Page 2, lines 17, 18 and 19 should read:

"Mr. Speekenbrink pointed out that although provisionally supporting the view of Mr. Forthomme regarding the retention of the 60-day period, he was prepared to consider the waiving of the stipulation in the line of the arguments to be put forward by other Delegates."

Page 1, statements made by Mr. B. N. Banerji (India) and Mr. S. A. Hasnie (Pakistan) should read as follows:

"Mr. B. N. BANERJI (India) said that the acceptance by his Government of the obligations of the Charter and the Agreement would depend largely upon the inclusion in both those instruments of a certain article of the Charter now under discussion in Sub-Committee. If it was held that there was no article in the Agreement corresponding to that Article of the Charter, he would wish to move an amendment that that particular Article of the Charter be incorporated in the Agreement. All that he wished to make clear at this stage was that his Delegation may be permitted to refer to this matter again on a future occasion."

"Mr. S. A. HASNIE (Pakistan) said that his Government took the same view on this matter."