## WORLD TRADE

## **ORGANIZATION**

**WT/DS308/10** 6 December 2005

(05-5832)

Original: Spanish

## MEXICO – TAX MEASURES ON SOFT DRINKS AND OTHER BEVERAGES

Notification of an Appeal by Mexico under Article 16.4 and Article 17 of the

Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of

Disputes (DSU) and Rule 20(1) of the Working

Procedures for Appellate Review

The following notification dated 6 December 2005, from the delegation of Mexico, is being circulated to Members.

\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Article 16 of the *Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes* ("DSU") and Rule 20 of the *Working Procedures for Appellate Review*, Mexico hereby notifies its decision to appeal to the Appellate Body certain issues of law dealt with in the Report of the Panel on *Mexico – Tax Measures on Soft Drinks and Other Beverages* (WT/DS308/R) (the "Panel Report") and certain legal interpretations developed by the Panel in this dispute.

- 1. Mexico seeks review by the Appellate Body of the Panel's legal conclusion that it has no discretion to decline to exercise jurisdiction in this case and its determination that, even if it had such discretion, the facts in the record do not justify a refusal by the Panel to exercise jurisdiction in this case. This conclusion is in error and is based on erroneous findings on issues of law and related legal interpretations concerning Articles 3, 7, 11 and 19 of the DSU and Articles XXII and XXIII of the GATT 1994. These errors are contained, *inter alia*, in paragraphs 7.1 to 7.18, 8.215 to 8.230 and 9.1 of the Panel Report.
- 2. Mexico seeks review by the Appellate Body of the Panel's legal conclusion that the challenged tax measures are not justified under Article XX of the GATT 1994 as measures necessary to secure United States compliance with laws or regulations which are not inconsistent with the provisions of the GATT 1994. This conclusion is in error and is based on erroneous findings on issues of law and related legal interpretations concerning Article XX of the GATT 1994. Paragraphs 8.168 to 8.204 and 9.3 of the Panel Report, among others, contain such errors, including the following:
  - (a) The Panel's interpretation and application of the expression "to secure compliance" in Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994 and its conclusion that it does not apply to measures taken by a Member in order to induce another Member to comply with obligations owed to it under a non-WTO treaty.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.170 to 8.181.

- (b) The Panel's conclusion that the challenged tax measures "are not designed to secure compliance" within the meaning of Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994 and are not eligible for consideration under Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994.<sup>2</sup>
- (c) The Panel's interpretation and application of the phrase "laws or regulations" contained in Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994 and its conclusion that this phrase does not cover international treaties such as NAFTA.<sup>3</sup>
- (d) The Panel's failure to consider whether the Mexican measures are "necessary" to secure compliance with a law that is not inconsistent with the provisions of the GATT 1994.<sup>4</sup>
- 3. Mexico seeks review by the Appellate Body, in the light of DSU Article 11, of the Panel's conclusion that "Mexico has not established that its measures contribute to securing compliance in the circumstances of this case". This conclusion does not reflect an objective approach to analysis of the available evidence on the effects of the Mexican measures, and is inconsistent with the treatment given by the Panel to relevant evidence. Accordingly, this conclusion is inconsistent with the Panel's duty to make an objective assessment of the matter before it.
- 4. Mexico considers that the Panel also failed to make an objective assessment of the matter before it, including the facts of the case, inconsistently with its obligation under Article 11 of the DSU, with respect to Mexico's request for determinations of fact, status and relevance of the NAFTA dispute between the parties.<sup>6</sup>
- 5. Mexico also considers that, in concluding that international countermeasures cannot qualify for consideration as measures designed to "secure compliance" within the meaning of Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994<sup>7</sup>, the Panel improperly increased the obligations of WTO Members and reduced the rights of Members under the covered agreements.
- 6. In the event that the Appellate Body reverses the Panel's conclusion that Mexico's tax measures are not justified under Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994, Mexico requests that the Appellate Body complete the legal analysis under Article XX of the GATT 1994.

Those provisions of the covered agreements which Mexico considers the Panel to have interpreted or applied erroneously include Articles XX, XXII and XXIII of the GATT 1994 and Articles 3, 7, 11 and 19 of the DSU.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.182 to 8.190 and 8.197 to 8.198.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.191 to 8.197.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.199 to 8.202.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Panel Report, paragraph 8.186.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.231 and 8.232.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Panel Report, paragraphs 8.181 and 8.186.