1. In paragraph 11 of document L/1442 (Report of the Panel on Subsidies on the operation of the provisions of Article XVI), the Panel suggested that a secretariat table of selected primary products, showing the number of countries which have production and export subsidies and other forms of government financial support affecting production and exports, which had been prepared for the use of the Panel at its April 1961 meeting, should be expanded in the light of further notifications and, subject to comments by individual contracting parties, be circulated as an addendum to the Panel's report.

2. In response to this suggestion, the secretariat prepared a draft addendum (Spec(61)289) and invited comments from the governments concerned. The comments received, together with information from notifications subsequently submitted by contracting parties, have now been incorporated into the above-mentioned paper to serve as an addendum to the Panel's report on the operation of the provisions of Article XVI (L/1442).
### SELECTED PRIMARY PRODUCTS SUBJECT TO PRODUCTION AND/OR EXPORT SUBSIDIES AND OTHER FORMS OF GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL SUPPORT AFFECTING PRODUCTION AND/OR EXPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number of countries maintaining subsidies</th>
<th>Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Australia, Austria, Belgium, Burma, Canada, Ceylon, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Malaya, Netherlands, Norway, Rhodesia and Nyasaland, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland, Tunisia, United Kingdom, United States, Yugoslavia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy products</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Rhodesia and Nyasaland, South Africa, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Israel, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, South Africa, Sweden, United Kingdom, Yugoslavia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Australia, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Indonesia, Japan, Netherlands, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oil-seeds and vegetable oils</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Burma, Canada, Ceylon, France, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Switzerland, Tunisia, United States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Canada, Finland, Germany, Israel, New Zealand, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:**

1. Stabilization payments for wheat are financed by a fund created from levies on exports of wheat. If the fund were insufficient to meet the payments to producers the deficiency would be met by the Government. Government contributions had not taken place up to 30 September 1960.

2. The Governments of Burma, Ceylon, Greece and Rhodesia and Nyasaland have indicated that they did not grant or operate subsidies falling under the scope of Article XVI.
3. The Government of Luxemburg has indicated that there are no subsidies in Luxemburg on agricultural products. So-called "structural subsidies" are, however, applied to those portions of the domestic production which are consumed within the country.

4. In the Netherlands, losses on support purchase operations for butter, cheese, and skim milk powder, and an export subsidy for butter are financed by the Dairy Fund, established by the dairy farmers.

5. The direct export subsidies for milk products, meat and eggs in force in Norway are financed through a fund established by law and which derives its means from levies charged on the sales of concentrated animal feeds to the producers.

6. Although a system of subsidization is in force, no subsidized exports of meat are at present taking place in South Africa.

7. Although the system of price support purchases is in force in Japan for rapeseed and soyabeans, no Government purchases have been recorded in recent years.

8. Although the export rebate scheme is provided in the legislation, the cost of the rebate is borne by the Australian sugar-producing industry.

9. The Government of Denmark holds the view that it is to be doubted whether the Sugar Scheme in force in Denmark is covered by the provisions of Article XVI.

10. By letter of 6 November 1961, the Finnish Government states that in Finland price support paid to producers of sugar beet amounted in 1959 to $4.3 million.

11. The indemnification on a limited quantity of sugar will be abolished as from 1 October 1962 in the Netherlands.

12. The United Kingdom Government has stated that the price guarantee arrangements for sugar beet did not, in the view of the United Kingdom Government, constitute a subsidy within the terms of Article XVI.

General note:

1. The Panel considered that it would probably be impossible to arrive at a definition of subsidies which would at the same time include all measures that fall within the intended meaning of the term in Article XVI (paragraph 23 of L/1442).

2. The Panel suggested that rather than to attempt to prepare a table on production subsidies and a table on export subsidies, it would be more appropriate to prepare a single, consolidated table on both production and export subsidies.
3. Apart from the countries mentioned in paragraph 2 of the above note, the following governments have stated that they did not maintain any subsidy for any kind of products or for the selected primary products listed above: Brazil, Cambodia, Czechoslovakia, Ghana, India, Nicaragua, Pakistan and Portugal.

Source:

The above table has been prepared on the basis of documents listed below with respect to thirty-seven countries.

(a) With respect to the following thirty-one countries, information is derived from recent notifications submitted by individual governments concerned in accordance with the revised questionnaire (L/1315):

1. United States* L/1328 (supplemented by L/1131)
2. Ceylon L/1328/Add.1
3. Finland L/1328/Add.2
4. Turkey L/1328/Add.3 and Corr.1
5. New Zealand L/1328/Add.4
6. India L/1328/Add.5
7. United Kingdom L/1328/Add.6 and Corr.1 & 2 (supplemented by L/964)
8. Canada L/1328/Add.7
9. Australia L/1328/Add.8
10. Denmark L/1328/Add.9 and Corr.1
11. Sweden L/1328/Add.10 (supplemented by L/964/Add.5)
12. Germany, Federal Republic of L/1328/Add.11 (supplemented by L/1131/Add.1)
13. Netherlands L/1328/Add.12
14. Japan L/1328/Add.13 (supplemented by L/1131)
15. Austria L/1328/Add.14
16. Switzerland L/1328/Add.15
17. Luxemburg L/1328/Add.16 (supplemented by COM.II/2(b))
18. Czechoslovakia L/1328/Add.17
19. Rhodesia and Nyasaland L/1328/Add.18
20. Greece L/1328/Add.19/Rev.1
21. Belgium L/1328/Add.20
22. Ghana L/1328/Add.21
23. Norway L/1328/Add.22
24. Nicaragua L/1328/Add.23

*Further notification, of 25 October 1961, received from the United States Government, has been distributed in document L/1597.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.28 (supplemented by COM.II/40(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Malaya</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>L/1328/Add.30 (supplemented by L/1131, COM.II/40(b) and COM.II/43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) With respect to the following six countries, earlier subsidy notifications submitted by individual governments concerned, as well as the background documentation for Committee II, were consulted:

1. Burma       | L/880, COM.II/2(w)/Rev.1, COM.II/31 and Add.1, L/1115
2. France      | L/1131/Add.1, L/1131/Add.1/Corr.1, COM.II/2(k) and Add.1, COM.II/24/Add.1 L/1165
3. Indonesia   | L/880, COM.II/2(u), L/1197
4. Israel      | COM.II/40(f), COM.II/89, L/1320 and Add.1
5. South Africa| L/1131, L/964/Add.1, COM.II/2(r), COM.II/13 and Corr.1, COM.II/13/Add.1, L/1075
6. Yugoslavia  | COM.II/40(d), COM.II/70 and Corr.1, L/1223