# WORLD TRADE

## ORGANIZATION

RESTRICTED

WT/CTE/W/72 26 November 1997

(97-5199)

**Committee on Trade and Environment** 

#### METHODOLOGIES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL VALUATION: A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Note by the Secretariat

1. This Note has been prepared in response to a request from Members of the Committee on Trade and Environment for the Secretariat to prepare a bibliography of references which deal with issues related to environmental valuation.<sup>1</sup> The following provides an introduction to some of the issues which are addressed in the references contained in the bibliography.

2. A number of tools have been developed to value the benefit of environmental improvements, or to value the damage caused by environmental degradation. One of the techniques which has been used to value the environment is cost/benefit analysis. This technique of environmental valuation is used, for example, to determine the viability of environmental management policies. In this respect, experience has illustrated that it is difficult to quantify with any precision many of the variables that should be included in a calculation of environmental costs and benefits, given that many environmental goods and services are not exchanged in the market place and therefore are not assigned a market value.

3. Environmental valuation was initially used to calculate liability, such as the cost of cleaning-up environmental accidents, and compensating environmental damage. The welfare of society can be undermined when market prices fail to capture the effects of environmentally-damaging activities and therefore send misleading signals concerning the optimal use of environmental goods and services. Environmental valuation has also been used to incorporate environmental costs and benefits in systems of national accounting; to measure environmental benefits associated with trade liberalisation; and to determine an appropriate rate of environmental taxes or charges.

4. Various environmental valuation techniques have emerged in recent years. Work has been carried out in academic research institutes and intergovernmental foras, such as the UNCTAD Intergovernmental Group of Experts on Standards of Accounting and Reporting, the World Bank and UNEP. In addition to the on-going work on natural resource accounting, an extensive body of literature in the field of environmental economics continues to be developed on valuation methodologies. The attached bibliography contains references to a number of contributions in this field.

5. Environmental valuation has concentrated on two areas: (a) quantifying damages arising from environmental degradation. This includes defensive expenditures which encompass pollution abatement costs and the costs of treating hazardous or toxic wastes. Defensive expenditures also include the environmental costs related to changes in ecosystem functions, such as with respect to wildlife habitats,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>References to environmental valuation are contained in the Secretariat's sectoral analysis of the environmental benefits of removing trade restrictions and distortions (WT/CTE/W/67).

biodiversity loss and soil or air quality; and (b) quantifying the extent to which different environmental functions contribute directly and indirectly to conventional calculations of economic wealth.<sup>2</sup>

6. The value derived from environmental resources can be classified into use value which is created by the current use of environmental resources either directly or indirectly, and non-use value which is created by the desire to ensure that an environmental resource will continue to be provided in the future. The literature examines, for example, the benefits associated with establishing economic values for biodiversity, wetlands, forests, wildlife, water and air quality, and various other environmental goods and services which are public or collective goods.

7. Environmental valuation techniques undertake the complex task of identifying environmental benefits and damages and then assigning a monetary value to them. In this context, it is important to emphasize that most environmental valuation techniques do not attempt to quantify the full or intrinsic value of the environment, *per se*, but endeavour to measure public preferences or willingness-to-pay for changes in environmental quality. Cost effectiveness and impact analysis are also used to identify and quantify the impacts of particular policies on the environment.

8. Different methods of environmental valuation have been shown to have different strengths and weaknesses. For example, contingent valuation is an approach to environmental valuation which is based on an analysis of detailed surveys and questionnaires that measure public willingness-to-pay for an environmental good or service (e.g. for municipal water, parks and recreational areas, biodiversity conservation). Surveys attempt to measure the use and non-use value of environmental goods and services. Among the concerns raised about such an approach is that the design of survey questions may be biased. Other valuation techniques which are described in the literature include damage function valuation, household production function valuation and hedonic pricing methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For example, although wetlands tend to be undervalued using conventional economic calculations, one study has estimated the economic returns from clearing wetlands for other uses to be US\$29 per hectare of land, while the indirect use value from protecting the wetland was in the range of US\$167 per hectare. *See* E. Barbier, et. al., (1993).

#### SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aylward, B. (1993). *The Economic Value of Pharmaceutical Prospecting and its Role in Biodiversity Conservation.* London: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Barbier, E., W. Adam and K. Kimmage (1993). "An Economic Valuation of Wetland Benefits." in G.E. Hollis et. al. *The Hadejia-Nguru Wetlands: Environment, Economy and Sustainable Development of a Sahelian Floodplain Wetland.* Gland: IUCN.
- Barbier, E., J. Bishop, B. Alyward and J. Burgess (1992). The Economics of Tropical Forests Land Use Options Methodology and Valuation Techniques. London: London Environmental Economics Center.
- Barbier, E., J. Burgess, T. Swanson and D. Pearce (1990). *Elephants, Economics and Ivory*. London: Earthscan.
- Bentkover, J., V. Covello and J. Mumpower (1986). *Benefits Assessment: The State of the Art.* Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Berger, M. et. al. (1987). "Valuing Changes in Health Risks: A Comparison of Alternative Measures." *Southern Economics Journal*, 53(4).
- Bishop, R. and R. Woodward (1995). "Valuation of Environmental Quality under Certainty." in D. Bromley, ed., *The Handbook of Environmental Economics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Bockstael, N. et. al. (1987). "Estimating the Value of Water Quality Improvements in a Recreational Demand Framework." *Water Resources.* 23(5).
- Bowker, J. and J. Stoll (1988). "Valuing Wildlife in Benefit-Cost Analysis: A Case Study Involving Endangered Species." *Water Resources.* 23(5).
- Braden, J. and C. Kolstad (1991). *Measuring the Demand for Environmental Quality*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Brown, L. ed. (1997). State of the World. Washington: Worldwatch Institute.
- Carson, R. and R. Mitchell (1988). "The Value of Clean Water: The Public's Willingness to Pay for Potable, Fishable and Swimmable Quality Water." Discussion Paper 88-13. University of California.
- Champ. P., R. Bishop. T. Brown and D. McCollum (1997). "Using Donation Mechanisms to Value Non-Use Values." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management.* 33(2).
- Constanza, R. (1991). Ecological Economics. Columbia University Press.
- Cropper, M. and W. Oates (1992). "Environmental Economics: A Survey." *Journal of Economic Literature*. Vol. XXX.
- Cruz, W. and R. Repetto (1992). *The Environmental Effects of Stabilisation and Structural Adjustment Programs: The Philippines Case.* Washington: World Resources Institute.

- Cummings, R., G. Harrison and E. Rustrom (1995). "Homegrown Values and Hypothetical Surveys: is the Dichotomous Choice Approach Incentive-Compatible?" in *American Economics*. No. 85.
- Dixon, J. and P. Lal (1994). "The Management of Coastal Wetlands: Economic Analysis of Combined Ecologic-Economic Systems." in P. Dasgupta and K. Maler, eds. *The Environment and Emerging Development Issues.* Oxford: Clarendon.
- Dixon, J. and M. Hufschmidt (1994). *Economic Valuation Techniques for the Environment: A Case Study Handbook.* Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Dixon, J. and P. Sherman (1990). Dryland Management: Economic Case Studies. London: Earthscan.
- Feenberg, D. and E. Mills (1980). *Measuring the Benefits of Water Pollution Abatement*. New York: Academic Press.
- Flores, N. and R. Carson (1997). "The Relationship Between the Income Elasticities of Demand and Willingness to Pay." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management.* 33(3).
- Freemen, A. (1979). *The Benefits of Environmental Improvement*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1993). The Measurement of Environmental and Resource Values: Theory and *Methods*. Baltimore: Resources for the Future.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1995). "Hedonic Pricing Methods," in D.W. Bromley, ed. *The Handbook of Environmental Economics*. Oxford: Blackwell.

- Halvorsen, B. (1996). "Ordering Effects in Contingent Valuation Surveys: Willingness to Pay for Reduced Health Damage from Air Pollution." *Environmental and Resource Economics*. 8(4).
- Hamilton, K. (1989). *Natural Resources and National Wealth*. Ottawa: Statistics Canada. Discussion Paper No. 1.
- Harrison, G. and B. Kristron (1995). "On the Interpretation of Responses and Contingent Valuation Surveys." in P.O. Johansson et. al., eds. *Current Issues in Environmental Economics*. Manchester: University of Manchester Press.
- Harrington, W. and P. Portney (1987). "Valuing the Benefits of Health and Safety Regulations." *Journal of Urban Economics.* 22(1).
- Hazilla, M. and R. Kopp (1990). "Social Cost of Environmental Quality Regulations: A General Equilibrium Analysis." *Journal of Political Economy*. 98(4).
- Hotelling., H. (1931). "The Economics of Exhaustible Resources." *Journal of Political Economy*. 39(2).
- Kealy, M. el. al. (1987). "Willingness to Pay to Prevent Additional Damages to the Adirondacks from Acid Rain." *Regulatory Science Review*. 15.
- Kishor, N. and L. Constantino (1993). *The Costs and Benefits of Removing Log Export Bans: Evidence from Two Countries.* Washington: World Bank.

- Lutz, E., ed. (1993). *Towards Improved Environmental Accounting*. Washington: World Bank/UNSTAT.
- MacFarland, K. et. al. (1983). "An Examination of Methodologies and Social Indicators for Assessing the Value of Visibility." in Rowe, R. and L. Chestnut. *Managing Air Quality and Scenic Resources at National Parks and Wilderness Areas.* Boulder: Westview Press.
- Mitchell, R. and R. Carson (1989). Using Surveys to Value Public Good: The Contingent Valuation Method. Washington: Resources for the Future.
- Oates, W. et. al. (1989). "The Net Benefits of Incentive-Based Regulation: A Case Study of Environmental Standard Setting." *American Economic Review*. 79(5).
- O'Connor, M. (1996). "Cherishing the Future, Cherishing the Other: A Post-Classical Theory of Value." in S. Faucheux, D. Pearce and J. Proops, eds. *Models of Sustainable Development*. Cheltenham: New Horizons in Environmental Economics.
- Parikh, K., J. Parikh, T. Muraleedharan and N. Hadker (1994). *Economic Valuation of Air Pollution in Chembur*. Bombay: Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research.
- Pearce, D. and D. Moran (1994). The Economic Value of Biodiversity. London: Earthscan.
- Pearce, D., W. Cline, A. Achanta, S. Fankhauser, R. Pachauri. R. Tol and P. Vellinga (1995). The Social Costs of Climate Change: Greenhouse Damages and the Benefits of Control. London: CSERGE, University College.
- Perrings, C. (1995). "Economic Values of Biodiversity" in V.H. Heywood, ed. *Global Biodiversity* Assessment. Nairobi: UNEP.
  - \_\_\_\_\_. (1996). "Ecological Resilience in the Sustainability of Economic Development." in S. Faucheux, D. Pearce and J. Proops, eds. *Models of Sustainable Development*. Cheltenham: New Horizons in Environmental Economics.
- Pimental, D. et. al. (1995). "Environmental and Economic Costs of Soil Erosion and Conservation Benefits." *Science*. February.
- Pinedo-Vasquez, M., D. Zarin and P. Jipp (1992). "Economic Returns from Forest Conversion in the Peruvian Amazon. *Ecological Economics*. No. 6.
- Ready, R. (1995). "Environmental Valuation under Uncertainty." in D. W. Bomley, ed., *The Handbook* of Environmental Economics. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Repetto, R., W. Magrath, M. Wells, C. Beer and F. Rossini (1989). *Wasting Assets: Natural Resources in the Natural Income Accounts.* Washington: World Resources Institute.
- Rosen, S. (1979). "Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Product Differentiation in Pure Competition." *Journal of Political Economy.* 82(1).
- Ruitenbeek, H.J. (1994). "Modelling Economy-Ecology Linkages in Mangroves: Economic Evidence for Promoting Conservation in Bintuni Bay, Sarawak." *Ecological Economics*. No. 10.
- Sadoff, C. (1992). The Effects of Thailand's Logging Ban: Overview and Preliminary Results. Bangkok: Thailand Development Research Institute.

Tietenberg, T. (1994). Environmental Economics and Policy. New York: Harpers.

- UNEP (1994). Economic Values and the Environment in the Developing World. Nairobi: UNEP.
- Veloz, A., D. Southgate, F. Hitzhusen and R. Macgregor (1985). "The Economics of Erosion Control in a Subtropical Watershed: A Dominican Case." *Land Economics*. 61(2).
- Whittington, D., D. Lauria, A. Wright, K. Choe and V. Swarna (1993). "Household Demand for Improved Sanitation Services in Kumasi Ghana." Water Resources Research. 29(6).
- World Bank (1995). Monitoring Environmental Progress: A Report of Work in Progress. Washington: World Bank.