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Draft Section on Task 14

Task 14: Continuation of the Study relating to Import Documentation (Chapters 1-99)

1. The Group took up this task at its March meeting and held two meetings at technical level on this subject, on 9-10 May and on 20-21 June 1974. Details of the discussion are contained in Notes by the secretariat (MTN/3B/7 paragraphs 19-21 and MTN/3B/11).

2. There was consensus in the Group that the ultimate goal under this task was the simplification and harmonization of import documents and the data required for customs clearance purposes. Various possible approaches were suggested; one would be the establishment of guidelines or sets of principles, another one the holding of bilateral negotiations on particular measures existing in this field.

3. In connexion with the establishment of guidelines, a proposal was made that the work of the Group should continue in two steps, namely by identifying precisely the nature of the trade problems involved and by examining areas where solutions should be sought. With regard to the first step the problems should be identified under three categories: those arising from (1) the nature or the form of the documentation (2) the information required in it and (3) penalties or procedures related to documentation requirements.
4. The Group agreed that at this stage there was a need to concentrate on the kind of information required by the customs authorities rather than the nature of the documents in which this information might appear. The Group also agreed that, whilst duplication with the work of the Economic Commission for Europe and the Customs Co-operation Council should be avoided, it should nevertheless proceed with a view to formulating - at a later stage - general principles on the type of information required for the clearance of imported goods. The hope was expressed that the ongoing work in these organizations be accelerated. At the same time their work was highly appreciated and with regard to the ECE, the hope was expressed that more countries would support its work and would be able to adopt the ECE lay-out key. A proposal was also made that it would be desirable for the Group to base its future work on paragraph 21 of the draft Annex concerning clearance for Home Use to the CCC's International Convention on the Simplification and Harmonization of Customs Procedures and the ECE Aligned Invoice Requirements (MTN/3B/13 page 7 and MTN/3B/14 pages 2 to 4 respectively).

5. The Group discussed a proposal that customs invoices should be abolished and that commercial invoices and customs entry forms should be the basis for customs clearance. In this connexion, it was proposed that special declarations concerning the correctness of the invoice and the origin of the goods should be required only in cases where they were strictly indispensable; in such cases the text of these declarations should be harmonized. It was pointed out that if the information necessary for customs clearance purposes was included in commercial invoices, then customs invoices would not be required but that in the absence of alternative means to obtain the required information, customs invoices continued to be necessary.
6. The Group exchanged views on a proposal according to which the adoption of the Brussels Definition of Value would contribute to the simplification of customs documentation requirements. Mention was made of the fact that under a recent decision of the Customs Co-operation Council, the acceptance of the Brussels Definition had been made easier for those countries not yet applying it. However, it was pointed out that the Brussels Definition was not necessarily the ideal one and that at any rate under this definition, no standardized documentation existed as yet.

7. It was proposed that the Group examine the possibility of establishing two lists; firstly, a harmonized positive list of items, and secondly a negative list of items which should in no case be included as a permanent feature of import documentation requirements. Clearly, there would remain a "grey" area of items falling outside the two lists but for these a consultation procedure could be established to control any possible harmful trade effects. The positive list would be based on the work already carried out in other international organizations. There was general agreement that this idea should be retained for further reflection and consideration.

8. Delegations from developing countries stressed that priority attention should be given to the elaboration of guidelines for the simplification and harmonization of the requirements for import documentation which create special difficulties for developing countries because of their inability to comply with these requirements. They also stated that developed countries should show greater flexibility in the
application of documentation requirements in respect to imports from the
developing countries. This would enable the latter to cope with the increasingly
difficult regulations.

9. The attention of the Group was drawn to the provisions of Article VIII:1
and the Recommendation of the CONTRACTING PARTIES adopted in 1952 under which all
consular fees and formalities should be abolished. The Group noted with satis­
faction that the 1952 Recommendation had been moderately successful in that a
number of countries had abolished, or were to abolish, these requirements. The
Group also noted that several countries still maintained these restrictions and
that a considerable number of the notifications in the Inventory dealt with
consular formalities and fees. It was for this reason that a proposal for an
interpretative note to Article VIII had been made which would state that consular
formalities be abolished by a date to be agreed upon. As against this, it was
pointed out that the problem of consular formalities was a relatively minor one
and that it was legitimate for countries to request payment for the actual costs
and services rendered. In this connexion it was stated that negotiations should
be limited to practices in this field which were permitted under the General
Agreement and that practices which were illegal should be abolished forthwith.