1. Article VI:6 of the General Agreement provides that no contracting party shall levy any anti-dumping duty on the importation of any product of the territory of another contracting party unless it determines that the effect of dumping is such as to 'threaten material injury to an established domestic industry'. Thus, the GATT recognizes that there are certain limited circumstances in which anti-dumping action is justified even before injury has actually materialized, as well as the danger of taking an anti-dumping action too easily and without sufficient evidence of injury or threat of injury. Nevertheless Article VI:1 recognizes that dumping is to be condemned if it threatens material injury to an established industry in the territory of a contracting party.

2. However, Article 3:6 of the Anti-Dumping Code cautions that "a determination of threat of injury shall be based on facts and not merely on allegation, conjecture, or remote possibility. The change in circumstances which would create a situation in which the dumping would cause injury must be clearly foreseen and imminent." One example given is when there is a convincing reason to believe that there will be, in the immediate future, substantially increased importations of the product at dumped prices.

3. The change in circumstances of which Article 3:6 speaks may also occur during an anti-dumping investigation. Even where the basis for the initiation of an anti-dumping investigation was sufficient evidence of threat of material injury (as well as dumping and causal link), actual material injury may have occurred by the end of the investigation, when the final determination concerning injury is made.

4. On the other hand the change in circumstances during an anti-dumping investigation may also lead to a situation of neither threat of injury nor material injury.

5. It is important to domestic producers that anti-dumping procedures and anti-dumping relief be available in cases where dumping and threat of material injury are present but before injury has actually materialized, as Article VI of the General Agreement recognizes. However, as the Anti-Dumping
Code provides, anti-dumping relief based on the threat of injury must be confined to those cases where the conditions of trade clearly indicate that material injury will occur imminently if demonstrable trends in trade adverse to domestic industry continue, or if clearly foreseeable adverse events occur.

6. Thus, for a determination of threat of injury to be made consistent with Article 3:6, the predicted future injury must be "clearly foreseen", and must also be "imminent". In addition dumping must have taken place.

7. As any prediction of future injury is based on a forecast of likely effects in the marketplace, an examination of whether future injury is "clearly foreseen" must focus on the reasonableness and reliability of different forecasts.

8. Moreover no matter how reliable a forecast of future injury might be, the time when that injury will actually materialize may be too remote to merit the taking of anti-dumping action. The determination of whether future injury is "imminent" in this context must depend on the facts and commercial realities in each case.

9. In making a determination regarding threat of material injury, with due regard to Article 3 of the Anti-Dumping Code, the administering authority should consider inter alia such factors as:

   - a significant rate of increase of dumped imports into the domestic market indicating the likelihood of substantially increased importations thereof;

   - sufficient freely disposable capacity of the exporter indicating the likelihood of substantially increased dumped exports to the importing country's market taking into account the availability of other export markets to absorb any additional exports;

   - whether exports are entering at prices that will have a significant depressing or suppressing effect on domestic prices, and would likely increase demand for further exports; and

   - inventories in the importing country of the product being investigated.

It is understood that no one of these factors by itself can necessarily give decisive guidance but that the totality of factors considered must lead to the conclusion that further dumped exports are imminent and that unless protective action is taken, material injury would occur.