The following communication, dated 14 October 1993, has been received from the EEC.

**Article 7**

Is there any requirement to show that subsidies to raw materials or inputs have an impact on the price of the final product, or otherwise benefit such final product, in order for them to be countervailable?

What are multiple currency practices understood to involve? Please give an example.

**Article 9**

Why is a determination of injury to be based on "sufficient" and not "positive" evidence?

Why does paragraph 1.1 contain no reference to the price of subsidized imports, in view of the fact that the Article 6.1(a) of the Subsidies Code obliges signatories to consider their effects on prices?

Why does paragraph 3 on causality not make clear that injury factors other than the subsidized imports should not be attributed to these imports (Article 6.4 of the Code)?

**Article 16**

What is the investigative practice up to the stage of provisional measures; in particular, is it the intention that INCOMEX will only take provisional measures after receiving and considering replies to the questionnaires?

**Article 29**

Will questionnaires be sent to the parties at the same time as the resolution? Which parties will normally receive questionnaires? How long will the parties have to reply to questionnaires?