As noted in paragraph 17 of its report on the future work programme (L/1732) Committee III intends at its next meeting in May 1962 to consider the adoption of a supplementary questionnaire specially designed to elicit information on the actual operation of State monopolies and their effects on the expansion of trade of less-developed countries and on the implementation of their development plans. Contracting parties have been requested to submit proposals on, and questions for inclusion in, the questionnaire.

The attached proposals have been submitted by the Federation of Rhodesia and Nyasaland.
PROPOSALS FOR A SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTIONNAIRE ON STATE-TRADING ENTERPRISES

These questions are intended to supplement those contained in L/1014 in order to elaborate aspects of State-trading operations relevant to the work of Committee III in establishing specific programmes for action, and where feasible, target terminal dates, for the progressive reduction and elimination of barriers to the exports of less-developed countries.

1. Has there been any change which requires amendment to your country's answers to GATT questionnaire on State trading (L/1014) in respect of any of the following commodities:

   Cocoa, coffee, cotton, cotton manufactures, jute manufactures, lead, oilseeds, vegetable oils, tea, timber, tobacco, bicycles, sewing machines, electric fans, diesel engines, electric motors, finished leather and leather goods, iron ore, aluminium, aluminium and bauxite, sports goods, coir manufactures, steel furniture, ferro-chrome and ferro-manganese, copper rollings and fish (canned etc.) cement and phosphates.

2. What is the degree of autonomy conferred by Government on the enterprise covered by the notification.

3. Describe any rights and/or obligations in relation to domestic production enjoyed by the enterprise covered by the notification. Indicate in particular whether any obligations include an obligation to purchase the whole of the domestic product, a proportion thereof, or a specified quantity, whether obligations towards domestic production have varied in recent years and whether the enterprise has any influence over determining the size of domestic production.

4. If the enterprise covered by the notification enjoys privileges of manufacture as well as of import, indicate any differential basis of prices paid by the manufacturing undertaking, as compared with c.i.f. prices of imports or producer prices of domestic products.

5. Indicate whether import purchases are negotiated by the privileged enterprise itself or through agents. If through agents indicate:
   (i) how they are selected
   (ii) how frequently their appointments are reviewed or renewed
   (iii) whether they are remunerated according to the value or the quantity of their purchases.
6. Indicate what steps have been taken to acquaint the enterprise concerned with the GATT Declaration of 7 December 1961 and to direct their attention to the need to investigate potential sources of supply in less-developed countries.

7. Furnish statistics (where possible by quantity and value) of imports, exports and national production on the products notified, on the following lines:

(a) The figures should cover the last three **available years**.
(b) The figures should as far as possible be in comparable form for imports, exports, and national production.
(c) The figures should be elaborated so as to show:
   (i) Source of supply of imports,
   (ii) Average value of imports by sources,
   (iii) Average prices paid to domestic producers,
   (iv) Proportion of imports obtained from less-developed countries ("Economic Class II" in United Nations commodity trade classification), and
   (v) Relation of imports to apparent consumption.

8. In cases where the proportion of imports of the product concerned from less-developed countries is nil, negligible, or has declined, state the reasons.