Mr. Torres (Brazil) agreed that if the Chilean representative had reserved the position of his country in the Co-ordinating Committee, while acting in his personal capacity, he was in his right and there was no question of challenging this right. However, the point had afterwards been raised in the Sub-Committee and the Sub-Committee decided against an amendment that would permit the application of the automatic criteria for new preferences between Members and non-Members. The representative of Brazil was not, therefore, as suggested by the delegate of Peru, unaware of the facts. He went to the meetings of the working party, had participated in the decisions of the Sub-Committee of which Brazil was a member and attended the meetings of the Latin American republics where the problem had not been raised. If it were, the position of Brazil would have been made clear. If, as the Peruvian delegate had said, one or more delegates desired to make statements in the Sub-Committee on their respective positions they had the right to do so. This, however, did not alter the decision of the Sub-Committee. He recalled further that in the drafts presented for discussion in the working party the automatic criteria had always been appraised as applying only to Members. An exception for new preferences between Members and non-Members had been provided for under paragraph 3 of Article 15.