The authorities of the importing country have a right and an obligation to make decisions on the basis of the best information available during the investigation from whatever source, even where evidence has been supplied by the interested party. The Anti-Dumping Code recognizes the right of the importing country to base findings on the facts available when any interested party refuses access to or does not provide the necessary information within a reasonable period, or significantly impedes the investigation (Article 6:8). However, all reasonable steps should be taken by the authorities of the importing countries to avoid the use of information from unreliable sources.

II

For these reasons the Committee recommends that:

[1. As soon as possible after the initiation of the investigation, the investigating authorities should specify in detail the information required from any directly interested party, and the way in which that information should be structured by the interested party in its response. The investigating authorities should also ensure that the party is aware that if information is not supplied within a reasonable time span, the investigating authorities will be free to make decisions on the basis of the facts available, including those contained in the complaint by the domestic industry.

2. The investigating authorities may also request that an interested party provide its response in a particular medium (e.g. computer tape) or computer language. Where such a request is made, the investigating authorities should consider the reasonable ability of the interested party to respond in the preferred medium, and should not request the company to use for its response a computer system other than that used by the firm. [Response need not be provided in a particular format or medium, if it would entail considerably more costs and trouble for the interested party than providing the required information by other means.]
3. All verifiable information, structured as requested by the investigating authorities, supplied in a timely fashion, and where applicable, supplied in the medium requested by the investigating authorities should be taken into account when findings are made. Where a party does not respond in the preferred medium because it is unable to do so, this should not be considered to significantly impede the investigation.]

[4. Where the investigating authorities do not have the ability to process information if provided in a particular medium (e.g. computer tape) the information should be supplied in the form of written material or any other form acceptable to the investigating authorities.]

5. Even though the information provided may not be ideal in all respects this factor, in itself, should not justify the investigating authorities from disregarding it since the interested party may have acted to the best of its ability.

6. If evidence or information is not accepted, the supplying party should be informed forthwith of the reasons thereof and have an opportunity to provide further explanations [within a reasonable period, due account being taken of the time-limits of the investigations]. If the explanations are not satisfactory, the reasons for rejection of such evidence or information should be given in any published findings.

7. If the investigating authorities have to base their findings on information from a secondary source, including the information supplied in the complaint, they should do so with special circumspection. In such cases, the authorities should check the reasonableness of the information from other independent sources at their disposal, such as published price lists, official import statistics and customs returns, and from the information obtained from other interested parties during the investigation. It is clear, however, that if an interested party does not co-operate and thus relevant information is being withheld from the investigating authorities this situation could lead to a result which is less favourable to the party than if the party did co-operate.