Corrigenda

Page 2, paragraph 4 (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks)

In the second line delete the words:

"under Article XIV: 1 (g)".

The third, fourth and fifth sentences should read as follows:

"The Canadian delegation would have no objection to a special session at an earlier date in circumstances where important and urgent questions required immediate consideration. The Rules of Procedure already provided for such special session. He did not feel that in present circumstances the prestige of the General Agreement would suffer if there should be a long interval between the sessions. Furthermore, as to the site, the Contracting Parties should as far as possible hold their meetings at the location of their headquarters and avoid inviting criticism by indulging in excursions to seaside resorts".

Page 4, paragraph 3 (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks)

In the second line, after the word "upon", insert the following:

"and also was hardly empowered".

Paragraph 5 (Mr. Dharma Vira's remarks) should read as follows:

"Mr. Dharma Vira (India) was of the view that whilst it was true that the Contracting Parties should not aim at holding more meetings than necessary it would not be right to take a positive decision, at this stage, against holding a short additional session early in summer, if required. A year between two sessions was a very long period and in between important business might arise that might require early attention. If, therefore, in the interest of work, the Contracting Parties decide to hold a short additional session, early in summer, the Government of India would not be opposed to attending it".
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Page 6, last paragraph

Insert in the second line of Mr. COUILLARD's remarks:

"He thought it unwise that any time should be mentioned at present with respect to a possible special session; the GATT Rules of Procedure already covered the question of special sessions."

Page 7, paragraph 3 (Mr. NIMMO's remarks)

Add the following:

"convenience of governments located far from Europe."

Page 8, paragraph 4

After "Mr. IECUYER (France)"

delete "Mr. SVEINBJORNSSON (Denmark)", and after this paragraph insert the following:

"Mr. SVEINBJORNSSON (Denmark) said that in his opinion it was not necessary to have paragraph (a), and by way of presentation it seemed to him preferable not to include (a) but concentrate on (b)."

Paragraph 7 (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks).

Line 4, before the words "serious damages"

insert "contention that"

Line 5, after the words "suffered by"

insert "certain".

Line 8,

delete the words "and it had not".

The last three lines should read as follows:

"He pointed out that it had never been made clear in the past what the understanding of the Contracting Parties was with respect to Article XXIII. 2, namely whether the Contracting Parties acting jointly would have to determine which obligations or concessions would be appropriate for suspension."
Page 9, paragraph 4 (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks)

Line 3,

delete the word "would" and replace it by "might not".

Paragraph 6, (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks) should read as follows:

"Mr. COUILLARD (Canada) agreed with the Danish delegate. He maintained that by the Resolution of October 26, 1951, the right of contracting parties to have recourse to Article XXIII: 2 had been granted, i.e. they had been authorized to suspend the application of obligations or concessions to the United States. Consequently, the sole task of the working party would in fact be to consider the proposed retaliatory action with a view to ensuring a balance being maintained between the damage suffered and the effect of such action. This in fact prejudiced the interpretation of paragraph 2 of Article XXIII with respect to action by Contracting Parties in the case where a contracting party decided to take retaliatory action. He did not think that the Committee should take a position on this question at this time. Consequently he suggested in order to leave the question open that the language proposed in paragraph (b) of the terms of reference: "To consider any requests which may be made...." be changed to: "To receive any notifications which may be made.." As to when the working party should meet, he noted that under the terms of reference the working party would only meet on receipt of a formal complaint by any contracting party and its notification of intention to take retaliatory action."

Page 13, in the heading

Add after "France" the words "South Africa".

Page 15, paragraph 4 (Mr. COUILLARD's remarks)

Delete the word "only" at the end of line 5 and the word "also" in line 6.