GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE
Contracting Parties
Second Session
Working Party 6 on the United States Proposal
Relating to Western Germany
Report to the Contracting Parties

1. The Working Party held three meetings under the Chair­
manship of Dr. G. GUTIERREZ (Cuba). All members of the
Working Party, namely, Australia, Canada, China, Cuba, France,
the Netherlands, Pakistan, the United Kingdom and the United
States, took an active part in the deliberations, and in
addition the representative for New Zealand informed the

2. The following were the Working Party's terms of
reference:

(a) To consider the appropriateness of the procedure
suggested by the United States having regard to
the Final Note in Annex 1 to the General Agreement
on Tariffs and Trade and to the arguments advanced
in the course of the discussion at this Session.

(b) Having regard to (a) above, to consider the draft
agreement submitted by the United States and to
make recommendations thereon to the Contracting
Parties.

3. The Working Party first considered the appropriateness
of the procedure suggested by the representative of the United
States in the light of the discussions at the 10th and 12th
meetings of the Contracting Parties on the 23rd and 25th of
August.

4. The representative of Australia expressed the view that,
as it was generally accepted that the proposed agreement
would be entirely separate from the General Agreement and
that any difficulties which might arise between signatories
would be matters for adjustment between the signatories con­
cerned, the question was beyond the competence and authority
of the CONTRACTING PARTIES; in the light of these and other
considerations to which he referred it would be inexpedient
and improper for the meeting of the Contracting Parties to
make recommendations regarding the form of the proposed
agreement. The representative of New Zealand stated that his
government held similar views and considered that the agree­
ment proposed by the United States should be taken up
bilaterally with governments interested rather than at meetings
of the Contracting Parties.

5. All other members of the Working Party supported the
proposal of the United States representative to prepare a
multilateral agreement, along the lines of the attached text
and limited in scope to most-favoured-nation treatment for
Western Germany, and wished to proceed with the preparation
of a draft which might be signed by those contracting parties which wished to adhere to it. The representative of China stated that his Government did not wish any agreement that might be signed in respect of western Germany to be accepted as a precedent to be applied later to the trade of Japan.

6. The Working Party then examined the draft agreement proposed by the Government of the United States in document GATT/CP.2/W/5. In submitting to the Contracting Parties the draft agreement contained in the Annex to this Report, the Working Party does not suggest that it should be formally approved. The Working Party believes that the agreement is now in a form in which it will be acceptable to many of the Contracting Parties. It is included in this Report, together with the following explanatory notes on a few points which received particular attention during the discussions, merely for purposes of record.

7. It will be noticed that the Agreement does not provide a fixed date of termination but that in Article I there is provision for the automatic termination of the obligation of all signatories to grant most-favoured-nation treatment in respect of any area of western Germany when such area ceases to be under military occupation or control. Also under Article V signatories can withdraw from the Agreement from January 1, 1951.

8. The Working Party would draw attention to two phrases used in Article I. First, the term "merchandise trade" means trade in goods and is used in preference to "products", which would in this context exclude trade in goods produced elsewhere than in the area concerned, and also in preference to the word "trade" which might be interpreted to include services such as insurance, shipping, etc. Secondly, the reference to the most-favoured-nation provisions of the General Agreement is meant to cover all the provisions of the General Agreement relevant to most-favoured-nation treatment as well as Article I.

9. The Working Party desires to point out that under Article II a signatory would be obligated to extend most-favoured-nation treatment to the occupied areas concerned only for such time and to such extent as its merchandise trade received most-favoured-nation treatment. The standard of the treatment to be accorded is set by the most-favoured-nation provisions of the General Agreement (including the exceptions) and accordingly, under the reciprocity clause of Article II, the same standard would be used to measure the treatment received. If in the judgment of a signatory, that signatory was not actually receiving the most-favoured-nation treatment conforming to the standard, it would not consider itself obligated to grant treatment in accordance with the standard. Differences of view between signatories would naturally, however, be the subject of consultation.

10. A statement by the United States delegation relating to non-tariff trade measures in the U.S.-U.K. Zones of western Germany has been circulated (GATT/CP.2/WP: 6/2). The United States delegation offered to seek from the appropriate authorities, as soon as possible, information as to what, if
any, tariffs are imposed in these zones.

11. With respect to the reference in Article III to "the principles relating to the reduction of tariffs on a mutually advantageous basis which are set forth in the Havana Charter", these provisions are designed to permit a signatory to withhold most-favoured-nation treatment in the event of the failure of an area under occupation - assuming that significant or effective tariffs were to be imposed by such area - to negotiate in accordance with the principles of Article 17 of the Havana Charter and in conformity with the established procedure for tariff negotiations.

12. Article IV was inserted in the Agreement in order that there should be no misunderstanding as to the independence of this Agreement and the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade and the Havana Charter. There would be no obligation upon any Contracting Party to sign this Agreement, and the rights and obligations of any Contracting Party which decides not to sign would not be in any way affected thereby.

13. A third paragraph has been added to Article V to provide for a meeting of signatories on the request of three or more signatories with a view to reviewing the operation of the Agreement and agreeing upon such revisions as may be appropriate.

14. Finally, with respect to the proposed Interpretative Note, the Working Party considers that, as in the case of countervailing duties applied under paragraph 2 of Article VI of the General Agreement, the estimate of the amount of subsidy determined to have been granted would rest with the signatory country applying the countervailing duty, although such an estimate could be questioned by the affected signatory in the course of consultation.
DRAFT AGREEMENT ON MOST-FAVOURED-NATION TREATMENT FOR AREAS OF WESTERN GERMANY UNDER MILITARY OCCUPATION

Being desirous of facilitating to the fullest extent possible the reconstruction and recovery of the world from the destruction wrought by the recent war,

Believing that one of the most important steps toward such reconstruction and recovery on a sound basis is the restoration of international trade in accordance with the principles of the Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization, and

Considering that the application of reciprocal most-favoured-nation treatment to the trade of the areas of Western Germany under military occupation will contribute to the foregoing objectives,

The signatories agree to the following provisions:

Article I

For such time as any signatory of this Agreement participates in the occupation or control of any area in Western Germany, each of the signatories shall accord to the merchandise trade of such area the treatment provided for in the most-favoured-nation provisions of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, dated October 30, 1947, as now or hereafter amended.

Article II

The undertaking by a signatory provided for in Article I shall apply to the merchandise trade of any area referred to therein only for such time and to such extent as such area accords reciprocal most-favoured-nation treatment to the merchandise trade of the territory of such signatory.

Article III

The undertaking in Article I is entered into in the light of the absence, on the date of this Agreement, of effective or significant tariff barriers to imports into the areas referred to therein. In the event that effective or significant tariff barriers are thereafter imposed in any such area, such undertaking shall be without prejudice to the application by any signatory of the principles relating to the reduction of tariffs on a mutually advantageous basis which are set forth in the Havana Charter for an International Trade Organization.

Article IV

The rights and obligations established by this Agreement are to be understood as entirely independent of the rights and obligations which are or may be established by the General Agreement on Tariffs and
Trade or by the Havana Charter.

Article V

1. This Agreement shall be open for signature at Geneva on this day and shall remain open for signature thereafter at the Headquarters of the United Nations. The Agreement shall enter into force for each signatory upon the expiration of 30 days from the day on which such signatory signs the Agreement.

2. The undertakings in this Agreement shall remain in force until January 1, 1951, and, except for any signatory which at least six months before January 1, 1951 shall have deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations a notice in writing of intention to withdraw from this Agreement on that date, they shall remain in force thereafter subject to the right of any signatory to withdraw upon the expiration of six months from the date on which such a notice shall have been so deposited.

3. On the request of any three signatories to this Agreement, and in any event not later than January 1, 1951, the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands shall promptly convene a meeting of all signatories with a view to reviewing the operation of the Agreement and agreeing upon such revisions as may be appropriate.

Article VI

1. The interpretative note to this Agreement shall constitute an integral part thereof.

2. The original of this Agreement shall be deposited with the Secretary-General of the United Nations, who shall send a certified copy thereof to each country to which it is open for signature, and he is authorized to effect registration thereof pursuant to paragraph 1 of Article 102 of the Charter of the United Nations.

3. The Secretary-General shall notify each signatory of the date of each signature of this Agreement subsequent to the date of the Agreement or of any notice of intention to withdraw pursuant to paragraph 2 of Article V.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the respective representatives, duly authorised, have signed this Agreement.

Done at Geneva, in a single copy, in the English and French languages, both texts authentic, this day of September, 1948.

(signatures)
Interpretative Note

It is recognized that the absence of a uniform rate of exchange for the currency of the areas in Western Germany, referred to in Article I may have the effect of indirectly subsidizing the exports of such areas to an extent which it would be difficult to calculate exactly. So long as such a condition exists, and if consultation with the appropriate authorities fails to result within a reasonable time in an agreed solution to the problem, it is understood that it would not be inconsistent with the undertaking in Article I for any signatory to levy a countervailing duty on imports of such goods, equivalent to the estimated amount of such subsidization, where such signatory determines that the subsidization is such as to cause or threaten material injury to an established domestic industry or is such as to prevent or materially retard the establishment of a domestic industry. In circumstances of special urgency, where delay would cause damage which it would be difficult to repair, action may be taken provisionally without prior consultation, on the condition that consultation shall be effected immediately after taking such action.