all Members, there was a particular need to enhance transparency of the TMB's functioning, and to ensure *ad personam* participation by its members so as to ensure impartiality. The TMB had recognized that in a few cases it could not arrive at a consensus decision on matters brought to it, and, therefore, could not fulfil its mandate. This, apart from having an adverse impact on trade, had seriously modified the balance of rights and obligations of the ATC. The TMB should ensure that such situations did not arise in future. The TMB should give adequate reasons for its recommendations or, where recommendations should have been made but were not made, the main reasons underlying the TMB's failure to discharge its functions should have been made known. This would have enhanced the effectiveness and accountability of the Body as a whole and would have further strengthened the confidence of WTO Members that the way TMB functions was fair. Those Members which maintained a permanent seat in the Body had the advantage of maintaining an "institutional memory" on points which could be revisited in the future, unlike other Members whose representatives served in the TMB on a rotating basis. Greater transparency would provide rotating members with a better understanding. In reviewing safeguard measures, the TMB had, on occasions, failed to point out substantive as well as procedural inconsistencies in measures taken. Its review process had not always taken place within ATC disciplines. The TMB should also make available notifications received by it to all WTO Members without delay. Under Article IV:5 of the WTO Agreement, the Council "shall oversee the functioning of the Multilateral Trade Agreements in Annex 1A."

16.13 In response, it was stated that the TMB had done its job under difficult circumstances; one had full confidence in its competence and integrity. The TMB had succeeded, by a systematic approach, in setting certain standards for assessing the justification of the existence of serious damage. The TMB's role and responsibilities as established by the ATC should be recognized. The view that the TMB had erred in procedures and substance on some occasions could not be shared. Some of the TMB's problems were due to ambiguities in the ATC itself. The TMB was a quasi-judicial body, therefore, there were reasonable limits to how transparent it could be. The TMB had acknowledged problems

with decision-making and had mentioned as possible factors the circumstances of its establishment, the large number of disputes it had faced and tight time-constraints under which it often operated. Its reports had to be carefully crafted and if more transparency was requested then the reports would have to be more detailed, which would in turn also increase the TMB's workload and difficulties with respect to decision-making. Despite best efforts and certainly good faith efforts, the TMB had faced cases the nature of which required time - it was unfair to criticise this. One could only urge that it make greater efforts and hope that its members could overcome divergencies of views and enhance TMB's ability to reach consensus. The TMB's report to the Council had facilitated increased transparency, which should be encouraged.

16.14 It was also stated that the degree of acceptance or compliance with TMB recommendations would be one important element in any assessment of its functioning. It was argued that the fact that one Member declined to follow a TMB recommendation affirming a Member's safeguard action undermined the ATC's assumption that Members would follow the TMB's recommendations.

16.15 In response, it was pointed out that the ATC did not require governments to comply with TMB recommendations but to endeavour to accept them in full and that it would be wrong to imply that affected exporting Members should not exercise the dispute settlement rights under Article 8.10.

(v) Treatment of Small Suppliers and Least Developed Countries

16.16 With respect to small suppliers, it was recalled that, according to Article 1.2, meaningful increases in access possibilities must be provided for small suppliers, using the provisions of Articles 2.18 and 6.6(b). Concern was expressed that the only way to determine if the provisions were being complied with was to receive notifications from Members imposing or maintaining restrictions, indicating the way in which "meaningful increases" in access possibilities were being implemented.

16.17 In response it was stated that the Members were abiding now and would continue to abide by their obligations to small suppliers.

16.18 It was recalled that the ATC provided that, to the extent possible, exports from a least-developed country Member might also benefit from the provisions of Article 2.18 (concerning improved growth in quota levels) so as to permit meaningful increases in access possibilities for such Members. Provisions on special treatment of LLDCs had also been provided in the preamble, in the footnote to Article 1.2 and in Article 6.6(a). These provisions did not specify the precise modalities for according such treatment, but one way might be to review the quotas in place, including more favourable growth rates. In the Marrakesh Declaration, Ministers had recognized the importance of the implementation of special provisions for the LLDCs and had declared their intention to continue to assist and facilitate the expansion of their trade and investment opportunities. They had agreed to keep under regular review by the Ministerial Conference and the appropriate organs of the WTO the impact of the results of the Uruguay Round on the LLDCs with a view to fostering positive measures to enable them to achieve their development objectives. Positive measures were required to stop the further marginalization of LLDCs, whose integration into the global trading system would be in the interest of all WTO Members.

16.19 In response, it was stated that Members were abiding now and would continue to abide by the best endeavour provisions in favour of LLDCs. One Member added that although it maintained restraints on certain textile exports of an LLDC Member, that Member, although being a very large supplier, had unusually free access and benefitted from initial quota growth rates in excess of 8 per cent. Another Member added that it had no restraints on LLDCs and that it applied zero tariffs.

(vi) Particular Interests of Cotton-Producing Countries

16.20 Recalling that according to Article 1.4 "the particular interests of the cotton producing exporting Members should, in consultation with them, be reflected in the implementation of the provisions of this Agreement", it was pointed out that, as was clear from the quoted wording, the onus of consultations was on the importing Member integrating products into GATT 1994. Concern was expressed that no such consultations had been notified, nor held. The TMB had received no notifications relating to the implementation of this provision. It should have sought information from the Members concerned. Therefore the requirements of this provision had not been fulfilled and the particular interests of cotton-producing exporting Members had not been reflected in the implementation of the provisions of the ATC.

16.21 In response it was stated that this provision had been faithfully implemented. No specific consultations had been requested from any Member with respect to this provision. Some Members had held consultations with a number of countries which they considered to be relevant to Article 1.4. There was no obligation to notify the TMB and no Member had taken this issue to the TMB.

(vii) Rules of Origin

16.22 It was recalled that according to the ATC the introduction of changes, such as changes in practices, rules and procedures, should not upset the balance of rights and obligations between the Members concerned; adversely affect the access available to a Member; impede full utilization of such access; or disrupt trade under the ATC (Article 4.1). The Agreement on Rules of Origin (ARO) further provided that pending the completion of the work programme for harmonization of origin rules, Members should ensure, *inter alia*, that their rules of origin were not used as instruments to pursue trade objectives directly or indirectly. Concerns were expressed that one Member had implemented changes in its origin rules for textile and clothing products as an instrument of trade policy. This was contrary to the provisions of the ARO as well as Article 4 of the ATC, and had introduced great uncertainty and unpredictability with adverse effects on the exports of a large number of Members. Corrections to this situation were necessary. The harmonization of the rules of origin had been mandated by the ARO to be undertaken at the multilateral level; the fact that the Member concerned had harmonized its rules of origin relating to imports of textiles and clothing products unilaterally demonstrated that it had proceeded contrary to the relevant provisions of the ARO and the ATC. This development was very disturbing considering that the objective of the ATC was to bring about further liberalization, not restrictions, of trade in textiles and clothing.

16.23 In response, it was stated that Members requesting consultations under Article 4 were required to show that there had been a change in the implementation and administration of restrictions and if that was the case, that they had been adversely affected or trade disrupted. In consultations with various Members, it had in a number of cases been agreed that the implementation of revised origin rules had had no adverse impact. In cases where adverse impact could be demonstrated, the particular Member was working towards a mutually satisfactory solution. The new rules had been designed to conform with rules of other Members and also to provide greater protection against circumvention. One Member had expressed concern about the new rules and was consulting with the Member in question, but had so far not requested the intervention of any WTO body. The Members who felt that they were affected by the changes in the rules were free to raise the matter in the appropriate forum.

(viii) Other ATC Issues (Outward Processing Trade, Special Regimes, etc.)

16.24 It was stated that a fundamental principle of the GATT/WTO was the elimination of discriminatory treatment in international commerce. Concerns were expressed, however, that special regimes were continually being extended to provide better access to certain Members. Special regimes were also being used to promote the interests of special interest groups in importing countries, such

as manufacturers of fabrics at the expense of the export of textile and clothing products from developing country manufacturers. It should be ensured that access rights of other restrained Members were not adversely affected.

16.25 In response it was stated that the ATC required more favourable treatment to qualifying re-imports as defined by the laws and practices of the importing country. The ATC gave importing Members discretion on what type of more favourable treatment was to be given to this trade. A particular Member was currently providing more favourable treatment to re-imports under its outward processing programme, fully consistent with the ATC.

(ix) Relationship Between Restrictions and Regionalism

16.26 Concerns were expressed that expanding restrictions in the context of regionalism had adverse implications, especially for the export prospects of developing country Members. Unilateral restrictions under the pretext of regional obligations could not be justified under GATT 1994 or the ATC and could undermine the implementation of the ATC objective of further liberalization of trade.

16.27 In response, it was stated that regionalism could beneficially influence trade in overall terms through its impact on both quantitative restrictions and tariff rates. General conclusions could not be drawn from very specific individual cases. The general question of regionalism should appropriately be discussed in the Committee on Regional Trading Arrangements.

(x) Use of Trade Measures for Non-Trade Purposes

16.28 Concerns were expressed that pressures had been growing for trade measures in pursuit of non-trade objectives, particularly affecting textile products. Often, such measures carried a protectionist bias, were based on criteria outside the framework of WTO rules and disciplines, produced serious disruptive effects for the trade interest and prospects of developing country Members, and may impinge on the effective implementation of the ATC. Measures being adopted or contemplated under the pretext of social and environmental concerns were examples of such non-tariff barriers.

16.29 In response it was stated that it was inappropriate to concentrate on just one sector when dealing with this issue which had a much broader scope than textiles trade. The subject should be dealt with in a wider context.

(xi) Market Access

16.30 It was stated that an important element of the ATC was increased opening of the textiles markets of all WTO Members. Article 7.1(a) provides that "as part of the integration process and with reference to the specific commitments undertaken by the Members as a result of the Uruguay Round, all Members shall take such actions as may be necessary to abide by GATT 1994 rules and disciplines so as to: (a) achieve improved access to markets for textile and clothing products through such measures as tariff reductions and bindings, reduction or elimination of non-tariff barriers, and facilitation of customs, administrative and licensing formalities". Concerns were expressed that some exporting Members had not complied with their obligations under Article 7. In examining the extent to which the commitment to achieve improved access to markets had been complied with, attention should also be paid to any instances where *de facto* market access had been reduced through the raising of applied tariff rates, in addition to the reduction or elimination of non-tariff barriers. One Member had invited exporting Members to make it clear in what way they would be prepared to give effect to this commitment. A trade-off for the progressive liberalization of restraints by importing Members was the removal of various impediments to textile imports by exporting Members.

16.31 In response, it was stated that the Uruguay Round results constituted a total package with a general equilibrium between rights and obligations for all Members. Benefits given to certain Members in the ATC through progressive integration of the textile and clothing trade into the ATC were trade-offs for the obligations these Members had undertaken in other Agreements. Besides, Article 7 explicitly referred to "specific commitments undertaken by Members as a result of the Uruguay Round" and therefore there was no obligation on the part of any Member to provide additional market access over and above the commitments already included in its Schedule of Commitments. International trade could not be conducted on a basis of sectoral reciprocity. There had been notifications expressing appreciation to certain exporting Members for having provided effective access in their markets for textiles and clothing products. No provisions in the ATC required that the integration be conditional on the removal of impediments to textile imports by exporting Members. The approach undertaken by importing Members at offering more meaningful integration in exchange for greater market access in exporting Members was not justified. The suggestion that attention should also be paid to increased applied tariff rates was rejected because the multilateral trading system had, as its cornerstone, the concept of bindings of tariffs. It was the right of any Member to apply any rates so long as these were within the bound levels in its schedule. Applied rates could fluctuate depending on the revenue and development needs of Members.

16.32 In response, it was stated that there was no attempt to establish a new kind of conditionality; what was being looked for was the widest possible contribution to the worldwide liberalization of trade in textiles and clothing. A Member, of course, might adjust an applied tariff rate upwards to the bound level. However, one would seriously question the logic of those who would argue that there was no deterioration of the real conditions of market access to countries that make such adjustments.

16.33 The points were also made that neither in the Market Access Committee, the TMB nor the DSB had there been complaints as to compliance with market access obligations. The scheduled commitments only provided secure and predictable trading opportunities and did not necessarily translate into higher trade volumes in each case. Moreover, a large group of Members had adopted unilateral liberalization measures. What was needed was a mechanism which would enable those Members to be compensated for these measures which had benefitted the whole multilateral trading system.

(xii) Rules and Disciplines

16.34 It was stated that the Council should examine Members' compliance with GATT 1994 rules and disciplines having an impact on trade in the textiles sector. If necessary, it should request relevant information from other relevant bodies such as those dealing with anti-dumping, balance-of-payments, subsidies and/or the protection of intellectual property.

16.35 In response it was stated that an effective evaluation of the implementation of the ATC should not be extended to compliance with other WTO disciplines. There were concerns about the growing misuse of anti-dumping proceedings on textile products which resulted in the disruption and dislocation of trade amounting to trade harassment. Any perceived non-fulfilment of obligations should be brought to the attention of the relevant Committees.

(xiii) Circumvention

16.36 Concerns were expressed that effective implementation of the ATC depended on exporting Members adopting effective measures to prevent circumvention of the Agreement. Transshipment, in particular, was a large and growing problem. The overall problem of transshipment was much bigger than the amount of imports which had been subject to charge-back. Members had committed themselves in the ATC to establish the necessary mechanisms to deal with this problem. They should abide by this and commit themselves to closer cooperation in this area.

16.37 In response it was stated that the concerned Members continued to fully implement anti-circumvention measures. They had fully cooperated with their trading partners at combating and redressing situations which might suggest the existence of circumvention. They reaffirmed their commitments to close cooperation but stated that recourse to the remedies provided for in the ATC was the proper course to follow. One of the main problems was the subjective manner in which the circumvention provisions were being interpreted and applied. The magnitude of the problem should not be exaggerated. It was also pointed out that implementation of the ATC could not be made conditional on effective anti-circumvention measures.

* * * * *

16.38 Divergent views were expressed with respect to possible conclusions and/or recommendations, with reference to the issues and problems referred to in paragraphs 16.4-16.37 above.

16.39 At the Council's meeting of 1 November 1996 Hong Kong, on behalf also of the ASEAN WTO Members, i.e. Brunei Darussalam, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand; and Colombia, Costa Rica, India, Pakistan and Peru and with the support of some other Members, presented under "Other Business" a proposal for conclusions and recommendations in respect of the implementation of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing. The proposal was subsequently circulated as G/C/W/65.

16.40 At the same meeting, also under "Other Business" Pakistan, on behalf of a number of Members, presented a draft of a Ministerial Decision, subsequently circulated as G/C/W/66.

16.41 Divergent views were expressed on how these proposals should be treated.

17. Textiles Monitoring Body (TMB)

Report of the TMB (G/C/M/14)

17.1 The Textiles Monitoring Body is a standing body of the WTO set up pursuant to Article 8:1 of the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing to supervise the implementation of the Agreement, to examine all measures taken under it, and their conformity with it, and to take the actions specifically required of it by the Agreement. The TMB consists of a Chairman and 10 members. The members are appointed by Members designated by the Council to serve on the TMB, discharging their function on an *ad personam* basis. The TMB's report is therefore not a report by a body consisting of WTO Members.

17.2 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council considered the Report of the Textiles Monitoring Body (G/L/113) in the context of the preparations for the Singapore Ministerial Conference. The full account of the discussions in the Council was provided in the minutes of the meeting (G/C/M/14). The following is (a) a summary of the comments made by Members at that meeting; and (b) action taken by the Council.

A. Summary of Comments Made by WTO Members

17.3 Some Members stated that the report was a complete, well-documented analysis of the activities of the TMB based on the mandate given to it in the ATC. It was the most important reference document for analysis of the implementations of the ATC, giving a factual account on the way in which the Members had complied with their obligations and the way in which the different problems which had arisen had been analyzed and assessed. It was an important contribution to the Council towards understanding the major complexity of the matters being handled by the Body and the difficulties therein. The TMB was a hard-working body, and a number of delegations appreciated the amount of time and effort given by the TMB members and its Chairman to completing the report. It was also stated that it was apparent that the TMB had relied extensively on notifications by Members to perform its tasks.

17.4 Some Members stated that, according to Article 8.3, the TMB was supposed also to rely on additional and supplementary information that could or should be available to it. In certain cases, it had not sought such information, e.g. from the importing as well as the major cotton-producing Members as to whether consultations required under Article 1.4 had been conducted. The TMB had also overlooked changes in the rules of origin of one importing Member and should have been able to at least state that the transitional safeguard had not been sparingly used. The TMB had received but not yet disclosed a number of notifications involving administrative arrangements. It would seem important for the TMB to review these to ensure that they were consistent with the provisions of the ATC. It was also stated that the report confirmed almost all concerns voiced with regard to the operation of the TMB, as well as on the implementation of the ATC.

17.5 In response it was stated that no complaints had been brought to the TMB concerning changes in the rules of origin nor about non-sparing use of transitional safeguards.

17.6 It was stated that while the TMB had brought out the absence of commercially meaningful integration in the first stage, it had erred in not pointing out that the integration programmes of Members which did not maintain quantitative restrictions carried over from the MFA was a notional integration, and that the products they selected for integration had no implication for the access; unlike in the case of the four other Members.

17.7 In response it was stated that the TMB had handled the integration notifications in a factual and separate manner which recognized that the obligations concerning integration arose from differing legal provisions (paragraphs 7(a) and 7(b) of Article 2).

17.8 It was also stated that the report should have included information as to whether the Member taking safeguard action had claimed serious damage or actual threat thereof or both, and whether the TMB had found serious damage or actual threat or both.

17.9 In response it was stated that such information was not an important and certainly not a necessary element that should have been included in the report.

17.10 Some Members stated that the manner in which Article 6 notifications had been reviewed by the TMB did not always seem to have taken place within the disciplines of the ATC. For example specific limits lower than the rollback level, inconsistent with the ATC, should have been pointed out by the TMB. The TMB had accepted, in several cases, bilateral solutions which were not consistent with the letter and the spirit of the ATC; in this connection, the so-called Guaranteed Access Levels (GALs) were mentioned. The TMB had concluded that a notified restraint was justified "in overall terms", a term not used in Article 6. When further bilateral consultations had been recommended, rights and obligations had been modified. Doubts were expressed with regard to a statement in the report that Members invoking the safeguard provisions had, in all cases, strictly observed the procedural requirements. The TMB had gone beyond the provisions of the ATC, in that Article 8.9 did not envisage any requirement of Members "to comply" with TMB recommendations, especially when read with the provision of Article 8.10 which enabled Members to pursue unresolved matters in the DSB. It was also recalled that the TMB had stated its awareness of the concerns expressed by some Members with respect to lack of sufficient improvements in access to markets in some developing country Members. However, there had not been any notification by any WTO Member to the TMB with regard to the implementation of Article 7. Nor had there been any communication from the Market Access Committee to the TMB on the matter of cross- and reverse-notifications. It was surprising that the TMB should have made such an extra-jurisdictional observation.

17.11 In response to some of these points it was stated that the GALs involved an outward processing programme and Article 6 explicitly not only permitted such programmes but required that a Member using Article 6 give more favourable treatment to Members involved in outward processing programmes.

The GALs offered to certain Members were necessary to remain in conformity with Article 6. The remark by the TMB that in most cases Members could comply with recommendations was a positive statement of fact which did not reflect distorted legal obligations, namely that Members had been able, following their best endeavours, to comply in full with the recommendations made. In most cases Members had been able to abide by TMB recommendations, which boded well for the ATC. Reference had been made to dispute cases where the Body could not reach agreement, but the avoidance of decisions on late or absent notifications was of equal concern. The TMB was entitled to mention market access because Article 8:1 entrusted it with supervision of all aspects of the ATC, including Article 7.

17.12 Recalling that the report did recognize that there had been difficulties which were very often due to the limited amount of time available to review dispute cases, the view was expressed that it would be inconsistent with the provisions of the ATC to provide time-frames other than those prescribed in Article 6. The danger of accepting such a view, and transposing it to other processes under the Dispute Settlement Body, could not be over emphasized.

17.13 In response, the view was expressed that this was simply stating a fact. It was unfair to criticize the TMB in this matter. One could see from the length of the report what tasks the TMB faced. In some cases it might not be possible for the Body to reach consensus, particularly when it was operating under tight deadlines.

17.14 Recalling that the TMB had expressed awareness of the need for giving reasons for its decisions, some Members did not agree that more detailed reports might render consensus more difficult to achieve or require additional time. The discipline of having to provide reasons or common rationale for a decision or recommendation would encourage TMB members to study the various elements seriously and discharge their duties strictly in an *ad personam* capacity. The TMB's reviews should be dictated by the actions themselves rather than by the convenience of the participants. They believed greater transparency provided for greater accountability and therefore greater acceptability. It was further recalled that the TMB was concerned that in a few cases it had not been able to arrive at a consensus decision and therefore could not fulfil its mandate. The TMB also had said that this could have an adverse impact on the Members affected. It was actually concerned about the implications of unresolved issues for the continued operation of the Agreement. However, while the TMB stated its determination to take all necessary steps to overcome such difficulties, it went on to say that similar circumstances could not be excluded in the future. It was of concern that the TMB recognized that it had not fulfilled its mandate under the ATC and then also recognized the possibility that such a situation might occur again. Furthermore, the TMB was aware of the implications for trade of requests for consultations made with a view to introducing transitional safeguard measures. However, the TMB only said that further efforts would be made to provide as many details and explanations as possible. There was thus neither a demonstration of will to address the roots of the problem nor a commitment to provide common rationale.

17.15 In response the view was expressed that the TMB had been able to establish standards for the review of notifications and disputes which could provide guidance to Members with respect to the implementation of the ATC. The reasons for TMB's decisions were important not only for the parties to whom they were directed, but for all other Members. The TMB had acknowledged these problems and was determined to make every effort to overcome the obstacles to reaching decisions by consensus and to try to make its decisions more understandable. One could only urge the TMB to make greater efforts so as to enhance its ability to reach consensus decisions. An assessment of TMB's functioning could not be made without taking into consideration circumstances of its establishment, the initial workload it was faced with, as well as the importance of this sector of international trade. It was a fact that more detailed reports might render consensus more difficult to achieve and/or require additional time. Explanations in case of no consensus also required consensus in the TMB. Because of the quasi-judicial nature and neutrality requirement of the TMB there should be a reasonable limit to its transparency. Some also argued that TMB's problems were partly due to shortcomings in the ATC

itself. The ATC established the TMB and unless one was ready to embark on substantial legislative and negotiating activity which did not seem feasible, one had to continue to live with the TMB. The course of action therefore was to try to enhance its functioning precisely through a discussion such as the present one. By the very nature of its constitution the TMB had limits to a perfect performance.

17.16 It was stated that the report of the TMB had contributed towards greater transparency of the TMB functioning, and that this trend should be encouraged.

B. Action Taken by the Council

17.17 The Council took note of the TMB's report and decided to annex it to Council's own report to the General Council.

17.18 The Council also agreed to take the following action on the three recommendations made by the TMB to the Council:

- (i) it took note of the observations and concerns contained in paragraph 102 of the TMB report and recalled to Members the particular importance of strictly adhering to the notification requirements under the Agreement on Textiles and Clothing;
- (ii) it agreed that the Chairman resume consultations on the proposal of the *ad personam* status of TMB's members (G/C/W/20) at an appropriate time;
- (iii) it took note of the recommendation that due consideration should be given to the schedule of meetings of the TMB in the WTO's overall schedule of meetings.

18. Proposals and Initiatives for Further Trade Liberalization
(G/C/M/11, 13 and Corr.1, 14 and 15)

18.1 At the meeting of 5 July 1996, the representative of Australia stated that while the built-in agenda covered many areas, it failed to deal with industrial tariffs. For that reason Australia proposed that the Singapore Ministerial Conference agree to begin comprehensive industrial tariff negotiations in the year 2000, at the same time as further negotiations in agriculture and services, and mandate the Council or the Committee on Market Access to undertake preparatory work for such negotiations as from 1997 (G/L/96).

18.2 At the meeting of 19 September 1996, the representative of Australia further clarified the proposal made by his delegation in the context of preparations for the Singapore Ministerial Conference. The Council agreed to the Chairman's proposal to consult informally on this matter.

18.3 At the meeting of 15 October 1996, the representative of Australia proposed that a draft recommendation on this matter be included in the report of the Council.

18.4 At the meeting of 1 November 1996, the representative of Australia proposed that the Council consider the inclusion of a recommendation in Section II of its report to the effect that "Members agreed to keep under review the prospect of effecting further trade liberalisation including on an autonomous, plurilateral or multilateral basis".

18.5 In the discussions of this matter in the Council, Members expressed divergent views with respect to the substance of the Australian proposal as well as the request for the inclusion of a recommendation in the report of the Council. While some Members expressed support in varying degrees for the proposal, other Members expressed opposition to the proposal as well as to the request for a recommendation.

18.6 At the resumed meeting of 4 November 1996, the representative of Australia stated that his delegation would continue to work with other delegations towards the objective that the Singapore Ministerial Conference underlines the commitment of the WTO to the further progressive liberalization of tariffs through successive rounds of multilateral trade negotiations. At this stage, Australia would not insist on the inclusion of the recommendation proposed in Section II of the Council's report.

18.7 At the meeting of 1 November 1996, under "Other Business", a proposal was also submitted by Canada on Further Tariff Liberalization (G/MA/W/9) which recommended a WTO work programme to address, *inter alia* the acceleration of Uruguay Round tariff reductions, expansion of membership for existing sectoral and harmonization initiatives, and identification of additional sectors for zero-for-zero and harmonization initiatives.

18.8 Additionally, two communications were submitted at that meeting under "Other Business": one by the United States (G/MA/W/8), concerning the Information Technology Agreement on further liberalization of information technology products; and one by the European Communities on behalf of the WTO Members concerned (G/MA/W/10), on Trade in Pharmaceutical Products outlining the review of pharmaceutical product coverage that had resulted in the addition of 465 products for duty-free treatment.

19. Singapore Ministerial Conference

(a) Reports of subsidiary bodies of the Council (G/C/M/13 and Corr.1, 14 and 15)

19.1 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council agreed to the Chairman's proposal to consult informally on the Council's treatment of the reports of its subsidiary bodies.

19.2 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council agreed that the broad guidelines with regard to the handling of the reports of 12 of its subsidiary bodies (i.e., the Committees on Agriculture, Anti-Dumping Practices, Customs Valuation, Import Licensing, Market Access, Rules of Origin, Safeguards, Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, Technical Barriers to Trade, Trade-Related Investment Measures and the Working Party on State Trading Enterprises) who were expected to submit their reports on a consensus basis to the Council in the context of the Singapore Ministerial Conference, would be to take note of those reports and annex them to its own report. This would be without prejudice to the ability of Members to raise points with regard to the reports, and also the ability of the Council to record observations, to make recommendations, and to take decisions, if considered necessary. With regard to the factual report from the Independent Entity under the PSI Agreement and the report from the Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures, the Council agreed to treat them in the same way as the other 12 reports. As concerned the TMB report, the Council agreed to the Chairman's proposal to consult informally on how to deal with this report.

19.3 As regards the reports of the Committees on Agriculture (relating to the Marrakesh Ministerial Decision on Measures concerning the Possible Negative Effects of the Reform Programme on Least-Developed and Net Food-Importing Developing Countries)⁷, Anti-Dumping Practices, Customs Valuation, Import Licensing, Market Access, Rules of Origin, Safeguards, Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures, Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, Technical Barriers to Trade and Trade-Related Investment Measures, the Independent Entity, the Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures and the Working Party on State Trading Enterprises, the Council at its meeting of 1 November 1996, took note of them and decided to annex them to its own report. As regards the report of the TMB,

⁷At the time of adoption of the Council's report, the report of the Committee on Agriculture on the Implementation of the Agreement on Agriculture and the work of the Committee had not been received. The Council agreed to consider that report separately after its receipt and forward it to the General Council as an addendum to the Council's report.

the Council took note of it and decided to annex it to its own report; this action was preceded by a full consideration of the report (see discussion in paragraphs 17.1 to 17.18).

19.4 At its meeting of 1 November 1996, the Council took note of the concerns voiced by some delegations on the third sentence of paragraph 15 of the report of the Committee on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) relating to the issue of eco-labelling and its coverage in the TBT Agreement.

(b) Report by the Council for Trade in Goods to the Ministerial Conference (G/C/M/10, 11, 13 and Corr.1, 14 and 15)

19.5 At its meeting of 22 May 1996, the Chairman referred to the statement made by the Chairman of the General Council at the meeting of 16 April 1996, concerning "Reporting Procedures for the Singapore Ministerial Conference" (WT/L/145), and suggested holding informal consultations at a later stage on the Council's report to the Singapore Ministerial Conference.

19.6 At its meeting of 5 July 1996, the Chairman stated that in the context of the status of work with respect to the Singapore Ministerial Conference he wished to address two aspects regarding this process. While the situation was satisfactory in respect of scheduled commitments, a serious problem seemed to be emerging in respect of compliance with notification obligations in a number of Agreements, as reflected in document issued by the Working Group on Notification Obligations and Procedures. The second aspect related to the indication given by the Chairman of the General Council that it would be appropriate for the Chairmen of the sectoral Councils to present an oral report to the General Council regarding the current status of work concerning the preparations for the Singapore Ministerial Conference, particularly in respect of implementation and the built-in agenda. Accordingly, he intended to present an oral report on his own responsibility to the General Council at the meeting scheduled for 18 July 1996.

19.7 At its meeting of 19 September 1996, the Council agreed to the Chairman's proposal to consult informally on the format and content of the Council's report to the Singapore Ministerial Conference.

19.8 At its meeting of 15 October 1996, the Council agreed that the Council's report would consist of two parts, one factual and one containing conclusions and/or recommendations.

19.9 At its resumed meeting of 4 November 1996, the Council adopted the report to the General Council contained in G/C/W/62/Rev.1, as amended in the light of the discussion at that meeting⁸.

⁸ The report was subsequently issued in its final version as G/L/134.

SECTION II: CONCLUSIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusions and/or recommendations of the subsidiary bodies of the Council are contained in the reports of the respective bodies annexed to this report.

The following conclusions and/or recommendations arise directly from the deliberations of the Council:

1. Agreement on Preshipment Inspection

1.1 The Council recommends that the General Council acting on behalf of the Ministerial Conference in accordance with Article IV:2 of the Agreement establishing the World Trade Organization, set up a Working Party under the Council with the following terms of reference:

"to conduct the review provided for under Article 6 of the Agreement on Preshipment Inspection and to report to the General Council through the Council, in December 1997."

2. Notification Obligations and Procedures

(a) The Council requests the General Council to take the necessary steps to eliminate the notification obligations in the Decisions of the GATT 1947 CONTRACTING PARTIES relating to import licensing procedures (L/3756 and SR/28/6).

(b) The Council requests the Ministerial Conference or the General Council to consider the establishment, at an appropriate time, of a body with a mandate to review the notification obligations and procedures throughout the WTO Agreement. Alternatively, consideration might be given to the establishment of a body, or the extension/modification of the mandate of the current Working Group, to conduct, at an appropriate time, a further comprehensive review of the notification obligations and procedures in the agreements in Annex 1A of the WTO Agreement. It was suggested that future work also encompass matters relating to the Central Registry of Notifications, electronic transmission of notifications and further work on the notifications handbook.

SECTION V

COUNCIL FOR TRADE IN SERVICES

Council for Trade in Services

COUNCIL FOR TRADE IN SERVICES

Report to the General Council

Part I: Activities of the Council and Subsidiary Bodies

1. The Council for Trade in Services has held nine meetings during 1996. Reports on such meetings are contained in documents S/M/8 -16. The Council addressed the following matters:

Procedures for the Implementation of Article XXI (Modification of Schedules)

2. Paragraph 5 of Article XXI of the GATS (Modification of Schedules) calls upon the Council for Trade in Services to establish procedures for rectification or modification of schedules. In informal consultations in the Uruguay Round, participants had been working on the basis of a detailed preliminary draft of such procedures. During 1995, informal consultations started under the Council on the basis of that draft and continued in 1996 resulting in three consecutive revisions the last of which was circulated in an informal note by the Secretariat dated 23 May 1996. Several delegations expressed the view that in the light of additional questions which had been raised, informal consultations should continue with a view to producing a final draft text for consideration and adoption by the Council. Consultations are continuing.

Taxes and Subsidies at the Sub-Central Level

3. In accordance with the statement made by the Chairman of the Group of Negotiations on Services dated 13 December 1993 (MTN.GNS/50), participants in the Uruguay Round were given an additional period of time until 15 June 1994 to complete the scheduling of measures relating to subsidies and taxes at the sub-central level. The statement also stipulated that "it is understood that this process will not result in any alteration in the negotiated balance of rights of obligations. For a period of thirty days starting on 16 June 1994, if any participant considers that such balance has been altered as a result of scheduling additional measures, it may consult with the participant or participants concerned with a view to reaching a satisfactory adjustment". Pursuant to that statement, the United States submitted a communication, contained in PC/SCS/W/4 dated 30 June 1994, through the Sub-Committee on Services. Upon request from delegations, the communication was subject to multilateral consultations under the auspices of the Sub-Committee on Services during 1994 and under the auspices of the Council during 1995 and 1996. At its meeting of 4 March 1996, the Council considered an interim report on the progress achieved in consultations thus far (S/C/W/13). The Council took note of the report and agreed that multilateral consultations shall continue with a view to reaching a satisfactory outcome fully consistent with GATS disciplines. A number of delegations took the view that future consultations on the matter should not be directed towards fact finding, but should focus on practical solutions to the problems identified in previous consultations.

Notification of the Establishment of Enquiry and Contact Points

4. Paragraph 4 of Article III and paragraph 2 of Article IV of the GATS call upon Members to establish enquiry points and, where appropriate, contact points within two years from the date of entry into force of the Agreement. However, these articles do not require the notification of the establishment and location of these agencies. Given the objective behind the establishment of such agencies, the Council considered it desirable that their existence and location be made known. The Council therefore, at its meeting on 28 May 1996, adopted the "Decision on the Notification of the Establishment of Enquiry and Contact Points" (S/L/23) which calls upon Members to notify the establishment of such agencies. So far twenty-four Members have submitted such notifications (S/ENQ/1 - 24).

Notifications pursuant to Article V (Economic Integration)

5. At its meeting on 22 November 1995, the Council for Trade in Services had received a communication from the European Communities and their Member States notifying the "Economic Integration Agreement" as instituted by the Treaty of Rome, subsequently enlarged, and modified most recently by the Treaty on the European Union. At its meeting on 23 September 1996, the Council decided to request the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements to examine the Agreement in terms of its consistency with the provisions of Article V of the GATS.

6. The Council has also received notifications pursuant to paragraph 7(a) of Article V from Australia and New Zealand (S/C/N/7), the European Communities and their Member States and the Slovak Republic (S/C/N/23), the Republic of Hungary (S/C/N/24), the Republic of Poland (S/C/N/25), the Czech Republic (S/C/N/26), Romania (S/C/N/27) and the Kingdom of Norway, the Republic of Iceland and the Principality of Liechtenstein (S/C/N/28).

At its meeting on 30 October 1996 the Council decided to request the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements to examine the following agreements:

- Protocol on Trade in Services to the Australia - New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreements (S/C/N/7)
- Europe Agreement between the European Communities and their Member States and the Slovak Republic (S/C/N/23)
- Europe Agreement between the European Communities and their Member States and Republic of Hungary (S/C/N/24)
- Europe Agreement between the European Communities and their Member States and Republic of Poland (S/C/N/25)

Committee on Specific Commitments

7. The Committee on Specific Commitments has held two meetings in 1996. Its discussions have focused on how to organise its activities and work priorities according to its three main areas of responsibility as specified in the terms of reference (see document S/L/16).

8. With respect to the first responsibility - overseeing the implementation of commitments - Members generally consider that the oversight function should be handled on an *ad-hoc* basis and should focus on technical aspects of implementation.

9. Members consider that a great deal of useful work can be done in the Committee regarding the second function - examining technical aspects of schedules of commitments and MFN exemption lists in order to improve their technical accuracy and coherence but without affecting the current commitments. A start has been made in examining issues relating to the definition of sectoral coverage of commitments and to the adequacy of the existing GATS classification list. The Committee discussed a Secretariat paper on relevant developments in services classification systems in coordination with other international organizations and their implications for negotiations and scheduling under the GATS. The Committee has also discussed the question of how to facilitate the handling of lists of commitments and MFN exemptions. In this regard, the Secretariat prepared a paper analysing relevant issues concerning, first, the introduction of a loose-leaf binding system for the lists and, second, current developments at the WTO in the computerisation of schedules.

10. With respect to the third function of the Committee - overseeing the application of the procedures for the modification of schedules under GATS Article XXI - Members generally consider that the Committee should address relevant issues when the procedures themselves have been finalized.

Working Party on Professional Services

11. Since starting work in July 1995, the WPPS has held seven meetings. Its deliberations have focused on the three sets of issues in the accountancy sector laid down in paragraph 2 of the *Decision on Professional Services*. These issues are: (a) the development of multilateral disciplines to ensure that domestic regulatory requirements are based on objective and transparent criteria and are not more burdensome than necessary; (b) the use of international standards and (c) the establishment of guidelines for the recognition of qualifications.

(a) Development of multilateral disciplines

12. The activities of the Working Party have focused on the establishment of an extensive information base on regulation in the accountancy sector and on prioritizing and addressing specific issues with a view to the development of multilateral disciplines particularly in this sector.

13. The Working Party has devoted considerable time and effort to the collection and analysis of data and studies of domestic regulation in the accountancy sector. A seminar was organized at which the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) presented the results of a major international survey on the regulation and structure of accountancy services, explained its role and that of the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) in setting international standards in such areas as auditing, education for accountants and financial reporting, and introduced a statement on recognition of accountancy qualifications. Briefings were also received from the OECD and UNCTAD, both of which have carried out extensive work on accountancy services. The OECD presented the results of its survey on regulations on access for professional services and the categorized inventory of measures affecting trade in professional services. UNCTAD, through its Inter-governmental Working Group of Experts on International Accounting Standards of Accounting and Reporting (ISAR), explained its main activities in promoting international harmonization of corporate accounting and reporting practices.

14. In order to complete the information that had been made available by the above organizations on the regulatory regimes of Members affecting the accountancy sector, a supplementary questionnaire on specific aspects of domestic regulation was circulated to WPPS Members. Twenty-three responses covering thirty-seven Members have been received to date.

15. With respect to issues, Members drew up a non-exhaustive list of priority issues, based on delegation submissions and statements, which define in some detail the policy areas for which the Working Party is developing multilateral disciplines. The issues proposed for consideration comprise: qualification requirements and procedures; licensing requirements (other than qualification requirements) and procedures; regulations governing the establishment of a commercial presence; nationality/citizenship/residency requirements; professional liability and ethics; regulations governing entry and temporary stay of natural persons for the purpose of supplying accountancy services. The two remaining issues, relating to guidelines for recognition of qualifications and use of international standards, are dealt with below.

16. Among these issues Members have concentrated initially on licensing requirements and procedures in the accountancy sector. In this regard, the Working Party has started to examine the applicability of the concepts and approaches taken in the *Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreement* as they apply to licensing requirements and the other measures covered by GATS Article VI.4. Similarly, the WPPS has begun to examine the relevance of the disciplines in the *Import Licensing Agreement* to the need to ensure that licensing procedures in the accountancy sector do not in themselves restrict trade.

(b) Use of international standards

17. The main role of the Working Party in this area is to keep track of work going on elsewhere and encourage cooperation with relevant international organizations. In a further seminar members of the Working Party received briefings on the development of international standards by the IASC and the International Auditing Practices Committee (IAPC), and on their co-operation with the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO).

(c) Establishment of non-binding guidelines for mutual recognition agreements or arrangements in the accountancy sector

18. The Working Party has also worked on the development of non-binding guidelines for the negotiation of mutual recognition agreements or arrangements, which are permitted under the conditions laid down in Article VII of the GATS. The purpose of such guidelines, which would constitute a road map or checklist, would be to assist on a voluntary basis the negotiation and conclusion of mutual recognition agreements or arrangements among WTO Members and to ensure their transparency. At its seventh meeting, the Working Party took note that a draft paper entitled *Guidelines for Mutual Recognition Agreements or Arrangements in the Accountancy Sector* had been prepared and is under discussion.

Working Party on GATS Rules

19. The Working Party on GATS Rules was established in March 1995 by the Council on Trade in Services to carry out the negotiating mandates contained in the GATS on emergency safeguard measures, government procurement in services and subsidies. Article X requires that multilateral negotiations shall be held on the question of emergency safeguard measures, based on the principle of non-discrimination, the results of which are to enter into effect not later than 1 January 1998. Article XIII of GATS calls for multilateral negotiations on government procurement in services within two years from the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement. Article XV of GATS stipulates that negotiations will be held with a view to developing the necessary disciplines to avoid the trade-distortive effects of subsidies that may arise in certain circumstances and to address the appropriateness of countervailing procedures. It also required Members to exchange information concerning all subsidies related to trade in services and to establish a future work programme to determine how, and in what time frame, negotiations on such multilateral disciplines will be conducted.

20. The Working Party has held eight meetings. The Working Party first took up the issue of emergency safeguard measures, followed at intervals of three months by government procurement in services and subsidies. Thus, since its fifth meeting, the Working Party has considered all three negotiating mandates at every meeting. Differing views have been expressed by Members in regard to the question of developing an emergency safeguard mechanism under GATS and substantive discussions are continuing in regard to this question. Working papers on emergency safeguard measures were submitted to the Working Party by Australia (S/WPGR/W/5), Thailand (S/WPGR/W/6) and Switzerland (S/WPGR/W/14). The Working Party agreed that those Members wishing to do so would provide written contributions in relation to questions raised in document S/WPGR/W/15 on emergency safeguard measures.

21. The discussions in the Working Party on the subject of government procurement in services have addressed various aspects of possible disciplines, particularly in relation to transparency. In addition, Members have considered the implications of the existence of the Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA) for any disciplines that might be developed in GATS, as well as some of the reasons given by Members as to why they are not signatories of the GPA. Members are also engaged, on a voluntary basis, in an information-gathering exercise in relation to national procurement regimes affecting trade in services.

22. The Working Party's deliberations on the subject of subsidies have so far been of a preliminary nature. Many delegations have noted the inherent complexity of the subsidy issue in services, urging a careful and systematic approach to the negotiating mandate. Some discussion has taken place on the question whether a distinction should be made between broad-based subsidies of general applicability and narrower, sector specific subsidies. The Working Party has begun to consider the modalities of the information exchange exercise called for in the negotiating mandate. A working paper on conceptual issues relating to subsidies was submitted to the Working Party by Chile (S/WPGR/W/10).

Negotiations on Basic Telecommunications

23. The Negotiating Group on Basic Telecommunications, established by Ministerial decision at Marrakesh, completed its work on 30 April 1996. The Negotiating Group had held 17 meetings between May 1994 and April 1996. Fifty-three WTO Members were full participants in the negotiations and 24 governments participated as observers. The NGBT submitted its final report to the Council for Trade in Services (S/NGBT/18) on 30 April; to it were attached a list of the results of the negotiations, in the form of 34 Schedules of Commitments representing 48 WTO Member governments and one List of Article II (MFN) Exemptions. Also on 30 April, the Council adopted the Decision on Commitments in Basic Telecommunications (S/L/19) and the Fourth Protocol to the General Agreement on Trade in Services (S/L/20).

24. Of the 34 Schedules attached to the Protocol, 32 offer market access commitments in voice-telephony; 28 relate to local telephone services, 27 to domestic long distance and 25 to international services. Other services on which commitments were offered included data-transmission services (31 schedules), cellular/mobile telephone markets (28), private-leased circuit services (27) other types of mobile services (22) and satellite services (16). In a number of cases these commitments would be phased-in over time. Thirty of the 34 Schedules include commitments relating to regulatory disciplines on such matters as competition safeguards, interconnection, licensing and the independence of regulators - matters which had been extensively discussed in NGBT meetings devoted to conceptual and technical issues.

25. The Schedules and Exemption Lists attached to the Fourth Protocol will enter into force on 1 January 1998 provided that the Protocol has been accepted by all Members concerned. However, the Decision by which the Council adopted the Protocol also provided that during the period from 15 January to 15 February 1997 the Schedules and Exemption Lists may be supplemented or modified, and established a new Group on Basic Telecommunications to conduct consultations on the implementation of this provision.

26. The Council Decision also included a standstill provision calling upon the Members concerned, to the fullest extent consistent with their existing legislation and regulations, not to take measures which would be inconsistent with their undertakings resulting from these negotiations. The Council, which will monitor the acceptance of the Protocol, will also examine any concerns raised by Members regarding the standstill.

27. The Group on Basic Telecommunications (GBT) held its first meeting on 19 July 1996. At that meeting, the Group decided to be open to all Members of the WTO. Participants recognized the importance of the results achieved in April, in terms of creating a benchmark for further liberalization and establishing new regulatory disciplines. Participants suggested that the principal issues before the GBT included the desirability of improving the quantity and quality of Schedules offered, and the need to address possible distortions in trade resulting from the coexistence of open markets and monopoly markets in international telecommunications services and to clarify commitments on the provision of satellite-based services.

28. The GBT approved a timetable of meetings and activities leading up to the 15 February 1997 deadline for the modifications. The timetable comprised monthly meetings in September, October and November 1996 and a more intensive programme of meetings to be held during the 15 January to 15 February period, the conduct of bilateral negotiations in association with these meetings, and indicative dates for the submission to the Group of proposals for new or improved draft offers throughout the process. It was also proposed that the GBT should hold a high-level meeting in November 1996.

Negotiations on Maritime Transport Services

29. The Ministerial Decision on Negotiations on Maritime Transport Services and the Annex on Negotiations on Maritime Transport Services provided a mandate for Members to negotiate, by the end of June 1996, commitments relating to maritime transport services leading to the elimination of restrictions within a fixed time scale. The Decision established the Negotiating Group on Maritime Transport Services to carry out the negotiations. The Negotiating Group held 16 meetings and reported periodically to the Council on Trade in Services.

30. In October 1994, the Negotiating Group issued a Questionnaire on Maritime Transport Services covering market structure and regulatory issues. The Group reviewed participants' responses to the questionnaire. In all, 35 of the full participants and two observer governments submitted questionnaire responses. Another activity of the Group was to examine outstanding technical and conceptual issues. Among issues discussed were technical matters relating to the scheduling of commitments on international shipping, auxiliary services, access to and use of port facilities and multimodal transport services. At the end of June 1995, participants began submitting draft offers of commitments on maritime transport services to serve as the basis for negotiations.

31. At its meeting on 28 June 1996, the Council for Trade in Services adopted a Decision to suspend the negotiations on maritime transport services and to resume them with the commencement of comprehensive negotiations on services, in accordance with Article XIX of the GATS, and to conclude them no later than at the end of this first round of progressive liberalization (S/L/24).

32. After the suspension of the negotiations, two Members, Iceland and Norway, consolidated their best offers, i.e. transformed their offers into specific commitments to be inscribed in their schedules. Two Members, Austria (in the context of its accession to the European Union) and the Dominican Republic, withdrew their commitments, while two Members, Canada and Malaysia, modified their commitments slightly. Currently, 35 Members have commitments on maritime transport services. This includes: 29 Members who made commitments in the Uruguay Round, 4 Members (Papua New Guinea, Saint Christopher and Nevis, Sierra Leone and Slovenia) who acceded subsequently, and 2 Members (Iceland and Norway) who made commitments after the extended negotiations.

33. At the time of suspension of the negotiations, 56 governments (including the European Communities and their Member States) had elected to participate fully in the negotiations. Another 16 governments were participating in the process as observers. By that time 24 conditional offers had been submitted.

Committee on Trade in Financial Services

34. The activities of the Committee on Trade in Financial Services in 1996 focused on five main issues: monitoring of the acceptance and implementation of the results of the financial services negotiations concluded in July 1995; exchange of information on recent developments in financial services trade; consideration of some technical issues regarding the schedules of specific commitments and Article II (MFN) exemptions; availability of financial services data; and organization of future work. The work of the Committee in 1996 was conducted under the Chairmanship of Mr. Frank Swedlove of Canada. The Committee held two formal meetings in 1996.

35. On the monitoring of the acceptance and implementation of the results of the financial services negotiations, the Committee provided transparency on the status of acceptances of the Second Protocol to the GATS to all Members, and contributed to the completion of the acceptance process by the Members concerned.¹ Although not all Members concerned were able to accept the Protocol by the initial deadline of 30 June 1996, it entered into force on 1 September 1996 by virtue of a decision taken on 30 July 1996 by Members which had accepted it. This was in accordance with the procedures inscribed in the Protocol adopted by the Committee in July 1995. A decision by the Council for Trade in Services was also taken on the same day to extend the period for acceptance of the Protocol until 30 November 1996, allowing the remaining Members to accept. The Committee continues to monitor the acceptance of the Protocol by the remaining Members.

36. Concerning the exchange of information on recent developments in financial services trade, several Members reported recent liberalization measures. These developments were welcomed, and Members were encouraged to report any related developments to the Committee for transparency purposes.

37. Regarding the consideration of technical issues, the Secretariat produced a note indicating issues for discussion on two technical questions; the distinction between Modes 1 and 2 in financial services and sectoral classification of financial services. Other technical issues, such as how to improve the verification of schedules and MFN exemptions after negotiations, have also been raised. The Committee agrees to pursue these discussions.

¹The Members concerned are: Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Dominican Republic, Egypt, European Communities and their Member States (15), Hong Kong, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Norway, Pakistan, Philippines, Poland, Singapore, Slovak Republic, South Africa, Switzerland, Thailand, Turkey, Venezuela. Members who have not accepted the Protocol as of 5 November 1996 were Belgium, Brazil, Egypt, Greece, Portugal and Spain.

38. On the availability of financial services data, the Committee encouraged the Secretariat to work in close cooperation with other international organizations in their efforts to improve the availability of statistics, and requested it to report on any future developments with respect to this subject.

39. The Council for Trade in Services had decided in July 1995 that all Members be given the opportunity to modify or withdraw all or part of their specific commitments and to list Article II (MFN) exemptions under the GATS in financial services during a period of 60 days beginning on 1 November 1997. The Committee will oversee negotiations undertaken in accordance with this decision, and has decided that such negotiations will resume in early April 1997.

40. On the organization of future work, the Committee recognized the importance of proper early preparation for the resumption of negotiations in 1997. It therefore agreed to draw up a timetable for such negotiations leading to their conclusion in December 1997. Such a timetable will be presented to the next meeting of the Committee to be held in early April 1997.

Movement of Natural Persons

41. During 1996, the Council reviewed the status of acceptance of the Third Protocol to the GATS.² The Protocol had been open for acceptance until 30 June 1996. At that point, there remained five Members which had not accepted the Protocol due to procedural delays. At its meeting on 30 July 1996 the Council reviewed the situation and adopted the "Decision on the Acceptance of the Second and Third Protocol to the General Agreement on Trade in Services" (S/L/28) which provides an additional period for acceptance, until 30 November 1996.

²Members who attached a schedule to the Third Protocol were: Australia, Canada, European Communities and their Member States (15), India, Norway and Switzerland. Members who, as of 5 November 1996, have not accepted the Third Protocol: Belgium, Greece, Portugal, Spain and Switzerland.

Part II: Future work

Negotiations on Basic Telecommunications

42. It is recommended that Ministers stress their commitment to bring the negotiations on basic telecommunications to a successful conclusion by 15 February 1997, urge all WTO Members to strive for significant, balanced and non-discriminatory liberalization commitments on basic telecommunications by that date and recognize the importance of resolving the principal issues before the GBT.

Committee on Trade in Financial services

43. It is recommended that Ministers stress their commitment to bring the negotiations on trade in financial services, to be resumed in early April 1997, to a successful conclusion within the prescribed time frame.

Working Party on GATS Rules

44. It is recommended that Ministers note that more analytical work will be required on emergency safeguard measures, government procurement in services, and subsidies. They agree that the Working Party on GATS Rules should aim, in accordance with the provisions of Article X, to complete negotiations on the question of emergency safeguard measures by 31 December 1997. If negotiations have not been concluded by that date, the Council for Trade in Services may extend the provisions of Article X of the GATS to an appropriate time. Ministers agree to review the report of the Council on progress in the GATS Rules negotiations at their meeting in 1998, bearing in mind the need to carry forward the negotiations expeditiously.

Working Party on Professional Services

45. The Working Party on Professional Services should:

- Aim to complete its work on the accountancy sector by the end of 1997.
- Pursue work on the Article VI.4 work programme as it relates to professional services, examining, as appropriate, both horizontal aspects of this work and specific issues related to particular services with due consideration for the diversity of professional services.
- Pursue work on voluntary guidelines for mutual recognition agreements or arrangements.
- Cooperate with UNCTAD in its work on professional services, particularly on the accountancy sector.
- Encourage the efforts made by other relevant international organizations as defined by the GATS, including the International Accounting Standards Committee and the International Organization of Securities Commissions, to formulate international standards in the accountancy sector which are aimed at achieving greater comparability in financial statements and facilitating the effective liberalization of accountancy services.

Committee on Specific Commitments

46. The Committee on Specific Commitments should pursue its mandate, including work relating to the scheduling of commitments, and should make recommendations for improving the technical accuracy and coherence of schedules of specific commitments and lists of exemptions from Article II of the GATS before the commencement of the next round of negotiations on services liberalisation pursuant to Article XIX of the GATS.

Further work by the Council

47. It is recommended that Ministers reaffirm the importance of progressive liberalization of trade in services as mandated in Article XIX and other relevant provisions of the GATS. The requisite work to facilitate such negotiations, taking into account the need for appropriate flexibility, shall include, *inter alia*,:

- The Council for Trade in Services will develop an information exchange programme. The aim of this programme is to facilitate the access of all Members, in particular developing country Members, to information regarding laws, regulations, administrative guidelines and policies affecting trade in services in order to contribute to the assessment of trade in services which would assist future negotiations in the services sector. The structure should be simple and able to provide a common standard and concise multilateral basis to understand the state and evolution of the regulations governing the services sector avoiding any unnecessary burden to the Members in general and developing country Members in particular. During 1997, the Council should agree to the modalities and the timing for this programme.
- The Council should begin the consideration of guidelines and procedures for negotiations mandated under Article XIX at an appropriate time.
- The Council should examine, as appropriate, under Article VI:4 of the GATS, measures relating to qualification requirements and procedures, technical standards and licensing requirements with a view to taking the work as far as possible before the commencement of the next round of liberalization negotiations referred to above.

Negotiations on Maritime Transport Services

48. It is recommended that Ministers take note of the current status of the suspended negotiations on maritime transport services and confirm their commitment to proceed according to the agreed conclusions.

SECTION VI

COUNCIL FOR TRADE-RELATED ASPECTS OF
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (TRIPS)

**Council for Trade-Related Aspects
of Intellectual Property Rights**

REPORT (1996) OF THE COUNCIL FOR TRIPS

I General

1. Since the period covered by its last report¹, the Council for TRIPS has held six formal meetings, on 11 December 1995 and on 22 February, 9 May, 22-25 July, 18 September and 5 November 1996. The minutes of these meetings are to be found in documents IP/C/M/5-10.² This report covers this period, but also contains references to the work done by the Council for TRIPS in 1995.

2. The first two of the meetings referred to above were chaired by Mr. Stuart Harbinson (Hong Kong). The remainder were chaired by Ambassador Wade Armstrong (New Zealand).

3. Meetings of the Council were open to all WTO Members. In addition, government observers to WTO bodies were invited. WIPO was invited to all meetings, in accordance with the recommendation of the Preparatory Committee as confirmed by the General Council. Pursuant to the interim procedure on observer status for intergovernmental organizations evolved under the auspices of the General Council, the FAO, the IMF, the OECD, UNCTAD, the United Nations, UPOV (International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants), the World Bank and the WCO were invited to meetings of the Council.

II Implementation

(i) Notifications and Notification Procedures

(a) Article 63.2

4. At its meeting in November 1995, the Council adopted the following decisions to give effect to the obligation to notify implementing legislation under Article 63.2: Procedures for Notification of, and Possible Establishment of a Common Register of, National Laws and Regulations under Article 63.2 (document IP/C/2); Format for Listing of "Other Laws and Regulations" to be Notified under Article 63.2 (document IP/C/4); and Checklist of Issues on Enforcement (document IP/C/5).

5. These procedures require that, as of the time that a Member is obliged to start applying a provision of the TRIPS Agreement, the corresponding laws and regulations shall be notified without delay. A very substantial volume of legislation has been notified under these procedures. As of the date of this report, 30 Members have notified some or all of their implementing legislation. Most

¹Document WT/GC/W/25, Section VI

²Document IP/C/M/10 to be issued

of the material to be notified by Members whose legislation, in the area of copyright and related rights, was the subject of review at the Council's July meeting (see paragraph 14 below) has been notified; three other countries have notified some of their legislation while indicating that this is without prejudice to their transition period under the provisions of Article 65; and 11 Members have notified legislation relating to the implementation of Article 70.8 and, in some cases, Article 70.9 of the TRIPS Agreement. These notifications are circulated in the IP/N/1/COUNTRY/- series of documents.

6. At its November 1995 meeting, the Council also agreed that Members would provide responses to a checklist of issues on enforcement (IP/C/5). In recognition of the fact that preparation of the responses would take time, the procedures require them to be submitted "as soon as possible" after the time that a Member is obliged to start applying the provisions of the TRIPS Agreement on enforcement. Eight Members have notified responses. These responses have been circulated in the IP/N/6/COUNTRY/- series of documents. At the July 1996 meeting of the Council, the Chairman urged the Members concerned to provide their responses soon and in any case before the end of 1996.

7. The national treatment and MFN obligations of Articles 3, 4 and 5 of the TRIPS Agreement became applicable to all Members from 1 January 1996. So far, no notifications have been received under Article 63.2 relating specifically to the implementation of these provisions, except in so far as such notifications have formed part of the comprehensive notifications by developed country Members of their general implementing legislation. The Council has considered whether there may be technical difficulties with meeting this notification requirement. At the Council's July meeting, a proposal was made for a simplified procedure in this connection and the Council agreed that the matter be taken up in informal consultations. Following these informal consultations, the Council agreed at its September meeting that the Members concerned had a range of options as to how to meet these notification requirements in a way best suited to their national circumstances. Three options were identified in particular:

- notifying the specific provisions of laws and regulations that implement the obligations set out in Articles 3, 4 and 5;
- notifying all intellectual property laws and regulations; or
- making a general statement that nationals of other WTO Members enjoy non-discriminatory treatment, together with a list of any exceptions to that principle.

The Council invited the Secretariat to prepare a paper which would recognize these three options and contain a draft format for the last option. This paper will be considered by the Council at its meeting scheduled for 11-15 November 1996.

(b) Articles 1.3 and 3.1

8. Articles 1.3 and 3.1 of the TRIPS Agreement, relating to the definition of beneficiary persons under the Agreement and to national treatment, allow certain exceptions to the normal rules on these matters, provided that notifications are made to the Council for TRIPS. 24 Members have submitted notifications under these provisions. These notifications are contained in the IP/N/2/COUNTRY/- series of documents.

(c) Article 4(d)

9. Article 4(d) of the TRIPS Agreement requires a Member seeking to justify an exception to the MFN rule on the basis of an international agreement relating to the protection of intellectual property which had entered into force prior to the entry into force of the WTO Agreement to notify that agreement

to the Council for TRIPS. At the meeting of the Council in November 1995, the Chairman drew the attention of Members to the need to make notifications under Article 4(d) by 1 January 1996 if Members wished to have legal cover from that date for any exceptions to MFN treatment that they seek to justify by reference to the provisions of Article 4(d). To date, 28 Members have made notifications under this provision. These notifications are contained in the IP/N/4/COUNTRY/- series of documents.

10. In discussions at the Council's meetings of February, May and July 1996, some Members expressed concern about some of the notifications made, in particular that the absence of sufficient guidelines for such notifications meant that the notifications did not always enable the other Members to understand the specific element of discrimination that was being sought to be justified. As agreed at the Council's February meeting, the Chairman held informal consultations on this matter. To facilitate these consultations, he circulated an informal background note by the Secretariat. It was generally felt in the Council that it would be valuable to continue work on the development of criteria that could assist individual Members in making or reviewing their notifications, but that such criteria could not add to or diminish the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of Article 4(d). Further consultations on this matter will be held.

(d) Article 69

11. Article 69 of the TRIPS Agreement requires Members to establish and notify contact points for the purposes of cooperating with each other with a view to eliminating international trade in goods infringing intellectual property rights. Procedures for such notifications were agreed by the Council in September 1995. To date, 67 Members have notified contact points. The most recent compilation of these is contained in document IP/N/3/Rev.2.

(e) Notifications Under Other Provisions of the Agreement

12. A number of notification provisions of the Berne and the Rome Conventions are incorporated by reference into the TRIPS Agreement but without being explicitly referred to in it. At its meeting in February 1996, the Council invited each Member wishing to make such notifications to make them to the Council for TRIPS, even if the Member in question had already made a notification under the Berne or the Rome Convention in regard to the same issue, and drew the attention of Members to the discussion relating to the timing of such notifications in paragraphs 16 through 21 of document IP/C/W/15, a Secretariat background note on the subject. To date, one Member has made a notification under this procedure. Notifications of this kind are being circulated in the IP/N/5/COUNTRY/- series of documents.

(ii) Monitoring the Operation of the Agreement

(a) Review of National Laws and Regulations

13. At its meeting in November 1995, the Council adopted a "Schedule for the Consideration of National Implementing Legislation in 1996/1997" (IP/C/3). This provided for legislation in the area of copyright and related rights to be reviewed by the Council in July 1996. Following informal consultations, the Council agreed at its May 1996 meeting on procedures for the Council's review of legislation in this area. These procedures provided for written questions and replies prior to the review meeting, with follow-up questions and replies during the course of the meeting.

14. At the Council's meeting of 22-25 July 1996, the legislation in the area of copyright and related rights of 29 Members was reviewed. A number of these Members indicated that they still had steps to take to comply fully with their TRIPS obligations in this area. The record of the introductory statements made by delegations, the questions put to them and the responses given is being circulated

in the IP/Q/COUNTRY/- series of documents. At subsequent meetings of the Council, an opportunity will be given to follow-up points emerging from the review session which delegations consider have not been adequately addressed. In this connection, it was recognized that the review of national implementing legislation implied quite a heavy workload and that it was important to allow an adequate opportunity, consistent with the provisions of Article 63 of the Agreement, for a follow-up to all Members, in particular to developing country Members that had constraints on their resources affecting their ability to analyse and digest some of the material.

15. The procedures adopted by the Council for the review provided that the review would apply to the copyright and related rights legislation of Members obliged to comply with the TRIPS Agreement under Article 65.1 and of any other Members not still availing themselves in respect of this area of legislation of any longer transition period to which they may be entitled. During the course of the review, questions were put to a number of Members which did not consider that they fell into either of these categories and which did not provide answers in the Council's meeting.

16. In accordance with the "Schedule for Consideration of National Implementing Legislation in 1996/1997" (IP/C/3), the Council will review legislation in the areas of trademarks, geographical indications and industrial designs at its meeting scheduled for 11-15 November 1996. Legislation in the areas of patents, layout-designs of integrated circuits, undisclosed information and the control of anti-competitive practices in contractual licences is scheduled for review in the first half of 1997, and that in the area of enforcement in the second half of 1997.

(b) Implementation of Article 70.8 and 70.9

17. At its meetings in February, May, July and September 1996, the Council considered the implementation of Article 70.8 and the related provisions of Article 70.9. At these meetings the Council took note of statements by some Members of their concern that not all Members to which these provisions applied were giving effect to them or, in the event that they had done so, had not notified the relevant legislation under Article 63.2. At the Council's meetings of May and July 1996, some Members informed the Council that they were engaged in dispute settlement proceedings on this matter with two other Members (IP/D/2 and IP/D/5).

(c) Implementation of Article 70.2

18. At the Council's February meeting, statements were made concerning compliance with Article 70.2 in regard to the patent term and in respect of rights in sound recordings. Dispute settlement proceedings initiated in connection with these matters have been notified to the TRIPS Council in documents IP/D/1, 3 and 4. On 3 October 1996, the Council was informed of a mutually agreed solution reached between the parties on the first of these issues (document IP/D/3/Add.1). In this notification, which was made to the Council for TRIPS for its information and without prejudice to the rights and obligations of other Members, the parties involved expressed their understanding that Article 70.2 in conjunction with Article 33 requires developed country parties to provide a patent term of not less than 20 years from the filing date for patents that were in force on 1 January 1996, or that result from applications pending on that date. The notification also indicates that the affected party has taken the necessary steps to confirm that all affected patents will enjoy a term that is the longer of 15 years from the date of grant or 20 years from the date of filing.

(iii) Revocation of Patents

19. At the Council's July and September meetings, a number of Members stated their views on the grounds that could justify the revocation of a patent. The Council took note of the statements.

(iv) Technical Cooperation

20. In accordance with a decision taken by the Council in November 1995, the Chairman made available for the February 1996 meeting of the Council an informal discussion note outlining and structuring the issues which had been raised in the Council's various discussions on the subject of technical cooperation and identifying possible options for carrying forward the Council's work in this area (subsequently distributed as IP/C/W/21). As a result of the ensuing discussion, the Council agreed on the following:

- that the Council would seek the annual updating by developed country Members of information on their technical cooperation activities pursuant to Article 67 of the Agreement, and that in 1996 the updating would be sought in time for the Council's meeting scheduled for September 1996;
- that the Council's September 1996 meeting would have a special, but not exclusive, focus on the issue of technical cooperation;
- that the Secretariat would prepare an analytical summary of the information on technical cooperation activities already presented and, on this basis, consideration would be given to whether Members would be invited to use a common list of basic headings in presenting an overview of their technical cooperation activities;
- that the Secretariat would be invited to present a suggestion for a specific pilot project for a workshop, to be held in the margins of the Council meeting, that would permit a more in-depth, thematic discussion of a particular aspect of technical cooperation.

21. At its May meeting, the Council considered a proposal for a pilot project for an in-depth discussion of a specific aspect of technical cooperation. The Council agreed that the Secretariat should go ahead, hopefully in cooperation with the International Bureau of the WIPO, to organize a workshop on border enforcement, to be held immediately before or after the Council's meeting of 18 September 1996. The workshop, organized jointly by the WTO Secretariat and the International Bureau of WIPO, was held on the afternoon of 17 September 1996.

22. At the Council's July meeting, it was agreed that developed country Members, in submitting updated information on their technical cooperation activities prior to the Council's September meeting, would notify a contact point or contact points which could be addressed by a developing country Member seeking technical cooperation. The contact point could be the same as the one that the developed country Member in question had notified under Article 69 of the Agreement, or it could be different, depending on the structure of the Members' administrations.

23. The Council's September meeting had a special focus on the issue of technical cooperation. For that meeting, nine developed country Members supplied updated information on their technical cooperation activities and information was also supplied by the WTO Secretariat and six intergovernmental organizations. The contact points notified by developed country Members are being compiled in a single document (IP/N/7). In addition to reviewing this information, the Council assessed the experience with the workshop on border enforcement, organized jointly by the WTO Secretariat and the International Bureau of WIPO on 17 September. A number of delegations said that the issue of technical cooperation should be brought to the attention of Ministers at Singapore. The Council has agreed to continue its discussion on technical cooperation at its meeting scheduled for 11-15 November 1996, when it is expected that further information on technical cooperation activities will be available from other developed country Members.

(v) Cooperation with WIPO

24. Article 68 of the TRIPS Agreement provides that the Council shall, in consultation with WIPO, seek to establish, within one year of its first meeting appropriate arrangements for cooperation with the bodies of that Organization. At its December 1995 meeting, the Council for TRIPS approved a draft agreement drawn up as a result of consultations between the Chairman of the Council for TRIPS, assisted by the WTO Secretariat, and the Chairman of the WIPO Coordination Committee, assisted by the International Bureau of WIPO. The draft agreement was approved by the General Council at its meeting of 13 and 15 December 1995. Following approval by the competent bodies of WIPO and the signature by the Director's-General of the two Organizations, the Agreement between the World Intellectual Property Organization and the World Trade Organization (IP/C/6) entered into force on 1 January 1996. The Agreement provides for cooperation in the following three areas: the notification of, access to and translation of national laws and regulations; the implementation of Article 6*ter* of the Paris Convention (relating to national emblems) for the purposes of the TRIPS Agreement; and legal-technical assistance and technical cooperation.

25. At its December 1995 meeting, the Council adopted a decision on the implementation of the obligations under the TRIPS Agreement stemming from the incorporation of the provisions of Article 6*ter* of the Paris Convention 1967 (IP/C/7). This decision has as its purpose giving legal effect under the TRIPS Agreement to the procedures relating to the administration of TRIPS obligations regarding Article 6*ter* of the Paris Convention that are incorporated in the Agreement between WIPO and the WTO.

III Built-in Agenda

(i) Article 24.1

26. Under Article 24.1, Members agree to enter into negotiations aimed at increasing the protection of individual geographical indications under Article 23. No time-frame is specified for such negotiations. At the July meeting of the Council, some Members addressed Article 24.1, but no specific suggestions have been made as yet in the Council with regard to such negotiations.

(ii) Article 24.2

27. Article 24.2 requires the Council for TRIPS to keep under review the application of the provisions of the Section of the Agreement on geographical indications, and states that the first such review shall take place within two years of the entry into force of the WTO Agreement. At the Council's May and July meetings, the Chairman raised the questions of when and how this review should be undertaken. As mentioned in paragraph 16 above, the Council will review legislation in the areas of trademarks, geographical indications and industrial designs at its meeting scheduled for 11-15 November 1996. The Council at its September meeting received some proposals in connection with the review under Article 24.2. It agreed to take up work on this matter by including on the agenda of the November meeting an item "Review of the Application of the Provisions of the Section on Geographical Indications under Article 24.2" which will be addressed after and taking into account the review of legislation in the areas referred to above, it being understood that this would permit the consideration of the proposals put forward in September together with any other inputs from delegations.

(iii) Article 23.4

28. Article 23.4 calls on the Council for TRIPS to undertake negotiations concerning the establishment of a multilateral system of notification and registration of geographical indications for

wines eligible for protection by those Members participating in the system, but does not specify a time-frame for such negotiations. At the July and September meetings of the Council, some delegations addressed the question of how and when these negotiations might be initiated.

(iv) Article 27.3(b)

29. Article 27.3(b) states that the provisions of this subparagraph shall be reviewed four years after the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement. At the Council's meeting in July, some delegations addressed the question of when this work should be initiated.

(v) Article 64.3

30. Article 64.3 requires the Council for TRIPS to examine, during the five years from the date of entry into force of the WTO Agreement, the scope and modalities for the complaints provided for under subparagraphs 1(b) and 1(c) of Article XXIII of GATT 1994 made pursuant to the TRIPS Agreement, and to submit its recommendations to the Ministerial Conference for approval. No suggestions regarding this aspect of the Council's work were made during the course of 1996.

(vi) Article 71.1

31. Article 71.1 requires the Council for TRIPS to review the implementation of the TRIPS Agreement after the expiration of the transitional period referred to in paragraph 2 of Article 65, namely after 1 January 2000.

IV. Issues, Problems and Recommendations to be Brought to the Attention of Ministers

32. Members reaffirm the importance of full implementation of the TRIPS Agreement within the applicable transition periods and that each Member will take the steps which it considers appropriate so that the provisions of the Agreement will be applied.

33. Members also reaffirm the importance of the necessary provision of technical and financial cooperation by developed country Members in favour of developing country and least-developed country Members, in accordance with Article 67 of the TRIPS Agreement, in order to facilitate implementation of the Agreement.

34. Members further reaffirm their commitment to the TRIPS built-in agenda agreed during the Uruguay Round, including any time-frames specified in the relevant provisions, and to carrying out as and when appropriate analytical work and information exchange so as to allow Members a better prior understanding of the issues involved without prejudice to the timing or scope of the reviews or negotiations envisaged in that built-in agenda. In regard to geographical indications, the Council has agreed that a review of the application of the provisions of the section on geographical indications as provided for in Article 24.2 would take the form outlined in paragraph 27 above, which permits inputs from delegations on the issue of scope, and the Council will initiate in 1997 preliminary work on issues relevant to the negotiations specified in Article 23.4 of the TRIPS Agreement concerning the establishment of a multilateral system of notification and registration of geographical indications for wines. Issues relevant to a notification and registration system for spirits will be part of this preliminary work. All of the above work would be conducted without prejudice to the rights and obligations of Members under the TRIPS Agreement and in particular under the specific provisions of the TRIPS built-in agenda.

SECTION VII

COMMITTEE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT

Committee on Trade and Development

REPORT (1996) OF THE
COMMITTEE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT
TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL

Introduction

1. The Committee on Trade and Development (CTD) held eight meetings in 1996; on 16 February (Fifth Session), 24 May (Sixth Session, continued on 7 June), 18 June (Seventh Session), 12 July (Eighth Session), 12 and 23 September (respectively Ninth and Tenth Sessions), 4 and 15 October (respectively Eleventh and Twelfth Sessions). The Twelfth Session briefly resumed on 31 October. Another meeting will take place in November. There has also been a series of informal consultations at the bilateral, plurilateral and Committee levels.
2. The Sub-Committee on Least-Developed Countries held three meetings in 1996; on 27 February (Second Session), 13 and 23 September (respectively Third and Fourth Sessions). The Second Session was chaired by Miss Anne Stoddart, and the subsequent sessions by Mr. Nacer Benjelloun-Touimi, on an interim basis in his capacity as the Chairman of the CTD.
3. The high level of activity of the CTD in 1996 reflected the importance attached by Members to proposing practical recommendations for the Singapore Ministerial Conference (SMC). As a result, it became clear in the early part of this year that the CTD work programme for 1996 would be adjusted in the light of Members' priorities in relation to the SMC. Accordingly, Members of the Committee identified four priority tasks for the Committee's work: (a) review of the implementation of provisions in the WTO Agreements and legal instruments in favour of developing country Members; (b) the preparation of guidelines for the technical cooperation activities of the WTO; (c) working towards increasing the participation of developing countries in the multilateral trading system, including the evaluation of impact of the Uruguay Round on these countries; and, (d) within these three tasks, recommend - on a priority basis - positive measures aimed at ensuring that least-developed country Members achieve their development objectives.
4. This report is divided into three sections. Section I summarizes the work done in each of these four priority areas, including the work of the Sub-Committee on Least-Developed Countries, as well as on other issues falling within the mandate of the CTD; Section II identifies priority areas for the future work of the CTD and the Sub-Committee; and, Section III includes elements that could be integrated into the Singapore Ministerial Declaration.

Section I - Work of the CTD during 1996

(a) Review of the implementation of provisions in favour of developing country Members

5. The Committee agreed to review the implementation of provisions in favour of developing country Members on the basis of contributions from Members and from the WTO Bodies responsible for monitoring the implementation of the Uruguay Round commitments. To assist in this exercise, the Chairman invited the Chairpersons of other WTO Bodies to submit information on how provisions in favour of developing countries had been dealt with in their respective Committees. On the basis of these responses, the Secretariat prepared background documentation presenting the replies received in an analytical format.

6. The work of the Committee has thus focused on a horizontal overview of the implementation of provisions in favour of developing countries. The Committee noted that, due to the fact that the WTO was newly established, the implementation process was still at an early stage and further work was required for the Committee to be in a position to fully evaluate overall progress. The Committee also acknowledged the broad scope and complexity of the concepts, principles and rules contained in the WTO instruments and that, in some instances, the means were yet to be elaborated to provide an operational content to the special provisions in favour of least-developed and other developing country Members.

7. The Committee recognized that although the implementation of the provisions in the WTO instruments in favour of least-developed and other developing country Members had been in general moving ahead in the first two years of existence of the WTO, available information pointed to a relatively low use of those provisions. Many of the provisions required action on the part of developing country Members to set in motion their application. In this respect, the Committee emphasized the importance to improve the flow of information to developing, and in particular least-developed country Members, of provisions in their favour which were dependent upon specific requests on their part.

8. Concerns were also expressed as to whether developing country Members had been able to draw full benefit from provisions in their favour by incorporating them into their trade policy and development programmes. The point was made that, even when provisions were adequately implemented, their impact on developing country Members remained to be seen. Some Members referred to the importance of the Trade Policy Review Mechanism for developing countries in this context, and to the positive effects of their participation in that exercise.

9. The Committee offered recommendations for future work on these areas (see Section II). It also emphasized the importance of maintaining the horizontal nature of its role in this area and its close relationship with work developed in other WTO Bodies.

(b) Guidelines for WTO Technical Cooperation

10. In accordance with the terms of reference of the CTD and in the light of the Marrakesh Declaration calling for increased and strengthened technical cooperation, the CTD attached considerable priority to drafting the Guidelines for WTO Technical Cooperation. In doing so, Members took into account the trading environment within which the WTO is to operate (i.e. when compared to that of the GATT), and stressed the need to adapt the technical cooperation activities of the WTO to improve its effectiveness in helping recipient country Members to integrate into the multilateral trading system.

11. The preparation of these Guidelines was carried out on the basis of the following premises: (i) technical cooperation should aim at capacity-building, in particular of human resources and institutional and administrative structures; (ii) the WTO should concentrate its assistance on its areas of competence; (iii) an effective response to the increasing needs of developing countries for technical cooperation in trade-related matters required the coordination of technical cooperation activities provided by the various international organizations; (iv) there was a need to remove any unnecessary overlap with the technical cooperation programmes of relevant international organizations and to ensure that recipient countries fully benefit from the complementary nature of their respective competencies; and, (v) Members should become more involved in the planning and monitoring of WTO's technical cooperation activities.

12. In order to assist the Committee in assessing the priority areas for WTO technical cooperation, the Committee benefited from three major inputs; namely, a Chairman's questionnaire sent to WTO Members, which served to identify the overall technical cooperation needs of Members; the contribution of the Heads of Institutions and other Bodies who addressed the Committee on various occasions, thereby helping to clarify how the technical cooperation activities could be coordinated among the relevant institutions; and, a comprehensive non-paper by Switzerland.

13. Based on these as well as on individual written and oral contributions from various delegations, the Committee adopted on 15 October a set of Guidelines for the WTO's Technical Cooperation (see Attachment 1). The Guidelines elaborate the scope of the WTO's technical cooperation; serve to ensure that the assistance provided will be adapted to the evolving needs of recipient countries and focus on capacity-building; and provide for an evaluation of the WTO's technical cooperation.

(c) Participation of developing country Members in the multilateral trading system, including the review of the impact of the Uruguay Round on developing country Members

14. During the first half of 1996, the Committee elaborated an outline for a study to be prepared by the Secretariat, which would address the reasons why some developing countries had performed better than others in integrating into the multilateral trading system. The objective of the study was to provide background material for a comprehensive discussion in the Committee on these issues and, if possible, to draw conclusions on how to facilitate the integration of developing country Members into the multilateral trading system. The study, distributed in early August (WT/COMTD/W/15), addressed both domestic (macroeconomic policies, infrastructure problems, etc.) and external factors (barriers to trade in importing markets, restrictive business practices, etc.).

15. Given the complexity of the topics addressed, sometimes divergent comments were made and different conclusions reached by Members. In particular, various views were expressed on the importance of appropriate domestic policies and of market access possibilities for the economic development of developing countries. For some Members, the main conclusion was that the different rates of growth of developing countries was primarily related to their domestic policies - that is, while market access was important to determine the export performance of countries, little could be achieved if the appropriate domestic policies were not in place (e.g. a stable economic environment and a liberal trade regime). Other Members, however, while recognizing the importance of domestic policies, felt that these did not constitute the main reason for the divergent performance of developing countries, and that trade barriers had played a more significant role than domestic policies. A number of specific comments were made with respect to the content of the study; in particular, the manner in which foreign direct investment was dealt with and to the fact that insufficient attention was paid to the importance of domestic savings for the process of economic development and the problems faced by the net-food importing countries.

16. A number of Members drew attention to the positive relationship between investment and development. In this respect, the Committee noted that both its terms of reference as well as those of the Sub-Committee on Least-Developed Countries provide the possibility for these bodies to consider measures and initiatives to assist developing and least-developed country Members in the expansion of their trade and investment opportunities from a development perspective, and that this is of relevance for the CTD's work.

17. In discussing this item, some Members reiterated the fact that a number of least-developed countries, while having liberal investment and trade regimes, were not able to take advantage of initiatives in the area of trade and investment due to supply-side constraints and difficulties in adopting adequate domestic policies. It was felt that this problem could be tackled, *inter alia*, through the provision of technical cooperation in conjunction with other international organizations aimed at building the capacity of human and other resources in these countries. The commitment to implement measures to integrate least-developed countries into the multilateral trading system (see (d) below) was also referred to on numerous occasions.

(d) Least-developed countries

18. As mentioned above, under each of the priority areas, particular attention was devoted to the difficulties of the least-developed countries. Work was initiated through a review of the problems, concerns and special measures in favour of the least-developed countries made by the Sub-Committee on Least-Developed Countries. Various background documents were provided for the review of the situation of the least-developed countries. These included summaries of the special provisions contained in Uruguay Round Agreements and legal instruments in favour of least-developed countries (COM.TD/LLDC/W/54), and in particular, those provisions which required specific action by Members (WT/COMTD/W/10). A document was also prepared and discussed outlining recent international initiatives launched in favour of least-developed countries to facilitate the expansion of their trade and investment opportunities (WT/COMTD/LLDC/W/1). The study referred to in (c) above, while not exclusively devoted to least-developed countries, provided insights into factors which might have had a bearing on their economic, and in particular trade, performance. Further, first-hand information on the work in favour of least-developed countries carried or planned for the future by other international organizations was made available to Members through presentations to the CTD and the Sub-Committee (see (e) below) by various invited speakers.

19. The review pointed to the difficult economic situation of least-developed countries and to the risks of their marginalization in the multilateral trading system. While the international community was well aware of these problems, including the need to develop domestic initiatives addressing structural problems, and had undertaken numerous initiatives in the area of trade and investment (both bilaterally and through multilateral institutions), these in general have not been sufficient to arrest the deterioration of the economic conditions of a number of least-developed countries. Consequently, the Sub-Committee identified two main contributions that the WTO could make to better integrate least-developed countries into the multilateral trading system: first, to ensure that technical cooperation provided to least-developed country Members would aim at institutional and human capacity-building; and second, preparing a WTO Plan of Action for Least-Developed Countries that would be comprehensive in nature and fully integrated with the initiatives planned or underway elsewhere.

20. As a result a Draft WTO Plan of Action for Least-Developed Countries was distributed to CTD Members at its Eleventh Session. The Plan of Action reaffirms commitments that WTO Members had already undertaken with respect to least-developed countries and proposes a coordinated strategy to assist least-developed countries and identifies many areas where practical measures could be adopted. At the Committee's Twelfth Session, the CTD considered the draft Plan of Action and forwarded it

for consideration to the General Council and submission to Ministers for adoption in Singapore (circulated in document WT/COMTD/W/20).

21. In addition, Members felt that, while the WTO Plan of Action pointed in the right direction, more was needed to increase coordination in international actions in favour of least-developed countries and efficiency with respect to the assistance offered to them. Thus, the Committee also agreed that the General Council recommend that Ministers in Singapore call for a high-level meeting in Geneva as soon as possible in 1997, in principle to be organized jointly by WTO, UNCTAD and ITC, with the participation of national aid agencies, international financial institutions and other relevant organizations, to foster an integrated approach to the trade-related aspects of least-developed countries' economic development, which will need to be observed in the implementation of the WTO Plan of Action. Special emphasis should be given to increasing efficiency in the provision of technical assistance for human and institutional capacity building.

22. Given that only a limited number of least-developed countries are represented in Geneva, the Government of Norway accepted to finance, through its Trust Fund, the participation of representatives from least-developed countries from the European capitals at the Fourth Session of the Sub-Committee on 23 September 1996 and related briefings by the WTO Secretariat on 24 September 1996. These activities were well attended by both Geneva and non-Geneva based least-developed country delegations.

(e) Trade and development activities of intergovernmental organizations

23. The Committee has actively engaged in pursuing the objective of closer institutional cooperation by inviting to its Seventh Session the Secretary-General of United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the Executive-Director of the International Trade Centre and the Chairman of both WTO's General Council and UNCTAD's Trade and Development Board. This dialogue continued at the Committee's Tenth Session, where the Chairman of the Joint International Monetary Fund (IMF)/World Bank Development Committee addressed the CTD. This intensified dialogue has provided Members of the Committee with a number of positive contributions, in particular, with respect to better appreciating the work of each of these organizations.

24. On these occasions, the Director-General of the WTO has also addressed the Committee on the results of the Uruguay Round; the Singapore Ministerial Conference; his invitation to participate in the G7 Summit; and, technical cooperation.

25. The Chairman of the Joint IMF/World Bank Development Committee and the Director-General of the WTO also addressed the Sub-Committee on Least-Developed Countries at its Fourth Session. On that occasion, Members of the Sub-Committee were informed by the Chairman of the Development Committee of the joint IMF/World Bank initiative for debt relief of highly-indebted poor countries. In his address, the Director-General of the WTO referred to some actions that WTO Members should take to enhance the development of the least-developed countries, and that these should come together in a Plan of Action for Least-Developed Countries.

(f) Other elements addressed by the Committee

26. At its Fifth Session, the Committee reviewed and took note of the activities of the WTO during 1995 in the area of technical cooperation. Members also commended Norway for its special contribution to technical cooperation activities through the establishment of a Trust Fund, and the European Communities' financing of a technical cooperation programme in favour of African, Caribbean and Pacific countries on the results and opportunities created by the Uruguay Round.

27. At its Twelfth Session, the Committee was informed of an initiative by the Secretariat to hold a Meeting of Ministers from Least-Developed Countries on 13-15 November 1996 to brief them on the Singapore Ministerial Conference. The Meeting is to be financed by contributions from the Governments of the Czech Republic, Korea and Norway.

Section II - Future Work Programme

28. In its future work, the CTD will continue to address all items included in its terms of reference. It will, in particular, continue to fulfil its mandate of keeping under review the implementation of the Uruguay Round provisions in favour of developing and least-developed country Members. In this context, the Committee will explore ways of ensuring greater disclosure of the application of the Uruguay Round provisions in favour of developing and least-developed countries¹; and of increasing efforts to disseminate information relating to those provisions. In 1997, the Committee will also need to decide how to implement the recently adopted Guidelines for WTO's Technical Cooperation with respect to, for example, the monitoring, managing and evaluating technical cooperation activities of the WTO.

29. Members stressed that, in addressing the different items of the work programme, the Committee should attempt to make full use of the many background documents prepared by the CTD during its first two years of existence (see Attachment 2).

Section III - Elements for Integration into Singapore Ministerial Process

30. Ministers take note with satisfaction of the adoption of Guidelines for WTO's Technical Cooperation and reiterate their commitment to continue ensuring the availability of financial and human resources for technical cooperation activities as one element to facilitate the participation of developing country Members in the multilateral trading system and, in particular, reverse the trend of the marginalization of a number of least-developed country Members.

31. Ministers recognize that the implementation of the special provisions in favour of least-developed and other developing country Members, though still at an early stage, has been moving in the right direction. Ministers recognize the importance of increasing the awareness of developing country Members of the special provisions relating to them, in particular those designed to enhance trade opportunities. They note that these provisions facilitate a smoother integration of developing country Members into the rules-based multilateral trading system while they proceed with the necessary domestic adjustments.

32. Ministers adopt the WTO Plan of Action for the Least-Developed Countries transmitted by the General Council.

33. Ministers call for a high-level meeting in Geneva as soon as possible in 1997, in principle to be organized jointly by WTO, UNCTAD and ITC, with the participation of relevant institutions, to foster an integrated approach to the trade-related aspects of least-developed countries' economic development, which will need to be observed in the implementation of the WTO Plan of Action, with special emphasis on increasing efficiency in the provision of technical assistance for human and institutional capacity building.

¹For example, by improving the flow of information, in particular (a) from Members offering the benefits to Members potentially using them and (b) from all Members to the Committee.

ATTACHMENT 1

GUIDELINES FOR WTO TECHNICAL COOPERATION

Adopted by the Committee on Trade and Development on 15 October 1996¹

Bearing in mind the Marrakesh Declaration of 15 April 1994 and the Decision on Measures in Favour of Least-Developed Countries, WTO Technical Cooperation is to be provided in conformity with the principles set out below:

I. OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES

- Assist in the full integration of beneficiaries into the multilateral trading system and contribute to the expansion of their trade;
- Strengthen and enhance institutional and human capacities in the public sector for an appropriate participation in the multilateral trading system; whenever possible and in consultation with the government concerned, capacity building activities could include representatives of the private sector;
- Be demand-driven and adapted to recipient needs, in particular with respect to the best suited modes of delivery;
- Be complementary to and supportive of recipients' efforts to identify their own requirements;
- Keep a geographical balance, while giving priority to least-developed countries, in particular African countries, and to low-income economies;
- Cover subject matters within the competence and expertise of the WTO, in particular:
 - To improve knowledge of multilateral trade rules and WTO working procedures and negotiations; and
 - To assist in the implementation of commitments in the multilateral trading system and full use of its provisions, including the effective use of the dispute settlement mechanism;
- Be fully and closely coordinated with other assistance provided by multilateral and bilateral institutions;
- Be administered by the Secretariat and reviewed by Members, in accordance with operational directives and implementation modalities to be established by the Committee on Trade and Development.

¹The Guidelines for WTO Technical Cooperation were circulated as WT/COMTD/8.

II. OPERATIONAL DIRECTIVES

1. Modes of Delivery

- The modes of delivery shall be chosen to fit both the requirements of the recipient country and technical cooperation programmes;
- Modes of delivery shall be assessed in the light of principles and directives to be agreed upon by the Committee on Trade and Development and on the progress in devising new means for an efficient dissemination of knowledge;
- Modes of delivery shall be elaborated with the aim of:
 - Extending assistance on as broad and cost-effective a basis as possible, e.g.:
 - Training courses of a regional or linguistic format;
 - Development of information and training material, in particular with the help of technology based aids;
 - Emphasizing in-depth and concrete training on WTO matters such as:
 - Specialized technical seminars and workshops of a regional or linguistic format;
 - Practical training programmes.

2. Long-Term Engagement

- Follow-up of individual programmes and assessment of their effectiveness;
- Development of training capabilities with particular emphasis on the training of local trainers, the use of local or regional technical expertise, and the establishment of links with academic and research institutions.

3. International Coordination

a) International and Regional Institutions Dealing with Trade-Related Matters

- Close institutional dialogue with other international organizations, in particular with ITC and UNCTAD, and with other regional institutions to ensure a coherent approach, to identify areas of competence and complementarity, to define and execute joint projects and avoid duplication;
- Dissemination of information on the WTO technical cooperation programmes, and establishment with other relevant organizations of a central inventory of programmes.

- b) Bilateral Development Assistance in Trade-Related Matters
 - Exchange of information with donor and recipient governments, including participation in bilateral programmes.

4. Management

- a) Transparency
 - Three year plan adjusted on an annual basis, including budgetary implications, to be approved by the Committee on Trade and Development and to be submitted to the appropriate bodies of WTO, according to agreed procedures and decisions of the General Council;
 - Annual Secretariat reporting on programme implementation, and financial report;
 - *Ad hoc* Secretariat status reports.
- b) Funding
 - Regular Budget of the WTO, within the limits specifically assigned by Members;
 - WTO Trust Fund for technical cooperation: Voluntary contributions by Members and international financial institutions;
 - International or national cost-sharing, whenever appropriate.
- c) Monitoring and Evaluation
 - By the Committee on Trade and Development based on annual evaluation of the results of technical assistance activities in order to ensure optimum use of resources according to appropriate evaluation criteria;
 - The WTO Trust Fund shall be managed according to the recommendations contained in the decision taken by the General Council of 18 July 1996 (WT/GC/M/13) and to the Financial Regulations and Financial Rules in documents WT/L/156 and WT/L/157 of 5 August 1996.

ATTACHMENT 2BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS PREPARED FOR
MEETINGS OF THE CTD AND OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE DURING 1995-96

WT/COMTD/W/1	-	Preliminary Information on Notifications to be required from WTO Members (First Session - April 1995)
WT/COMTD/W/1/Add.1	-	Addendum
WT/COMTD/W/2/Rev.1	-	Draft Programme of Work for 1995 - Revision
WT/COMTD/W/4	-	Credit and Recognition for Autonomous Liberalization Measures
WT/COMTD/W/4/Corr.1	-	Corrigendum
WT/COMTD/W/5	-	UR commitments undertaken by Developing Country Members
WT/COMTD/W/6	-	Regulatory Obligations and Other Implications UR Agreements
WT/COMTD/W/6/Add.1	-	Addendum
WT/COMTD/W/7	-	Technical Cooperation of the WTO Relations with other International Organizations
WT/COMTD/W/10	-	WTO Measures relating to Developing Country Members
WT/COMTD/W/11	-	Notes on the Participation of Developing Countries in the World Trading System
WT/COMTD/W/11/Add.1	-	GSP - Addendum
WT/COMTD/W/11/Add.1/Corr.1	-	GSP - Addendum - Corrigendum
WT/COMTD/W/12	-	Technical Cooperation with Developing Countries
WT/COMTD/W/14	-	Report on Technical Cooperation
WT/COMTD/W/14/Add.1	-	Addendum
WT/COMTD/W/15	-	Participation of Developing Countries in World Trade: Overview of Major Trends and Underlying Factors
WT/COMTD/W/16	-	Implementation of Uruguay Round Provisions in Favour of Developing Country Members
WT/COMTD/W/16/Add.1	-	Implementation of Uruguay Round Provisions in Favour of Developing Country Members
WT/COMTD/W/16/Add.2	-	Implementation of Uruguay Round Provisions in Favour of Developing Country Members
WT/COMTD/W/17	-	Textiles Monitoring Body
WT/COMTD/8	-	Guidelines for WTO Technical Cooperation
WT/COMTD/LLDC/W/1	-	Measures to Assist and Facilitate the Expansion of Trade and Investment Opportunities of Least-Developed Countries

SECTION VIII

COMMITTEE ON REGIONAL TRADE AGREEMENTS

Committee on Regional Trade Agreements

REPORT (1996) OF THE
COMMITTEE ON REGIONAL TRADE AGREEMENTS
TO THE GENERAL COUNCIL

Introduction

1. Nearly all Members of the World Trade Organization (WTO) are Parties to at least one regional trade agreement notified to the GATT or the WTO; some Members participate in other regional initiatives. The number of such agreements has increased in recent years.

2. In a meeting of the General Council held on 15 November 1995, it was proposed that a committee on regional trade agreements be established in the WTO as a means to improve the organization of the work of the WTO in this area, in particular, with respect to the replacement of more than 20 active working parties examining regional trade agreements, as well as to provide a forum in the WTO to examine the implications for the multilateral trading system of the growing number of regional trading agreements and initiatives. Should such a committee be created, the rights and obligations of Members would not be affected, and the terms of reference of the existing working parties would not be changed. The General Council, at its December 1995 meeting, agreed in principle to establish a Committee on Regional Trade Agreements, subject to agreement on its terms of reference and related matters.

3. The Committee on Regional Trade Agreements was established by the following Decision of the General Council on 6 February 1996 (WT/L/127):

"Having regard to agreements¹ which are required to be notified, as the case may be, under Article XXIV of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994, Article V of the General Agreement on Trade in Services or the 1979 Decision on Differential and More Favourable Treatment, Reciprocity and Fuller Participation of Developing Countries;

Having regard to the biennial reporting envisaged in Paragraph 11 of the Uruguay Round Understanding on the Interpretation of Article XXIV of the GATT 1994; and

Acting pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 7 of Article IV of the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO),

¹The term "agreements" in this Decision refers to all bilateral, regional, and plurilateral trade agreements of a preferential nature.

The General Council hereby *decides*:

1. To establish a Committee on Regional Trade Agreements, open to all Members of the WTO, with the following terms of reference:

- (a) to carry out the examination of agreements in accordance with the procedures and terms of reference adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods, the Council for Trade in Services or the Committee on Trade and Development, as the case may be, and thereafter present its report to the relevant body for appropriate action;²
- (b) to consider how the required reporting on the operation of such agreements should be carried out and make appropriate recommendations to the relevant body;
- (c) to develop, as appropriate, procedures to facilitate and improve the examination process;
- (d) to consider the systemic implications of such agreements and regional initiatives for the multilateral trading system and the relationship between them, and make appropriate recommendations to the General Council; and
- (e) to carry out any additional functions assigned to it by the General Council.

2. That the Committee shall report annually to the General Council on its activities."

Officers of the Committee were appointed by the General Council on 16 April 1996. These are: Mr. Weekes (Canada) as Chairman of the Committee, and Mr. Berthet (Uruguay), Mr. Harbinson (Hong Kong), Mr. Ravaloson (Madagascar) and Mr. Willems (Belgium) as Vice-Chairmen.

4. The Committee will have held seven meetings by the end of 1996; on 21-22 May (First Session), 2-3 July (Second Session), 29-31 July (Third Session), 17-20 September (Fourth Session); 7, 10-11 October (Fifth Session); 31 October (Sixth Session); and 5-6, 8 November (Seventh Session). There has also been a series of informal consultations.

Work of the Committee during 1996

5. The Committee adopted its Rules of Procedure (WT/REG/1) and programme of work for 1996 at its Second Session. The work programme provided for all the items of its terms of reference to be addressed in a balanced manner, allowing the Committee to progress more effectively in all areas of its mandate as a result of the synergies which developed.

²The Committee will also carry out the outstanding work of the working parties already established by the Council for Trade in Goods, the Council for Trade in Services or the Committee on Trade and Development, within the terms of reference defined for those working parties, and report to the appropriate bodies.

(a) Examination of regional trade agreements

6. Members drew attention to the need for the Committee to assign a priority to dealing with the backlog of outstanding examinations. By the end of 1996, the Committee will have started, or continued, the examination of 21 regional trade agreements out of the 32 outstanding as of June 1996, thus working towards removing the backlog (see Attachment 1).

7. The examinations of regional trade agreements during 1996 led to questions from some Members concerning the interpretation of some of the WTO provisions pertaining to regional trade agreements, in particular, of Article XXIV of the GATT 1994, the Understanding on the Interpretation of Article XXIV of the GATT 1994 and Article V of the General Agreement on Trade in Services. In some instances, the observation was made that examinations were hampered by the lack, or late submission, of relevant information.

(b) Reporting on the operation of agreements

8. Preliminary discussions on this item were based on a checklist of points prepared by the Secretariat (WT/REG/W/3). Members were of the view that the fulfilment of the legal obligations to provide for biennial reporting on the operation of agreements could help to increase the transparency of regional trade agreements. They considered that the procedures to give effect to this obligation should be designed to operate in an efficient manner, taking into account other relevant WTO procedures.

(c) Procedures to facilitate and improve the examination process

9. The Committee requested the Secretariat to prepare a standard format for the provision of information on regional trade agreements to facilitate and standardize the provision of initial information. At its Third Session, the Committee took note of the non-binding, voluntary Chairman's Guidelines as set out in the "Standard Format for Information on Regional Trade Agreements" (WT/REG/W/6). Members considered it an important contribution to improving the procedures for examination of regional trade agreements, as it is intended to provide timely and accurate information as a substitute for the initial question and reply process. The Committee is considering the appropriate time for the submission of the Standard Format. The Standard Format has been developed for goods agreements; following a request by the Committee, the Secretariat is preparing a similar draft standard format for information on services agreements for use as appropriate.

10. The Committee is considering a joint submission by the delegations of Australia, Hong Kong and Korea (WT/REG/W/5), which proposed the adoption by the Committee of guidelines for the examination of regional trade agreements. The Committee is also considering a suggestion by Japan for the adoption of guidelines for the examination of regional trade agreements aimed at addressing the kind of problems that, in Japan's view, result from different interpretations of Article XXIV.

11. The Committee discussed a number of matters relating to the notification of regional trade agreements. With respect to the timing of notifications, the Committee is examining the possibility of establishing a means to convey preliminary information relating to regional trade agreements prior to the notification itself. The Committee also discussed two approaches to dealing with non-compliance with notification obligations; either through the Committee urging Members which are parties to regional trade agreements to comply with their notification obligations or through providing for the possibility of counter-notification. The Committee also had a preliminary discussion on the possibility of establishing a simplified examination procedure for regional trade agreements having minimal effects on international trade. With respect to the reports on the examination of regional trade agreements, the Chairman proposed a new form of reporting involving separating the report in two parts, whereby the Committee would take note of its factual part - reflecting the discussions of the Committee - and

adopt the conclusions after having considered a draft version. This remains a matter for consideration. The Committee also discussed other matters dealt with in the Secretariat document "Note on Procedures to Facilitate and Improve the Examination Process" (WT/REG/W/9).

(d) Systemic implications of regional trade agreements and initiatives for the multilateral trading system and the relationship between them

12. The Committee had a preliminary, inconclusive discussion on this issue. For this discussion, it had before it the 1995 Secretariat publication, *Regionalism and the World Trade System*, which provides an overview of the relationship between regional trade agreements and the GATT/WTO system during the past 50 years. Korea made a submission (WT/REG/W/4) dealing with what it considered to be the challenges, systemic issues, and objectives for the Ministerial Conference with respect to regional trade agreements and the WTO system; it included a work programme on regionalism for the WTO in 1997-98. In the discussion there were divergent views. Members emphasized the importance of the relationship between regional trade agreements and the WTO system. Some Members stressed the need to address the relationship between regional trade agreements and the WTO rules. Others were equally clear that conclusions could not be drawn ahead of the necessary examination of the issues. The need for Members to comply with their notification obligations with respect to preferential agreements was also noted.

13. In the course of the examination of regional trade agreements carried out at the Third Session of the Committee, Members identified a number of systemic and horizontal issues related to the relevant WTO rules. Upon the request of the Committee, these have been included in an informal checklist by the Secretariat which will be updated to include other horizontal issues of the same nature referred to in other Sessions of the Committee.

14. Following the Chairman's proposal on how the available information could be presented to assist consideration of systemic implications, the Committee requested the Secretariat to prepare material for horizontal (pilot) studies on technical barriers to trade and sanitary and phytosanitary measures as they appear in the texts of the regional trade agreements under examination. In a preliminary discussion based on the Secretariat's material (WT/REG/W/8), various views were expressed regarding the implications for the WTO. Further discussions were needed on this subject. In that context, the Chairman invited Members to submit relevant information with respect to work being done on technical barriers to trade and sanitary and phytosanitary measures in the context of regional initiatives. Interest was expressed by some Members in expanding this exercise to cover other provisions.

15. In discussing the Committee's report to the Ministerial Conference, Members emphasized the importance of the compatibility of regional trade agreements with the multilateral trading system.

16. At the Fourth Session, Korea tabled a draft decision for the Ministerial Conference. The United States has also tabled a draft recommendation. Discussions will take place shortly on both proposals.

(e) Additional functions

17. No additional functions were assigned by the General Council to the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements during 1996.

Future Work Programme

18. There exists considerable scope for the Committee to develop its work within the existing terms of reference. The Committee's future work programme will build on work begun this year. Members consider it important for Ministers in Singapore to endorse the following aspects of its future work.

19. The Committee should continue the examination of regional trade agreements as one of its priority tasks for the years ahead. The Committee should make every effort to work to remove the backlog and ensure that such a backlog does not reoccur.

20. The Committee should work towards early agreement on procedures for the effective implementation of biennial reporting on the operation of agreements, in an efficient manner, taking into account other relevant WTO procedures.

21. On procedures to facilitate and improve the examination exercise, the Committee should continue the work already initiated. This should include, *inter alia*, the development of a voluntary Standard Format for Information on Services Agreements, the development of guidelines for the examination of regional trade agreements, and the consideration of the nature and content of reports.

22. The Committee should explore measures that could help in increasing the effectiveness of notifications - with respect to their timing as well as to their contents - and examine the options available for ensuring that all regional trade and economic integration agreements involving WTO Members are notified to the appropriate WTO bodies.

23. On systemic matters, the Committee should continue its consideration building on written submissions and interventions by Members; on the evolving checklist of systemic issues identified in the context of the examination of regional trade agreements; and on horizontal comparative studies on selected elements of regional agreements and initiatives. As part of this work, the Committee should analyse, in a non-prejudicial manner, whether the system of WTO rights and obligations, as it relates to regional trade agreements, needs to be further clarified with a view to making appropriate recommendations to the General Council, in accordance with the mandate of the Committee.

ATTACHMENT 1Status of Examination of Regional Trade Agreements**Regional trade agreements examined in 1996 (21)**

Agreement	Date of Examination	Round of Examination
EC Enlargement - Goods	29/7/96	First
NAFTA - Goods	30/7/96	Second
EFTA-Hungary	17/9/96	First
EFTA-Israel	17/9/96	First
EFTA-Poland	17/9/96	First
EC-Czech Rep.	18/9/96	First
EC-Hungary	18/9/96	First
EC-Poland	18/9/96	First
EC-Slovak Rep.	18/9/96	First
MERCOSUR	20/9/96	Second
NAFTA - Services	10-11/10/96	Second
EC Enlargement - Services	11/10/96	First
EC-Bulgaria	5/11/96	First
EC-Romania	5/11/96	First
EC-Estonia	5/11/96	First
EC-Latvia	5/11/96	First
EC-Lithuania	5/11/96	First
EFTA-Bulgaria	6/11/96	First
EFTA-Romania	6/11/96	First
EFTA-Slovenia	6/11/96	First
EC-Turkey	8/11/96	First

Other regional trade agreements already notified, to start examination in 1997 (14)

- (a) Regional trade agreements notified before June 1996 (8)¹
- Central European Free Trade Agreement (FTA)²
 - Czech Rep.-Slovenia FTA²
 - Slovak Rep.-Slovenia FTA²
 - Hungary-Slovenia FTA²
 - EC-Faroe Islands FTA
 - Iceland-Faroe Islands FTA
 - Switzerland-Faroe Islands FTA
 - Norway-Faroe Islands FTA
- (b) New notifications (6)
- Czech Republic-Romania FTA. The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods on 5 July 1996.
 - Slovak Republic-Romania FTA. The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods on 5 July 1996.
 - EFTA States-Estonia FTA.¹ The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods on 19 September 1996.
 - EFTA States-Latvia FTA.¹ The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods on 19 September 1996.
 - EFTA States-Lithuania FTA.¹ The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Goods on 19 September 1996.
 - Establishment of the European Community, Services. The terms of reference for the examination of the Agreement were adopted by the Council for Trade in Services on 23 September 1996.

¹Three bilateral Free Trade Agreements between Switzerland and the Baltic countries, previously notified to the GATT, were replaced by the relevant EFTA Agreements, notified to the WTO after June 1996.

²The Committee was informed orally of the replacement of the three bilateral Free Trade Agreements between Slovenia and Czech Republic, Hungary and Slovak Republic by the Agreement on Accession of Slovenia to the Central European Free Trade Area.

ATTACHMENT 2

Documents for the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements

- | | | |
|------------|---|---|
| WT/REG/1 | - | Rules of Procedure for Meetings of the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements |
| WT/REG/W/1 | - | GATT/WTO Documents Issued for the Examination of Regional Agreements |
| WT/REG/W/2 | - | Draft Rules of Procedure for Meetings of the Committee on Regional Trade Agreements |
| WT/REG/W/3 | - | Checklist of Points on Reporting on the Operation of Regional Agreements |
| WT/REG/W/4 | - | Communication from Republic of Korea |
| WT/REG/W/5 | - | Communication from the Delegations of Australia, Hong Kong and Korea |
| WT/REG/W/6 | - | Standard Format for Information on Regional Trade Agreements |
| WT/REG/W/7 | - | Checklist of Points Raised by Delegations on Procedures to Facilitate and Improve the Examination Process |
| WT/REG/W/8 | - | Systemic Implications of Regional Trade Agreements and Regional Initiatives for the Multilateral Trading System |
| WT/REG/W/9 | - | Note on Procedures to Facilitate and Improve the Examination Process |

SECTION IX

COMMITTEE ON BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS RESTRICTIONS

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

RESTRICTED

WT/BOP/R/19

5 November 1996

(96-4664)

Committee on Balance-of-Payments Restrictions

REPORT (1996) OF THE COMMITTEE ON BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS RESTRICTIONS

1. This report has been prepared in pursuance of the Procedures for an Annual Overview of WTO Activities and for Reporting under WTO (WT/L/105).¹
2. Since 1 December 1995 the Committee has held consultations with five Members under the Chairmanship of Mr. Peter Witt (Germany).²
3. During the December 1995 consultations with India, the Committee recalled India's stated aim to move, by 1996-97, to a trade régime under which quantitative restrictions are retained only for environmental, social, health and safety reasons, provided sustained improvement was shown in its balance of payments. The Committee noted the view expressed by India that, in the context of a deteriorating balance-of-payments situation, it would be neither prudent nor feasible to consider the general lifting of quantitative restrictions on imports at this stage. As there were divergent views on India's balance-of-payments situation and on the conclusions to be drawn, the Committee welcomed India's readiness to notify to the WTO all remaining restrictions maintained for balance-of-payments purposes soon after the announcement of the 1996/97 Export-Import Policy and to resume consultations in October 1996.³ Following a request by the IMF to reschedule the consultation, the Committee agreed that this consultation would be held on 20-21 January 1997. In July 1996, India submitted a list of all its quantitative restrictions maintained for balance-of-payments purposes.⁴
4. During the consultations with Nigeria in February 1996, the Committee welcomed Nigeria's commitment to convert all measures taken for balance-of-payments purposes to price-based measures, to eliminate these and thereby to disinvoke Article XVIII: B.⁵ The consultations resumed in September 1996. The Committee took note of the statement by Nigeria that technical and legislative processes had been initiated with a view to eliminating the import prohibitions based on balance-of-payments grounds as of 1 January 1997, and that positive recommendations had been made to this end, subject to final Government approval. It requested Nigeria to notify the relevant decisions to the Committee as soon as they are taken. If all measures were removed by the 1997 Budget, there

¹The Annual Report for the Committee for 1995 is contained in WT/BOP/R/10.

²Since the entry into force of the WTO, the Committee has held consultations with 13 Members (Table 1).

³WT/BOP/R/11, 23 January 1996.

⁴WT/BOP/N/11, 23 July 1996.

⁵WT/BOP/R/13, 1 March 1996.

would be no need for further consultation; if not, the Committee would resume its consultation with Nigeria in February 1997.⁶

5. During the June 1996 consultations with Slovakia, the Committee welcomed the decision of the Government of Slovakia, dated 25 June 1996, committing itself to eliminate the surcharge with effect from 1 January 1997, and to disinvoke the provisions of Article XII of GATT 1994 at the same time.⁷ Slovakia reduced its import surcharge from 10 to 7.5 per cent, effective 1 July 1996.

6. Consultations were held with Tunisia in June 1996. The Committee recognized that Tunisia's balance-of-payments situation was fragile. It noted Tunisia's clarification of the only remaining restrictions for which it claimed balance-of-payments justification and the agreement of Tunisia to provide a notification of these restrictions to the WTO within one month. This list supplied by Tunisia is contained in WT/BOP/N/10.⁸ The Committee discussed whether these restrictions could be justified for balance-of-payments purposes. Opinions differed as to whether this balance-of-payments situation constituted a threat of a serious decline in Tunisia's monetary reserves. Some Members, considering that these residual measures represented the final stage in phasing out quantitative restrictions, stated that Tunisia needed the five years envisaged in its Plan to complete this process. Others recalled that, under the Understanding on Balance-of-Payments Provisions of the GATT 1994, the 1979 Declaration on Trade Measures Taken for Balance-of-Payments Purposes and GATT Article XVIII:9, preference should be given to price-based measures, and that measures should be applied to control the general level of imports, without sector-specificity; on these grounds, they requested Tunisia to lift the quantitative restrictions promptly. Bearing in mind all these factors, the Committee agreed to resume the consultations with Tunisia in June 1997 on the basis of a new macroeconomic analysis by the IMF.⁹

7. During consultations in September 1996, Hungary confirmed its firm intention to eliminate the surcharge and disinvoke balance-of-payments provisions by 1 July 1997, at the latest.¹⁰ Hungary notified the WTO that it was reducing the 8 per cent import surcharge, introduced in March 1995, to 7 per cent on 1 July 1996 and to 6 per cent on 1 October 1996.¹¹

8. The consultations scheduled with Turkey and Poland in June and July, respectively, were cancelled following commitments on the part of these Governments to disinvoke the balance-of-payments provisions by 1 January 1997.¹²

9. In accordance with the conclusions of the Committee in November 1995, the Philippines notified the WTO that it had liberalized its restrictions on agricultural products.¹³

⁶WT/BOP/R/18, 16 October 1996.

⁷WT/BOP/R/15, 7 July 1996.

⁸WT/BOP/N/10, 10 July 1996.

⁹WT/BOP/R/14, 8 July 1996.

¹⁰WT/BOP/R/17, 16 October 1996.

¹¹WT/BOP/N/12, 6 August 1996.

¹²WT/BOP/R/16, July 1996; WT/BOP/N/7, 19 June 1996; WT/BOP/N/8, 27 June 1996.

¹³WT/BOP/N/9, 3 July 1996 (cf. BOP/312/Add.1/Rev.1, 1 November 1994).

10. In accordance with the conclusion of the Committee in November 1995, Sri Lanka notified the WTO that it had removed import restrictions on four tariff lines (potatoes, red onions, "B" onions and peppers).¹⁴
11. A report on other business conducted by the Committee is contained in document WT/BOP/R/16.
12. In accordance with the annual notification requirement, contained in paragraph 9 of the Understanding, the Committee adopted a notification format (WT/BOP/14) on 21 October 1996.
13. Pending a final decision by the General Council, the Committee has granted ad hoc observer status to the following intergovernmental international organizations: ACP, EFTA, EBRD, OECD, UNCTAD and World Bank.
14. From 1997, four Members are expected to be consulting under Article XVIII:12(b): Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Tunisia. Nigeria and Sri Lanka will also continue consultations if any measures justified on balance-of-payments grounds remain in force.

¹⁴WT/BOP/N/13, 30 September 1996.

Table 1
BOP CONSULTATIONS CONDUCTED SINCE THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE WTO

Member	Last Consultation	Follow up
Bangladesh	March 1995	The next consultations will be held in the spring of 1997.
Brazil	October 1995	Following consultations with the Committee, Brazil withdrew the provisions for import quota on motor vehicles introduced in June, with effect from 27 October 1995.
Egypt	June 1995	Egypt disinvoked Article XVIII:B with effect from 30 June 1995.
Hungary	September 1996	The 8 per cent import surcharge introduced in March 1995, has been reduced to 7 per cent as of 1 July 1996 and 6 per cent as of 1 October 1996. Hungary confirmed its firm intention to eliminate the surcharge by 1 July 1997, at the latest.
India	December 1995	The consultation will resume on 20-21 January 1997.
Israel	June 1994	Israel disinvoked the balance-of-payments provisions as of 15 December 1995.
Nigeria	February/September 1996	Nigeria committed itself to convert all balance-of-payments measures to price-based measures and to disinvoke Article XVIII:B.
Pakistan	November 1994	The next consultation will be held on 18-19 November 1996.
Philippines	November 1995	The Philippines undertook to disinvoke Article XVIII:B subject to the liberalization of remaining restrictions by 31 December 1997. Restrictions on agricultural products were lifted in March 1996.
Poland	June 1995	Poland informed the Committee in June 1996 that it would eliminate the 3 per cent surcharge and disinvoke Article XII by 1 January 1997.
Slovakia	June 1996	Slovakia informed the Committee in June 1996 that it would eliminate the surcharge, lowered from 10 to 7.5 percent as of 1 July 1996, and disinvoke Article XII by 1 January 1997.
South Africa	May 1995	South Africa disinvoked the balance-of-payments provisions with effect from 1 October 1995.
Sri Lanka	November 1995	Members questioned the balance-of-payments justification of remaining restrictions on eight food items and recommended that Sri Lanka not have recourse to Article XVIII:B. In September 1996, Sri Lanka notified the elimination of restrictions on four tariff lines.
Tunisia	June 1996	Opinions differed as to the justification of balance-of-payments grounds for quantitative restrictions on motor vehicles. The consultations are to resume in June 1997.
Turkey	June 1995	Turkey informed the Committee in June 1996 that it would reduce its remaining duties to bound levels and disinvoke the balance-of-payments provisions as of 1 January 1997.

SECTION X

COMMITTEE ON BUDGET, FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration

ANNUAL REPORT (1996)

1. In accordance with the procedures for an annual overview of WTO activities and for reporting under the WTO adopted by the General Council on 15 November 1995, a report on the activities of the WTO Committee on Budget, Finance and Administration in 1996 is hereby submitted.

2. It will be recalled that the Committee met on a number of occasions and has presented reports to the General Council on a regular basis.¹⁵ This report will then cover the major areas the Committee has dealt with in the course of the year to date.

I. ON-GOING RESPONSIBILITIES

3. The Committee monitored on a regular basis the financial and budgetary situation of the Organization, the receipt of contributions including the implementation of the related schemes and measures pertaining to receipt of contributions, and examined the Final Position of the 1995 Budget and the Director-General's Financial Report on the 1995 Accounts and Report of the External Auditor. It also dealt with the Director-General's budget proposals for 1997.

II. MAJOR AREAS OF ACTIVITIES

A. External Auditors

4. The Joint WTO/GATT Committee recommended that a call for competitive tenders for the external audit of the accounts of the Organization be made in 1995. After approval of the terms of reference for the competitive bids, the Secretariat sent out a call for tenders the same year. The Committee formed a working group in 1996 with the Secretariat to examine the candidatures of nine national courts of audit. On the basis of a report presented by the working group, the Committee recommended to the General Council to extend the on-going contract with the Austrian Court of Audit for one year to include the audit of the 1996 accounts and to nominate the Netherlands Court of Audit for auditing the WTO accounts effective as from the audit of the 1997 accounts for a three year term.

B. General management of, and overhead costs on, Trust Funds

5. The WTO Committee had, on several occasions, examined the question of general management and overhead costs of Trust Funds. In 1996, in document WT/BFA/21, it recommended to the General Council that a standard overhead rate of 13 per cent on trust fund expenditures be charged. The recommendations on the general management of Trust Funds were contained in document WT/BFA/26.

./.

¹⁵Reports: WT/BFA/20 covering the meeting of 29 January 1996; WT/BFA/21 covering the meeting of 29 February 1996; WT/BFA/22 covering the meeting of 26 March 1996; WT/BFA/24 covering the meeting of 2 May 1996; and WT/BFA/26 covering the meeting of 27 June 1996. In addition, the Committee sent a specific recommendation to the General Council in document WT/BFA/19.

C. Relationship between the International Trade Centre (ITC) and the WTO

6. The Committee monitored the implementation of the decision taken in 1995 to consult with United Nations Headquarters on a new arrangement on budgetary issues related to the functioning of the ITC. In 1996, the Committee examined the proposed 1997 budget for the ITC which for the first time was expressed in Swiss francs and followed the presentation of the WTO budget.

D. New WTO Members

7. In the course of 1996, the Committee formulated recommendations to the General Council on assessments to the budget and advances to the Working Capital Fund upon the accession to the WTO of the following new Members: (i) Angola, (ii) Benin, (iii) Chad, (iv) Ecuador, (v) Fiji, (vi) Gambia, (vii) Grenada, (viii) Haiti, (ix) Papua New Guinea, (x) Qatar, (xi) Rwanda, (xii) Saint Kitts and Nevis, (xiii) Solomon Islands, and (xiv) the United Arab Emirates.

E. Conditions of service of WTO staff

8. While not in the formal framework of the Committee, two working groups under the General Council chaired by the present and former Chairmen of the Committee took up the matter of conditions of service again in 1996. One dealt with salaries and the other with pensions. The result of these discussions and consultations provided the basis for the draft decision providing for the establishment of WTO - specific conditions of service tabled by the Chairman of the General Council in September 1996.

SECTION XI

PLURILATERAL TRADE AGREEMENTS

REPORT (1996) OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT
PROCUREMENT (1994 AGREEMENT)

I. General

1. This report is submitted pursuant to Article XXIV:7(a) of the Agreement on Government Procurement, which requires the Committee to review annually the implementation and operation of the Agreement and to inform annually the General Council of developments in the implementation and operation of the Agreement during the periods covered by such reviews.

2. The Agreement on Government Procurement entered into force on 1 January 1996. The period covered in this first Report is January-September 1996, but the report also reflects, where necessary, the preparatory work of the Interim Committee on Government Procurement prior to the Agreement's entry into force. The Committee on Government Procurement held three meetings in 1996: on 27 February, 4 June and 20 September (GPA/M/1-3). The Interim Committee on Government Procurement held six meetings in 1994 and 1995 (GPA/IC/M/1-6). Its report to the Committee was circulated in document GPA/IC/9.

3. The following WTO Members are Parties to the Agreement: Canada, the European Communities and fifteen Member States, Israel, Japan, Korea, Netherlands with respect to Aruba¹, Norway, Switzerland and the United States. Seven WTO Members have observer status: Australia, Colombia, Iceland, Liechtenstein, Singapore and Turkey. Two non-WTO members have observer status: Chinese Taipei and Latvia.

II. Implementation of the Agreement

Modifications of Appendices to the Agreement

4. Article XXIV:6 of the Agreement requires Parties to notify rectifications of a purely formal nature or other modifications relating to Appendices I through IV, which set out the mutually agreed coverage provided under the Agreement. Consequential rectifications or modifications become effective once they are agreed to pursuant to the procedures under this Article.

5. Prior to the entry into force of the Agreement, the United States and Norway made rectifications of a purely formal nature pursuant to the relevant Decision of the Interim Committee (GPA/IC/M/1, Annex 2). The United States rectification to its Appendix II regarding State Publications with effect as of 23 December 1994 was accompanied by a list of such publications (GPA/IC/W/10) and Norway's rectification with effect as of 15 December 1994 related to the change of names of entities in Appendix I, Annex 1 (GPA/IC/W/8).

¹As of 25 October 1996

6. At the time of the signature of the Agreement in Marrakesh in April 1994, the European Communities and the United States negotiated a bilateral agreement extending their mutual benefits under the Agreement, the relevant details of which, including the intended modifications, were circulated to the Interim Committee on 15 June 1994. At its meeting of 7 December 1995 the Interim Committee accepted that the European Communities and the United States had met the procedural requirements, in terms of the relevant Decision of the Informal Group on Negotiations (GPA/IC/3), necessary for the incorporation of modifications to the respective Annexes to Appendix I, which were subsequently submitted on 22 December 1995 (GPA/IC/10).

7. After the entry into force of the Agreement, Japan and the United States notified modifications to Appendix I which followed their bilateral agreement reached on the enlargement of the coverage of the Agreement (GPA/W/1 and GPA/W/2). Consequential modifications to Appendix I entered into force on 25 February 1996. Following the bilateral agreement reached between Norway and the United States, further modifications to Appendix I entered into force on 17 August 1996 (GPA/W/22 and GPA/W/23). Discussions currently being held between some other Parties may result in further expansion of the coverage of the Agreement.

8. The Committee also discussed the follow-up to Canada's offer, contained in its Appendix I, Annexes 2 and 3, to cover sub-central government entities and enterprises in all ten Provinces, on the basis of commitments received from the Provinces, with a final listing to be provided within 18 months after the conclusion of the Agreement. At the last two meetings of the Interim Committee, Canada linked the tabling of its schedule at the sub-central level to achieving increased market access in sectors of priority interest to Canadian suppliers and improving security of access through circumscribing the use of small business and other set-aside exceptions under the Agreement (GPA/IC/M/5-6). Canada maintained this position at the first three meetings of the Committee held in 1996 (GPA/M/1-3). Some other Parties expressed their disappointment over the situation, stressed the need for Canada to honour its commitments and considered that the problems raised by Canada with respect to expanded coverage of the Agreement could only be addressed once Canada had come forward with offers pursuant to its commitments in its Annexes 2 and 3. Canada has asserted that it did not undertake obligations regarding Annexes 2 and 3, and has reiterated that its coverage was to be based on commitments received from the Provinces. Canada has also asserted that, as no commitments have been received, it is under no obligation to put forward an offer under these Annexes.

Accession

9. At its first meeting on 27 February 1996, the Committee concluded the accession process of two additional WTO Members to the Agreement, which had been initiated prior to the entry into force of the Agreement, by adopting the Decisions on the accession of Liechtenstein and the Kingdom of the Netherlands with respect to Aruba on the basis of the reports of the Interim Committee (GPA/IC/6 and GPA/IC/7), and inviting these Members to accede to the Agreement on the terms for accession attached to the respective Decisions (GPA/2 and GPA/3). The Kingdom of the Netherlands for Aruba has deposited its instrument of accession on 25 September 1996 (WT/Let/111 and GPA/7). Liechtenstein has not yet deposited its instrument of accession.

10. Singapore applied for accession in November 1995. Following bilateral consultations held between Singapore and Parties in 1996, the Committee adopted, at its meeting on 20 September 1996, a Decision inviting Singapore to accede on the terms attached to that Decision (GPA/6). Singapore has not yet deposited its instrument of accession.

11. Chinese Taipei applied for accession to the Agreement in June 1994 (GPA/IC/5). At its February and June 1996 meetings, the Committee was informed of the bilateral consultations held between the delegation of Chinese Taipei and Parties to the Agreement on Chinese Taipei's revised

offer in view of its wish to conclude this process in the latter part of 1996. At its September meeting, the Committee was informed of further improvements that Chinese Taipei had made to its offer.

Decisions on Procedural Matters

12. At its first meeting on 27 February 1996, the Committee on Government Procurement adopted the following Decisions on procedural matters: Participation of Observers in the Committee; Accession to the Agreement; and Interim Procedures on the Circulation of and on the Derestriction of Documents, Pending Definitive Procedures (GPA/1). These Decisions, which concern, *inter alia*, possibilities for Members of the WTO not Parties to the Agreement to participate as observers in the Committee, to receive Committee documents and to accede to the Agreement, were transmitted to the General Council for the information of all Members of the WTO (WT/L/146). At its September meeting, the Committee agreed to align its procedures on circulation and derestriction of documents with those adopted by the General Council on 18 July 1996 (WT/L/160/Rev.1).

13. At its meeting on 27 February 1996, the Committee adopted a Decision on modalities for notifying threshold figures in national currencies (GPA/1). All Parties have notified thresholds in their respective national currencies for the periods 1996-97 and the methods employed for determining them (GPA/W/12 and Addenda 1-6).

14. At its meeting on 4 June 1994, the Committee adopted a Decision on Procedures for the Notification of National Implementing Legislation, including responses to a checklist of issues (GPA/1/Add.1). This sets a time-limit of 31 December 1996 for such notifications.

Establishment of a Practical Guide to the New Agreement

15. Pursuant to its discussion on the desirability, the structure and presentation of a practical guide to the Agreement directed towards the private sector, the Interim Committee considered it appropriate to postpone active consideration of the establishment of such a guide in view of its linkages with various other outstanding issues, such as the procedures for notifying national implementing legislation and the use of information technology in procurement procedures.

Loose-leaf System for Updating Appendices

16. The Committee agreed, at its meeting on 4 June 1996, to establish a loose-leaf system, with legal effect, to ensure that the Appendices to the Agreement are kept up to date. The Committee requested the Secretariat to produce and distribute an updated set of Appendices, with a view to providing a starting point for the loose-leaf system. The Committee agreed to make this loose-leaf system, once established, available to the public at large through the Internet.

Statistical Reporting

17. Article XIX:5 requires Parties to collect and provide on an annual basis statistics on their procurements covered by the Agreement. With a view to ensuring that such statistics are comparable, Article XIX:5 requires the Committee to provide guidance on the methods to be used. The Interim Committee established a Working Group on Statistical Reporting to propose guidelines for meeting the statistical reporting requirements of Article XIX:5, in particular in respect of the adoption of uniform classification systems and methods to be used for providing statistics on the country of origin of products and services.

18. Based on the report of this Working Group (GPA/IC/8), the Committee agreed, at its first meeting on 27 February 1996, that the rules of origin of products used for the purposes of statistical

reporting in Article XIX:5 of the Agreement should be the same as those applied under Article IV, which were those used in the normal course of trade. As for the requirement to report statistics on the origin of services, the Committee postponed application of this requirement until practicable rules for determining the origin of services had been defined. At its meeting on 4 June 1996, the Committee adopted classification systems for goods and services to be used in statistical reporting under the Agreement (GPA/4). Some Parties asserted that the objective of establishing statistics, i.e. to provide information and enable review as regards obligations of Parties, might be more appropriately met through alternative means.

Other Matters

19. In accordance with the provisions of the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes (Annex 2 of the WTO Agreement), the Committee notified the Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) of special or additional rules or procedures on dispute settlement in the Agreement on Government Procurement, namely Article XXII, paragraphs 2 through 7 (GPA/5).

III. Work under the Built-In Agenda

Information Technology

20. Article XXIV:8 of the Agreement calls on Parties to consult regularly in the Committee on developments in the use of information technology in government procurement and, if necessary, to negotiate modifications to the Agreement itself. In preparation for the implementation of the future responsibilities of the Committee in regard to these provisions, the Interim Committee gathered information on the use of information technology in government procurement in the various Signatories in reply to a questionnaire (GPA/IC/W/4/Rev.1) as well as through discussion in the Interim Committee (GPA/IC/M/1-6). This information raised a number of policy issues concerning, on the one hand, issues both of access to procurement opportunities on on-line databases and electronic tendering or commerce and, on the other hand, questions both of cooperation between and coordination of national systems (GPA/IC/W/18). The work on information technology has focused on the need to ensure that access to procurement opportunities through the use of information technology takes place on a non-discriminatory basis and also on considering what modifications, if any, may be necessary to the Agreement to enable the benefits of information technology to be harnessed. The United States, the European Communities and Norway submitted communications identifying a number of areas that might require examination to accommodate the developments in information technology (GPA/IC/W/36, GPA/W/13 and GPA/W/14). In addition the Secretariat prepared a compilation of issues relating to the implications of the developments of information technology which also identified options for carrying forward the work in this area (GPA/W/15). The Committee's discussion of these options at its second meeting on 4 June 1996 had the following outcome. First, the Secretariat revised the questionnaire on information technology (GPA/IC/W/4/Rev.1) as proposed in document GPA/W/15 (GPA/W/24). Second, the Secretariat prepared a factual note on the aspects of the Agreement that it had been suggested might need to be re-examined in the light of information technology, setting out the relevant provisions of the Agreement and drawing attention to any pertinent information on their negotiating history (GPA/W/25). Third, the delegation of the United States provided information on the pilot project launched in the APEC framework on access to national databases (GPA/M/3). Fourth, the European Community, in coordination with Norway, would prepare a paper identifying, *inter alia*, the technical issues relating to information technology that might need to be examined by experts. The Committee is determined to pursue its work on information technology expeditiously, so as to ensure that the benefits of information technology are harnessed while at the same time protecting and, where possible, enhancing non-discriminatory access.

Three-year Review

21. Article XXIV:7(b) and (c) of the Agreement calls on the Parties, not later than the end of the third year from the date of its entry into force, to undertake further negotiations, with a view to improving the Agreement and achieving the greatest possible extension of its coverage among all Parties and eliminating any remaining discriminatory measures and practices. At the Committee's meeting on 4 June 1996, suggestions were made that, with a view to facilitating accession by the widest possible number of countries and to adjusting the Agreement to newly-emerging technologies, such negotiations should be initiated in 1997 and could include the following main elements: (i) expansion of the coverage of the Agreement, notably extending it to sectors not presently covered; (ii) increased security of market access under the Agreement; (iii) elimination of discriminatory measures and practices; and (iv) simplification and improvement of the Agreement. Some Parties expressed the view that further experience with the operation of the Agreement should be gained before initiating negotiations aimed at increased coverage.

IV. Issues to be brought to the attention of the Ministerial Conference

22. The Committee has agreed to undertake an early review, starting in 1997 with an examination of modalities, with a view to the implementation of Article XXIV:7 (b) and (c) of the Agreement. The review will, in particular, cover the following elements:

- expansion of the coverage of the Agreement;
- elimination of discriminatory measures and practices which distort open procurement; and
- simplification and improvement of the Agreement, including, where appropriate, adaptation to advances in the area of information technology.

23. This review shall seek the expansion of membership of the Agreement by making it more accessible to non-Parties.

24. Members of the Committee note the work under way under the Council for Trade in Services on government procurement and the proposals for the Singapore Ministerial for a multilateral work programme on government procurement. The Parties to the Agreement on Government Procurement intend to support and actively contribute to any multilateral work on government procurement that may be decided upon by the Ministerial Conference, without prejudice to their own efforts to improve and extend the Agreement on Government Procurement and to encourage more WTO Members to become Parties to it.

INTERNATIONAL DAIRY COUNCIL

Report to the Singapore Ministerial Conference

The International Dairy Agreement (IDA) entered into force on 1 January 1995. The two main functions of the Agreement are (i) the maintenance of minimum export prices for specific dairy products listed in the Agreement, and (ii) to provide, with the International Dairy Council, a forum for exchange of information on the world market situation and outlook for dairy products. As of 17 September 1996, the following were Parties to the Agreement: Argentina, Bulgaria, the European Communities, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Romania, Switzerland and Uruguay. Other governments and intergovernmental organizations are represented at meetings by observers.

The International Dairy Council held meetings on 20-21 March 1995, 17 October 1995 and 17 September 1996. In accordance with Article IV:1 of the IDA, the Council reviewed at its meetings the situation and outlook in the world market for dairy products on the basis of notes prepared by the Secretariat (IDA/W/1, IDA/W/7 and IDA/W/12), as well as the questionnaires submitted by Parties. In 1995, the Council also: (i) adopted Rules of Procedure (IDA/1); (ii) adopted formats for Questionnaires 1-5 (IDA/4); and (iii) issued a standing invitation to the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (ECE), FAO, OECD and UNCTAD to participate in its meetings in an observer capacity.

At its October 1995 meeting, the Council noted that the limited membership in the Agreement, and in particular the non-participation of some major dairy exporting countries, made the operation of the minimum price provisions of the IDA untenable. In light of this situation, the Council decided to suspend the operation of the Annex to the Agreement thus suspending minimum prices for all dairy products therein until 31 December 1997. Noting that the task of the Committee on Certain Milk Products was directly related to the implementation of the provisions of the Annex, the Committee was also suspended.

In view of the fact that certain Parties expressed doubts about the continued usefulness of the current Agreement in light of the Uruguay Round results, the Council, at its meeting in September 1996, invited the Chairperson to undertake informal consultations on the future of the Agreement.

The reports of the meetings of the International Dairy Council are contained in IDA/2, IDA/5 and IDA/7.

INTERNATIONAL MEAT COUNCIL

Report to the Singapore Ministerial Conference

The International Bovine Meat Agreement entered into force on 1 January 1995. As of 11 June 1996 the following were Parties to the Agreement: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, Colombia, the European Communities (15), Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Paraguay, Romania, South Africa, Switzerland, the United States and Uruguay. Other governments and intergovernmental organizations are represented at meetings by observers.

The International Meat Council held meetings on 21-22 June 1995 and 11 June 1996. At its first meeting, the Council: (i) adopted the Rules of Procedure (IMA/1) and agreed to hold one regular meeting in June of each year; (ii) adopted the formats for the questionnaires on domestic policies (IMA/2) and statistical information (IMA/3); (iii) agreed that observer governments would be requested to reply to the statistical and policy questionnaires on a voluntary basis; and (iv) issued a standing invitation to the United Nation's Economic Commission for Europe (ECE), FAO, the International Trade Centre (ITC), OECD and UNCTAD to participate in its meetings in an observer capacity.

In accordance with Article IV:1 of the International Bovine Meat Agreement, the Council reviewed at its meetings the world supply and demand situation and outlook in the bovine meat sector on the basis of notes prepared by the Secretariat (IMA/W/1 and IMA/W/7, refer) as well as the questionnaires submitted by Parties. Parties also had a general exchange of views on the functioning of the Agreement in the light of past experience under the Arrangement Regarding Bovine Meat and the Uruguay Round outcome. At its meeting in June 1996, the Council invited the Chairman to undertake informal consultations on various issues, including the future of the Agreement.