
Committee on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures

SPECIFIC TRADE CONCERNS

Note by the Secretariat¹

Corrigendum

ISSUES CONSIDERED IN 2008

First sentence of the first paragraph should read:

This part of document G/SPS/GEN/204/Rev.9 contains summary information regarding all issues which were raised in the SPS Committee for the first time during 2008, and issues which were previously raised but on which further discussions or activities occurred during 2008.

Under STC N° 185

(a) The table should read:

Raised by:	European Communities
Supported by:	Australia, Canada, China, United States
Dates raised:	March 2004 (G/SPS/R/33, paras. 18-20), June 2004 (G/SPS/R/34, paras. 42-43), October 2004 (G/SPS/R/35, paras. 59-60), June 2007 (G/SPS/R/45, paras. 21-23), October 2007 (G/SPS/R/46, paras. 29-32), April 2008 (G/SPS/R/49, paras. 33-38), June 2008 (G/SPS/R/51, paras. 31-35), October 2008 (G/SPS/R/53, paras. 29-34)
Relevant document(s):	G/SPS/N/IND/13/Add.1, G/SPS/N/IND/14, G/SPS/N/IND/46/Add.3 and Add.4
Solution:	
Status:	Not reported
Date reported as resolved:	

* In English only.

¹ This document has been prepared under the Secretariat's own responsibility and is without prejudice to the positions of Members or to their rights or obligations under the WTO.

(b) Paragraph 97 should read:

97. The United States supported the concerns raised, observing that India's measure had been introduced and maintained without scientific evidence or risk assessment. India's argument that LPAI had the potential to mutate into the highly pathogenic form, and that virus re-assortment could occur in swine, had been addressed by the OIE. The United States had requested a copy of India's risk assessment that supported its ban, but this had not been provided. China supported the concerns raised and requested India to revisit its measure in order to comply with OIE recommendations.
