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Revision

1. At the June 1977 meeting of the Sub-Group "Government Procurement", some participants elaborated on the views expressed on specific issues by individual delegations during the discussion at the March meeting which were summarized in MTN/NTM/W/96 in the form of a checklist of points. In so doing, they raised a number of additional points and offered comments and suggestions with respect to solutions which might be appropriate in the area of government procurement. The Sub-Group agreed that the secretariat should be asked to revise the checklist on the basis of the additional comments, suggestions and proposals made in the course of the examination (MTN/NTM/33, paragraphs 4 and 6).

2. The present note has been prepared by the secretariat on its own responsibility in the light of this request, utilizing broadly the headings contained in document MTN/NTM/W/74 concerning a list of elements that might be taken up for examination in the Sub-Group. In this connexion, it may be recalled that it was understood that members should continue to feel free at future meetings to raise for consideration any additional points they might wish to take up (MTN/NTM/33, paragraph 6). The Sub-Group further agreed that delegations be invited to submit, in time for circulation before the next meeting, draft provisions relating to specific issues including possibilities for differential treatment for developing countries, which might be included in a code on government procurement. The submission of such draft provisions would not prejudice the negotiating position of any delegation in any area of the negotiations on government procurement (MTN/NTM/33, paragraph 7).

3. It may be noted that the Sub-Group has agreed that at its next meeting it will focus its attention on the issues under consideration with the assistance of the draft provisions and the other background documentation available to it (MTN/NTM/33, paragraph 8).
A. OBJECTIVES AND PRINCIPLES

(i) General considerations

4. Emphasizing the importance of reaching an agreement in the area of government procurement, some delegations recalled:

- the magnitude of trade involved;
- the potential for future growth of government procurement;
- the link between government procurement and other trade barriers and the need for effective action in as wide an area as possible if the objectives of the Tokyo Declaration are to be achieved;
- the need for the progressive and equitable liberalization of government procurement;
- the need for a reasonable balance of advantages for all participants in the negotiations on government procurement;
- the importance of differential and more favourable treatment for developing countries where this was feasible and appropriate.

5. Some delegations recalled the favourable experience of their countries arising from regional co-operation in the field of government procurement. It was stated that this regional co-operation had produced results reaching beyond the membership of the organization concerned and had already made a contribution to the expansion of international trade. It had also demonstrated that an international agreement containing a global balance of rights and obligations was feasible.

(ii) Objectives

6. Some delegations stated that the objective of the work on government procurement should be to provide for:

- international competition;
- the application of commercial considerations in this area taking into account the aims and provisions of the Tokyo Declaration.

7. These objectives could, in the view of some delegations, be attained through an agreement containing, inter alia, provisions relating to:

- the principle of non-discrimination in respect of foreign products and suppliers;
- the assurance of transparency in terms of national policies, practices and procedures;
- differential and more favourable treatment for developing countries;
- surveillance;
- dispute settlement.

8. Some delegations noted that opportunities resulting from increased access to markets of developed countries on the basis of non-discrimination alone might not be sufficient to enable developing country suppliers to take advantage of trade possibilities in the area of government procurement.

9. With regard to the principle of non-discrimination it was stated by some delegations that this principle should apply:
- to the largest possible portion of goods and related services purchased by governments for their own use;
- not only with respect to foreign products and suppliers but equally between third country suppliers.

10. With regard to the assurance of transparency it was stated that the objective should be to ensure that the principle of non-discrimination was upheld as in this respect transparency appeared to be relevant to virtually all purchasing procedures, as well as to the functioning of surveillance and dispute settlement.

11. A balance between rights and obligations was mentioned by many delegations as a major objective for the negotiations in the Sub-Group. Some delegations stated that an important objective would be to achieve global reciprocity in the field of government procurement as between developed countries. With respect to developing countries, some delegations suggested that opportunities for increased access to markets of developed countries as well as of other developing countries and an increased share of developed countries' imports relating to government procurement was an important objective.

12. A further objective mentioned by one delegation was the maintenance of a balance between the aims of a code and the efficiency of purchasing procedures as practised by individual countries. In this respect, it was noted by some delegations that the variety of methods used to favour domestic suppliers represented one of the major problems to be dealt with in negotiating an effective elimination of procurement restrictions.

(iii) Approaches to possible solutions

13. There was a broad measure of agreement that an overall solution might take the form of a code on government procurement embodying a set of detailed provisions and commitments covering the various issues that arise for consideration in this area (MTN/NTM/33, paragraph 5).
14. Some delegations made the following observations:

- a code which would multilaterally govern the field of government procurement should be of a binding character constituting an appropriate basis for an equitable liberalization of government procurement;

- national legislation, rules and practices which gave a preference or reserved purchases to domestic suppliers should be abolished or modified;

- there were a number of provisions in the GATT which were relevant to government procurement. The need for strengthening these provisions was also mentioned;

- the legal nature and structure of provisions to be negotiated and the relations between the objectives of an agreement on government procurement and the provisions of the General Agreement to be carefully examined at the appropriate time;

- an agreement should consist of four key sets of provisions: (a) non-discrimination between domestic and foreign products and suppliers and between foreign products and suppliers; in this connexion reference was made, for illustrative purposes, to the provisions of Articles I, III and XVII of the General Agreement; (b) the nature and content of trade to be liberalized, including a threshold and a list of purchasing entities that would be covered by the code; (c) transparency aimed at ensuring that national purchasing policies are administered and seen to be administered on a non-discriminatory basis and which might be elaborated having regard to paragraphs 15 to 34 of the OECD Draft Instrument and taking into account Articles VIII, IX and X of the General Agreement; and (d) surveillance and dispute settlement, where a good basis could be derived from long GATT experience as well as the discussions taking place and proposals being put forward in the multilateral trade negotiations;

- Article XVIII of the GATT was also relevant in so far as more favourable treatment for developing countries was concerned, because in most developing countries government procurement was being used as an instrument of government policy aimed at influencing and stimulating economic and industrial development, taking into account problems of employment, balance of payments, etc.

- while a large number of solutions might be conceivable, a useful basis was already available, both as a result of work done earlier in the GATT Committee on Trade in Industrial Products and in particular the work done in the OECD on a draft instrument aiming at the establishment of a comprehensive set of rights and obligations,
while it could be one of the documents to be taken into account by the Sub-Group, the OECD Draft Instrument should not be accorded any special priority or be considered as a starting point for deliberations in the Sub-Group. It would be difficult for developing countries, as importers, to undertake and subscribe to obligations of the kind contained in the OECD Draft Instrument;

- government procurement should be considered from a somewhat wider perspective than has been done in the past and as reflected in the OECD Draft Instrument. To provide greater opportunities for smaller countries, there should, inter alia, be no discrimination between third country suppliers.

(iv) Differential and more favourable treatment for developing countries

15. Some delegations said that they were prepared to study, in a positive spirit, any concrete suggestions for differential treatment put forward by developing countries in the area of government procurement.

16. The following were among the suggestions made by certain delegations:

- in conformity with paragraph 5 of the Tokyo Declaration, the contributions of developing countries should not be inconsistent with their development, financial and trade needs;

- government purchasing was an ideal area where governments could intervene directly in favour of developing countries in order to provide them with more favourable treatment;

- there was a need to see how greater participation by suppliers from developing countries in tenders called by governments, or their agencies, of developed and developing countries might be ensured. In this respect, a major problem could be the lack of knowledge and information about the precise procedural formalities which had to be conformed with in order to submit a bid. Access to documentation, sufficient time-limits for new and distant suppliers to tender, and technical assistance were all important questions that would need to be thoroughly examined;

- if all countries were willing to open their procurement systems, the trade of developing countries could also be expected to benefit as government procurement, on the basis of the best value per tax unit expended in this area, was in the interest of all countries, including those with scarce financial resources;
special and differential treatment should be sought consistently with the
general progress made. As the work proceeded towards the identification of
problems in this area and in the elaboration of multilateral solutions, it
would be important to take into account the needs of developing countries and
to examine the concrete possibilities for extending differential treatment
where feasible and appropriate.

- an appropriate balance-of-payments provision might be one way of dealing with
certain of the issues developing countries had raised with respect to their
own purchases in the field of government procurement.

17. It was further suggested by some delegations that if tied aid was replaced by
that of untied or partially untied aid in relation to projects in the field of
government purchasing, and this principle was written into any arrangement on govern­
ment procurement, it would be of great help to developing countries, and would give
impetus to the currently evolving idea that there should be an expansion of trade
among them. In this respect, it was noted that tied aid had been a major factor in
the creation of an environment in which developing countries were forced to act in
a discriminatory fashion due to circumstances outside their control as evidenced by
some of the notifications contained in document MTN/NTM/W/16.

18. Some delegations stated that certain of the problems faced by developing coun­
tries as exporters, stemmed from their lack of knowledge about the opportunities that
existed in the area of international procurement, and from the operation of and
competition from large multinational corporations. Both these drawbacks were
exacerbated in respect of developing countries through their lack of the necessary
human, financial and economic resources.

19. As indicated below, certain specific suggestions bearing on the possibilities
for differential treatment for developing countries were also made in relation to
a number of issues which may be subject to negotiation in the area of government
procurement.

B. DEFINITIONS

20. Some delegations felt that the concept of government procurement required
defining because of its impact on the field of possible application. It was also
stated that definitions could play an important role in elaborating a solution and
assessing its overall impact on the global balance of commitments.
21. Among views expressed by some delegations were the following:

- with regard to the definition of government procurement the provisions of Article III:8(a) of the General Agreement should suffice;
- government procurement should be defined as procurement of products by a procurement entity or entities for its or their own use and not with a view to commercial resale or with a view to use in the production of goods for commercial sale;
- definitions should be kept as simple as possible. Some delegations said that complicated definitions tended to increase the number of disputes of interpretation;
- while Article III:8(a) could be taken as a basis, a number of problems remained to be dealt with including, inter alia, the fact that the end use of a product purchased by a central government unit was not always easy to check, that some purchases might be made by entities not directly controlled by governments, etc.

C. COVERAGE

(i) Procurement entities

22. Some delegations stated that with regard to the degree of government control, budgetary independence, etc., the position of procurement entities (centralized, decentralized, para-governmental, public companies, public utilities) could vary significantly between countries depending on a number of factors such as differences in constitutional or administrative structures, administrative organization, etc. Some delegations believed that these differences posed difficult questions which would require careful examination at a later stage once the general outline of the obligations that would be incurred under the code had become clear, having in mind the objective of an overall balance of commitments. The view was also expressed that it may be necessary to conduct negotiations on purchasing entities, thresholds and certain other provisions of a code side by side because of the close interrelationship between such matters.

23. Among other points made were the following:

- procurement entities included in any arrangement should cover as wide an area as possible;
- an important task would be to find an equilibrium between obligations with respect to centralized and federal types of administrative structures;
the scope of procurement entities to which obligations would apply should be limited to those entities whose procurement operations were under the direct control of the central government; public corporations and the like would not fall within this category;

- as a starting point all entities under the direct control of governments should be the subject of negotiations for inclusion on a list;

- an extension of this approach might perhaps be conceivable on a reciprocal basis;

- because of the great variety of legal situations governing procurement entities, the possibility of different levels of obligations for different categories of entities might be examined;

- because of the differences in constitutional, economic and administrative structures, there could be no reciprocal balance if one automatically included all procurement entities subject to direct government control under a strict commitment;

- the aim should be to find a balanced solution based on a negotiated list of entities. This should not imply, however, a quantitative balance but would mean that member countries enjoyed equal benefits. A clause on "best endeavours" could be appropriate where authorities outside government control were concerned;

- in the context of negotiations to determine which entities were to be covered, broad exclusions of public entities which were considered major potential buyers would not be favoured. The coverage should be as comprehensive as possible with regard to entities whose purchasing practices fell under the direct or indirect control of central governments. The operations of quasi-government entities whose purchasing policies often favoured domestic suppliers would also need to be considered in order to eliminate trade distortion to the maximum extent possible;

- in relation to a possible "best endeavours" provision applying to entities not covered by mandatory provisions, it was necessary to consider how meaningful such a provision might be in view of the multiplicity of constitutional relationships between the federal and State governments and between the central and local authorities. A "best endeavours" provision would not be capable of uniform application and benefits accruing from it might not be easily measured;

- as regards differential treatment in this field, developing countries should be able to include only those purchasing entities whose supply requirements had of necessity to be met from foreign sources.
(ii) The value threshold

(a) The function of the threshold

24. With regard to the function of the threshold it was suggested by some delegations that:

- at least two different kinds of threshold were conceivable: (i) one which would exempt contracts below the threshold from the scope of the agreement and (ii) the other which would exempt contracts below the threshold from the procedural rules;
- the threshold also might be useful as a measure for indicating where surveillance procedures would apply;
- purchases below the threshold should be governed by the general principle of non-discrimination and should be exempted only from procedural rules. Such a solution would demand a certain mutual trust between governments;
- it was difficult to see how the objective of non-discrimination could apply below the threshold value if no procedures were available for ensuring the application of non-discrimination;
- the question of whether to adhere to the non-discrimination principle also below the threshold was closely related to the formulation of an escape clause;
- effects of the threshold could vary according to the scope and coverage of procurement entities and the differences in procurement practices among countries.

(b) The magnitude of the threshold

25. A number of delegations suggested that the threshold level may need to be determined in negotiations which might be facilitated when a clearer idea was obtained of the obligations that may be involved under a code on government procurement. In relation to the size of the threshold some delegations made the following suggestions:

- under a high threshold there was a risk that an important percentage of trade would be excluded and the threshold should therefore be set as low as was administratively feasible. A value of US$50,000 was indicated by way of example;
- a relatively high value threshold was preferred in order to limit the application of the procedural rules in cases of minor economic value;
since developing countries could often be interested in tenders of low value, the application of the lowest value threshold, which was administratively feasible, would not only be more in accord with their supply potential, but also preserve for them the benefits of transparency, which the imposition of a high value would deny them;

if it were not possible to have a low value threshold of general application, developing countries would need differential treatment to the extent that the value threshold applicable to them should be lower in comparison to that applicable to developed countries;

a distinction might be made between recurring and non-recurring purchases. For recurring contracts in the OECD draft, the sum of the initial supply and of those made during the subsequent twelve months shall be taken as a basis. Another way of dealing with this problem might be to enjoin upon participating countries that for the purpose of thresholds for recurring purchases, the size of the purchase for the annual requirement should be taken into consideration.

D. NON-DISCRIMINATION

(i) Existing provisions

26. It was suggested by some delegations that existing discriminatory provisions in the field of government procurement should be eliminated:

- because the principle of non-discrimination with respect to foreign products was the key principle in a possible multilateral instrument on government procurement;
- because the achievement of global reciprocity was a major objective.

(ii) Transparency

27. It was suggested also that in order to ensure that national purchasing policies were administered as well as seen to be administered on a non-discriminatory basis transparency would be a key feature of any instrument. Among the points made in this connexion were the following:

- transparency was relevant to, inter alia, the following purchasing procedures: qualification of suppliers under open and selective procedures; notice of proposed purchases; time-limits permitted for submitting bids; contents of tender documents; the submission of tenders; conditions with respect to the receipt and opening of tenders; conditions upon which awards are made; information made available to the public and to governments on the award of contracts;
the transparency objective was mainly related to ex-ante publicity. In this respect, the extent of ex-post information would need careful consideration;

rules covering various areas of government procurement formulated having regard to the objective of transparency should permit some flexibility for individual countries having also in mind observance of the principle of non-discrimination;

the application of this concept should not burden developing countries with excessive cost or the unwarranted use of scarce resources. In addition, developing countries, members of regional economic groupings, should be permitted to limit transparency in respect of third countries where it was considered more appropriate to provide full information to partners in their groupings.

(iii) Non-discrimination and transparency in government procurement procedures

28. When discussing general questions which arose in this area some delegations suggested that:

- each signatory country should have flexibility in adopting procurement procedures consistent with its domestic situation while respecting the principle of non-discrimination;

- the current situation was characterized by two main approaches - one system providing for open procurement but often with clearly stated percentage preferences for domestic suppliers and the other applying a more subtle method of discrimination through such practices as lack of advance notice of purchases, onerous conditions for foreign suppliers seeking to qualify as bidders, refusal to entertain foreign supplier complaints or even respond to those complaints and the operation of procurement systems in a highly invisible fashion so that it was nearly impossible to determine what was going on in day-to-day operations. Any proposed solution in the area of government procurement should take account of these two primary methods of restrictive procurement now in existence;

- as regards the field to be covered by the code, a formula should be sought which would provide for the elimination of discrimination between domestic and foreign goods and producers as well as between foreign goods and producers;

- in this connexion it might be useful at the appropriate time to examine the legal relationship between a code and the provisions of the General Agreement with respect to the principle of non-discrimination.
29. Certain delegations commented on the question of regional co-operation. In this respect it was suggested that:

- it was necessary to take into account regional agreements covering, inter alia, government procurement in order to find a solution to this specific primarily legal problem. As regional agreements were not limited to government procurement, but formed part of wider co-operation and could be more far reaching than a code that might be agreed upon in the MTN, it would not be reasonable to presuppose an automatic extension of the treatment of goods and producers under a regional agreement to countries which were not members of it;

- regional arrangements went far beyond government procurement and were permitted under Article XXIV. There was therefore no question of extending treatment given under such agreements in this specific field automatically to other countries.

30. Having regard to the objectives of economic development, the importance of public sector purchasing as a motor for industrialization and balance-of-payments problems, some other delegations suggested, inter alia, that while they subscribed to the principle of non-discrimination, provision should be made in any arrangement:

- for extending more favourable treatment in the markets of developed countries to bidders and products from developing countries;

- for developing countries to provide in their own markets more favourable treatment for their domestic suppliers, and suppliers from other developing countries so as to facilitate the expansion of their mutual trade.

31. As regards ways in which more favourable treatment in the markets of developed countries could be given to developing countries, it was suggested, inter alia, that, for purposes of making comparisons between bids, the offers of developing country suppliers should be considered excluding import duties.

(iv) Procurement techniques

32. The following suggestions were made by some delegations:

- purchasing procedures should not be too detailed or administrativelycumbersome, but allow for flexibility while maintaining the basic principle of non-discrimination;
- A set of common guidelines should be formulated covering the various tendering procedures; however, purchasing procedures should be made as simple as possible so as not to constitute in particular an impediment to developing countries;

- Efforts should be made to increase the use of open procedures, and to liberalize practices used when keeping lists of suppliers under selective tendering;

- The open tender technique should be the general rule, including for purchases made on a recurring basis, since it best met the requirement of transparency and was of major importance if developing countries were to participate adequately in the procurement of developed countries. "Single" and selective tendering should be limited to prescribed categories, such as for security and emergency situations;

- It was important to make provision for selective tendering because there were many cases where only a few firms would be able to meet the requirements laid down. Government entities knowing this, and having a number of competent suppliers available, should not be obliged to take on the burden of open tender procedures in these situations;

- Reasons for the use of negotiated procurement should be examined.

33. The question of private contracts or "single tender" was taken up in some interventions:

- The practice of placing contracts with a single source without competition should be limited to conditions of extreme urgency or in buying unique products which could only be obtained from a sole source, possibly in cases where patent rights were held by that firm. There was no reason to use direct purchases, for example in the case of follow-up orders for the sake of standardization, when the question of "urgency" was not relevant;

- Single tender should be permitted not only in emergency situations or when it was evident that there was only a sole producer of a certain product, but also for example when a purchase involved products of a research and development project and when there was no bidder which met the specifications under open or selective tender;

- While some delegations were for the moment not in a position to express views on situations where negotiated procurement (marché de gré à gré) might be acceptable, they felt that a limitative list of such situations could be considered.
34. It was suggested by one delegation that under open procedures - normally entailing sealed bids offering standardized products with the award being based on price - there should be public opening of bids and the disclosure of names of bidders and prices to assure impartial treatment. One delegation suggested that a set of common guidelines should be developed with regard to the system of filing and opening of bids. Another delegation made a reservation on this point.

(v) Timing

35. In commenting on the time interval required between the calling for bids and the closing of tenders, the following points were made by certain delegations:

- timing was an essential element of transparency;
- provision should be made for an adequate lead-time for foreign and distant suppliers to prepare and submit bids before the closing date;
- the legitimate needs, both of distant suppliers, in particular developing country suppliers, and procurement entities, needed to be taken into account when determining time-limits;
- certain structural difficulties encountered by developing countries should be borne in mind in relation to the time interval between the calling for bids and the closing of tenders;
- it was of particular importance to avoid discrimination, not only with respect to foreign suppliers but also between third-country suppliers in connexion with time-limits for the preparation and submission of bids;
- while it may not be appropriate to specify the actual time interval desired between the calling of tenders and the closing of bids, a code could contain recommendations in this respect. For example, each purchasing entity might be left to determine the interval, but this should be able to withstand the test that sufficient time is given to suppliers from third countries, especially from developing countries, for them to submit their tenders.

(vi) Conditions of participation

36. It was suggested by some delegations that the principle of non-discrimination should apply with regard to participation conditions in order to avoid the introduction of elements of discrimination either through the criteria and conditions
attached to participation in tenders or through the criteria attached to the products in question. The point was made that rules for participation should not jeopardize administrative effectiveness. Attention was also drawn to the importance of avoiding provisions regarding participation conditions which could discriminate between third-country suppliers.

37. Among the more detailed comments were the following:

- no undue or discriminatory requirements should be placed on foreign suppliers becoming qualified suppliers;
- a set of common guidelines should be developed with regard to questions concerning tender deposits, charges and guarantees, etc.;
- provisions or guidelines were desirable to prevent discrimination through the way in which technical characteristics or product specifications were prescribed;
- developed countries should not utilize regulations relating to transportation, insurance, storage, etc., so as to adversely affect developing-country interests and opportunities in the field of government procurement;
- all tenders which met specified and published requirements should be considered for award;
- all criteria for the evaluation of bids should appear in invitations to bid. The evaluation of bids should be based only on announced criteria.

38. It was suggested by some delegations that foreign suppliers should not be faced with a language impediment which might inhibit their effective participation in international procurement. One delegation, in recalling the large number of tenders invited each year by the relevant authorities in his country, pointed to the practical difficulties involved in the translation of tender documentation.

(vii) Ex-ante information

39. With regard to ex-ante information, some delegations made the following points:

- that adequate information should be made available when giving notice of intent to purchase;
- that emphasis should be put on this aspect in seeking to achieve transparency;
that it was important to make the information available in the most commonly used languages;

that the purchasing entity should supply information on what it intended to buy in a publication of wide circulation and that a provision should be included which would entitle interested suppliers to make enquiries concerning any aspect of a particular procurement and be assured of a prompt response to such enquiries;

that because price was often only one factor in government procurement, the establishment of procedures with regard to ex-ante information was important, in particular with respect to conditions and criteria for award.

(viii) Ex-post information and publicity

40. The main points raised under this heading by certain delegations were the following:

in order to ensure transparency in the operation of procurement systems, some form of ex-post information would be required whereby bidders should have access to the name of the supplier receiving the award and the value of the contract, etc.;

an extensive system of ex-post information should not be needed to ensure the desired transparency;

some ex-post information would be required for surveillance and dispute settlement purposes and should be considered in connexion with the formulation of procedures with regard to these two elements;

periodic reporting under surveillance procedures providing details of accumulated values of procurement by product categories and, perhaps, by origin of suppliers, might ensure sufficient transparency through informing potential suppliers as to what a country was buying and how it actually conducted its purchases;

while the exact content of ex-post information required examination, in practical terms a transparent system which provided for ex-ante information, time-limits, participation conditions, etc., could obviate the necessity for ex-post information; in no event should confidential information on awarded contracts be published, keeping in mind the need to avoid price collusion in subsequent bidding. Publication of awards would not necessarily increase transparency, as price was seldom a decisive factor in government procurement;
to determine whether full and fair consideration had been given to a bid, the objective should be to permit bidders access to information and criteria used during the evaluation process. In this connexion, it was suggested that such disclosures would actually promote competition and that the fear of possibilities for price collusion in subsequent bidding was unfounded;

- the existing practices of individual countries should be respected and the practice, if followed, of inviting all bidders as witnesses at the opening of bids might be sufficient to secure transparency;

- sufficient ex-post information might be provided through the public opening of bids and the announcement of the main parts of successful bids;

- the procuring entity, on request by an interested unsuccessful bidder, might communicate relevant information about the winning bid, such as the price and the name of the winner as well as other information which the entity deemed possible to reveal, that might explain why the bid of the unsuccessful supplier had not been accepted;

- an unsuccessful bidder should be given a certain amount of information necessary to satisfy his concerns, governments should be free to exchange with other governments the kind of information deemed necessary, and in cases of disputes on the interpretation of the code at multilateral level, the dispute settlement body would need ex-post information, the content and communication of which would have to be discussed;

- reservations were expressed with regard to the need for publishing information concerning "single" tenders;

- the establishment of a clearing house for information might lighten the financial burden of developing countries participating actively in the operation of a code on government procurement.

E. EXCEPTIONS

41. The question of exceptions was referred to by a number of delegations:

- no exceptions should be permitted except for national security purposes;

- a careful examination of the scope of application of the particular concept of security exceptions was needed;

- there was an evident need for independence when purchasing essential goods of military importance or goods deemed indispensable for national defence or other strategic purposes;
exceptions or waivers should be provided when necessary to take care of the needs of developing countries;

- instead of basing exceptions on a list of products it would be preferable to do so on the basis of certain specific circumstances, e.g., security, development and financial needs;

- exceptions should be taken up at a later stage.

F. DEROGATIONS AND/OR ESCAPE CLAUSE

42. The following points were mentioned by some delegations during the discussions:

- the aim of government purchasing should be to obtain the best value for public funds spent and not to pursue various socio-economic objectives, e.g., the protection of infant industries, etc. Exceptions and derogations taken together could undermine the whole purpose of negotiating rules on government procurement;

- the only valid basis for resorting to derogations and/or escape clause procedures could be provided by requirements of national security;

- it would perhaps be unrealistic to expect a final solution without any derogations and/or an escape clause;

- an escape clause was desirable and should be formulated in a way that would make a list of specific derogations unnecessary;

- unlike security exceptions, any derogations should be temporary, taking into account the importance of the problems at hand; if a safeguard clause was to be established, any safeguard action thereunder should be temporary and exceptional and subject to international surveillance, etc.;

- the view was also expressed by one delegation that these questions should be examined at a later stage in relation to other major elements of any arrangement, such as the principle of non-discrimination and transparency.

G. PUBLICATION OF GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS

43. A number of delegations felt that considerations of non-discrimination, transparency, surveillance and dispute settlement in practice called for the periodic compilation, publication and circulation of laws and regulations, including any modifications which might be made to them, by the appropriate body; notification procedures might be adopted in this respect. Some delegations also referred to the importance of technical assistance to developing countries in this context.
H. REPORTING, REVIEW AND COMPLAINT PROCEDURES, ETC.

(i) Surveillance

44. A number of delegations stated that effective surveillance machinery was important to the question of administering an arrangement in the field of government procurement; it should reduce the risk of countries having their rights impaired, more particularly since discrimination was currently often the rule in this area and taking into account that a solution would extend beyond the present text of the General Agreement. In this connexion it was suggested that there was a possibility of limiting many disputes if those concerned were able to check whether there was cause for complaint with an effective monitoring body acting as a clearing house receiving information on tenders called, bids made and contracts awarded.

45. Some delegations suggested that:

- surveillance should be examined in relation to other major elements of any arrangement;
- the relationship of surveillance in this area to a more general surveillance system which might be established under the GATT would need examination at the appropriate time;
- government procurement had rather special features which needed a specially designed surveillance and dispute settlement procedure;
- for surveillance as well as for dispute settlement purposes it would be appropriate to collect certain ex-post information including statistical information on a regular basis including origin of suppliers and products purchased;
- on the question of the statistical information which may be required for surveillance purposes, including its coverage and level of detail, this might be considered at a later stage of the negotiations on government procurement.

46. It was also suggested that as surveillance implied the need to establish a supervisory body, the functions of such a body might include, inter alia, (a) serving as a clearing house to receive and disseminate notifications of changes in procurement rules; (b) providing guidelines for the collection and publication of data on contract awards based on an agreed format; (c) conducting periodic reviews and considering possibilities for amending or adding to an agreement.
(ii) Dispute settlement

47. In addressing themselves to dispute settlement, some delegations raised the following points:

- it seemed appropriate to examine this issue at a later stage in the negotiations;
- the basis already existed for moving expeditiously to drafting provisions for dispute settlement;
- it was to be hoped and expected that most complaints and disputes would be satisfactorily dealt with at the national level, or through bilateral consultations or discussions;
- a system providing for voluntary arbitration might also be feasible;
- there should exist a possibility for bringing disputes to a multilateral level for examination in a surveillance body in which all participating countries would be represented. This body could if desirable establish an ad hoc sub-committee to examine specific cases in detail and report to the surveillance body which would be responsible for drawing conclusions;
- effective dispute settlement procedures would help restore the balance of rights and obligations and reduce the risk of future impairment of rights;
- it was envisaged that every effort should be made to resolve disputes without resort to sanctions;
- there was need for a body which could, inter alia, interpret the arrangement if disputes were not settled below the multilateral level. Without prejudice to the form of machinery which might be adopted, the question of interpretation of the arrangement should not be left to the parties concerned nor to a panel but should be reserved to a body representative of membership of the arrangement;
- disputes not resolved in a Committee on Government Procurement could be referred to a permanent panel that might be established in GATT as a result of the multilateral trade negotiations in order to ascertain facts, determine whether there was a breach of obligations and make necessary recommendations on how to settle the dispute and restore the balance of rights and obligations at the highest possible level;
- the permanent panel mentioned above could be composed of non-governmental persons qualified in the field of trade relations and other matters covered by a Code on Government Procurement from whom the Director-General and the Chairman of the Committee on Government Procurement might select a certain number to deal with any dispute;
because of the special character of government procurement it might not be feasible to entrust such matters as dispute settlement to a permanent body of general experts, if such a body were to be established;

if a surveillance body were to be constituted for government procurement, its terms of reference should enable it to dispose of complaints and cases expeditiously with minimum cost since developing countries could ill afford long periods of dispute settlement;

deadlines should be established for limiting the amount of time a dispute could be dealt with bilaterally and subsequently in the relevant body or bodies.

I. OTHER ELEMENTS

Some delegations proposed that two additional elements (which had already been included in the Draft Standards Code) should be taken up for consideration, namely, the provision of technical assistance and the establishment of enquiry or information points, both of which could be of considerable importance to developing countries in the field of government procurement. References to these aspects have been made in earlier paragraphs.

J. STATISTICAL INFORMATION

The question of whether statistical information might be sought from participants in the near future in order to facilitate negotiations in the area of government procurement was also raised. Some delegations felt that a certain amount of statistical data on government procurement operations would be needed for negotiating purposes as well as for seeking modifications at a later stage in domestic legislation, in the light of the results of the negotiations on government procurement. While prepared to discuss and examine this suggestion further in the Sub-Group and recognizing that some statistics would probably be required for negotiating purposes such as with respect to lists of entities, etc. some delegations expressed certain reservations as to the utility of attempting a detailed collection of data, having regard, inter alia, to their experience of this matter in another body.