After the Chairman had announced that no other speakers were on his list, and that he wanted to know whether he should consider the debate as closed, the Delegate of Denmark said that the matter was of such importance, that it seemed premature, having heard only very few statements, to close the debate.

Denmark, when it had first considered the matter, had felt some concern. It had thought the matter to be of a political nature, which perhaps would make it impossible for the Contracting Parties to take a decision. Having heard that the U.S. delegate would not ask countries to express themselves on the political issue, but only on the economic consequences thereof, the Danish Delegate had agreed to include the item on the agenda, also for the reason that in fact no decision at all could be taken, before there had been a debate. He further thought that it would be best, if a decision could be based on some Article of the Agreement. Some of the provisions of the Agreement seemed to him to be applicable, but that was what should be discussed. He was anxious to hear the Czechoslovakian Delegate on this, since his statements hitherto had been confined to the impossibility of discussing the matter at all. The Danish Delegate was not going to express himself on the Draft Decision, but as it seemed as if those who had drafted the Decision had tried to establish a balanced solution between the two countries concerned - and it seemed to him justified, that if a waiver should be granted to the U.S., then a similar waiver should be granted to Czechoslovakia also - he asked the Delegate of Czechoslovakia, whether he could accept the reciprocal character of the Draft, taking into consideration, that in drafting the Decision it had apparently been attempted to avoid damaging the GATT, and the relations between all countries, as little as possible.

The Danish Delegate further submitted that no decision be taken before delegations had had time to inform their governments of the debate and receive final instructions.