
Mr. NASH (New Zealand) explained the purpose of the footnote to Article 21 proposed by his delegation.

It was agreed that this footnote should be included as an interpretative note to the text of Article 21.


The delegate for Brazil explained the purpose of his proposed drafting amendment to Article 21.

The proposal was agreed.

The Sub-Committee agreed to a suggestion by the delegate for Australia that a consequential change should be made in the last line of sub-paragraph (c) of paragraph 4 of Article 21, in order to make it clear that prior approval granted by the Organization as provided in paragraph 4 (c) would apply only to sub-paragraphs 2(a) and 2(b) of Article 21. Accordingly, the last sentence of sub-paragraph (c) of paragraph 4 would read:

"To the extent to which such approval has been given, the requirements of sub-paragraph (a) of this paragraph shall be deemed to have been fulfilled, and the action of the Member applying the restrictions shall not be open to challenge under sub-paragraph (a) of this paragraph on the ground that such action is inconsistent with the provisions of sub-paragraphs 2(a) and 2(b) of this Article."

(Note: Additions underlined).